

Lab exercise: Scenarios

Overview

In this lab you will be presented with several common failure scenarios. Your mission, should you choose to accept it, is to figure out what the failure mode is in each scenario and back your hypotheses with lovely, lovely data.

Assignments

1. Reacquaint yourself with the lab

Your lab is still available at the same IP, and the remote machine is viewable via the classroom application (with the username/password student/student). Your IP address is visible on the lab desktop:



You'll likely need the following two URLs:


- The sample service is available at http://<lab_ip>:8080/swagger-ui.html
- Grafana is available at http://<lab_ip>:3000




2. Scenario runner

The Java-based sample service demonstrates the failure scenarios via a quasi-convenient REST interface. As before, you can use them directly from the Swagger docs, just don't forget to click on "Try it out" to enable the test UI.

The scenarios are simply named scenario1 through scenario3, and the runner status can be seen via the /scenario/health endpoint; the /scenario/{alias} endpoint can be used to control a particular scenario using action="start" or action="stop" accordingly:





3. It's on!

Go through each of the three scenarios in turn:

- Start the scenario
- **Explore the metrics** to figure out what the failure mode is. With no logs or customer complaints this isn't easy, so don't hesitate to look in the solution section below!
- Find appropriate metrics in Grafana and chart them to prove/disprove your hypotheses
- Stop the scenario


Stretch goals:

- Build a Grafana Dashboard that can showcase the problem to an operator/on-call
- Define an alert rule that would trigger if the problem became severe




3.1. Solution: scenario 1

The application is slowly and sadly leaking memory. The easiest way to see this is using the jvm_memory_pool_name metric, which will show a steady increase in G1 old generation heap size:



Node-level memory metrics (node_memory_Active_bytes, node_memory_Free_bytes and node_memory_Available_bytes) and process-level metrics (namedprocess_namegroup_memory_bytes) aren't as precise but can still reveal the problem:






3.1. Solution: scenario 2

The application is writing data to disk and will continue to do so until it runs out of space.

You can see this using the node_filesystem_avail_bytes or node_filesystem_free_bytes metrics with the mountpoint="/" label.




3.1. Solution: scenario 3


A client is hitting the service's /scenario/do_something endpoint faster than allowed and is hitting a rate limiter.

This should be easy to show, but picking the right metric can be tricky. We'd ideally like a counter of processed requests, however the trick is in realizing that the OpenTelemetry agent instruments request *durations* on the server size; in other words it maintains a histogram — meaning you get not only the histogram buckets, but also a counter!

The http_server_request_duration_seconds_count metric hows a steep rise under the http_response_status_code="429" (Too Many Requests).



A better way of visualizing this is charting the request rate *by status code*. Since requests can potentially target many handlers, this would require by a rate and an aggregation function:



References

- Workshop presentation in class materials
- SSH private key for connecting to your lab is available in the class materials
- The full sources for everything can always be found here:
 https://github.com/holograph/prometheus-workshop-service-java