1. Class Design

1.1 HashTable Class Design

The HashTable class is simply a base class from which both hashing implementations are derived.

1.1.1 Data Structure Choices

Hash Table (std::vector): Chosen over dynamic array for automatic memory management.

1.1.2 Access Control

- Private: Internal state (size, map) and implementation details (hash functions) to prevent external modification.
- Public: Interface functions (constructor/destructor, core operations) required for usage from main .

1.1.3 Virtual Members

• Other than newTable, all interface functions (store, search, deleteKey, corrupt, validate, print) were made virtual. These functions are purely virtual; they must be implemented by the derived classes.

1.1.4 Polymorphic Design

Hash implementation uses inheritance with base HashTable and derived classes (OpenAddressingHashTable, SeparateChainingHashTable) instead of separate classes or conditional logic to make the code more reusable and to enforce consistent interface across implementations.

1.2 HashNode Class Design

The HashNode class is used to represent individual fileblocks in the hash table. This implementation uses a linked list for separate chaining. The next pointer is unused for open addressing.

1.2.1 Payload Datatype Choice

- std::string was chosen for automatic memory management and richer manipulation methods compared to an array or a vector of char.
- Using std::string simplifies the corrupt() function since we can just set the string variable to the new payload with a simple reassignment, without having to replace all characters with \0 before corruption occurs or reading characters in a for loop.
- std::string was sufficient for this design since the upper size limit is 500 characters.

1.2.2 Access Control

- Private: Data fields (id, checksum, data, next) are all private to prevent external modification and maintain linked list structure. The calc_checksum function is an internal helper function for checksum computation and is private as well.
- Public: The destructor is required to delete the linked list since each node has a pointer. Setters and getters are available as needed for accessing and
 modifying member variables. update_checksum, corrupt, and validate are required to be usable by the hash table classes for interface functions.

1.2.3 Important Members

- ~HashNode(): The destructor recursively deletes the next node and then itself.
- corrupt(): Takes advantage of the std::string datatype and simply reassigns the data member variable to the new payload.

1.3 OpenAddressingHashTable Class Design

The OpenAddressingHashTable class is derived from HashTable and uses double hashing and open addressing.

1.3.1 Collision Resolution

- Uses double hashing with two hash functions; hash2 ensures offset is always odd to probe all possible locations.
- Probing sequence: (hash1(k) + i * hash2(k)) % size where i is the probe number
- For search,

1.3.2 Key Operations

- Store: Probes until empty slot found or returns to original position (in which case all possible slots have been probed and table is full).
- Search/Delete: Uses findkey helper to locate element position.
- findKey centralizes probing logic for all operations that need to locate a key

1.4 SeparateChainingHashTable Class Design

The SeparateChainingHashTable class is derived from HashTable and uses chaining for collision resolution.

1.4.1 Chain Data Structure Choice

- · A linked list implementation was selected over vector for better memory efficiency as linked list only allocates what is needed
- The nodes in each linked list are inserted in ascending order by id so that print can run in linear time.

1.4.2 Collision Resolution

Uses a single hashing function. Upon collision, the new key is inserted into its appropriate spot in the linked list.

1.4.2 Key Operations

- Store: Traverses chain to maintain sorted order, allocates new node. Performs necessary pointer rearrangement to make room for new node.
- Search/Delete: Uses findNode helper to locate node in chain
- Delete: Special cases for head node, updates pointers for chain integrity
- findNode centralizes chain traversal logic for reuse

2. Runtime Analysis

Both implementations achieve O(1) average runtime assuming at most m collisions where m << T and m is O(1):

2.1 Open Addressing

store, search, and delete all require the operation of searching, either for a target key or an empty slot. Both cases require at most m offsets to the hash value, where m is the maximum number of collisions. This is done in O(m). Other function specific operations:

- store: creating a new node and inserting it into the vector are both O(1).
- search: no additional work required.

All of these operations are therefore done in O(m) = O(1).

2.2 Separate Chaining

- store, search, and delete all require the operation of searching, either for a target key or an empty slot. Both cases require checking at most m nodes in the linked list, where m is the maximum number of collisions. This is done in O(m). Other function specific operations:
- store: creating a new node, inserting it into the linked list and reassigning pointers are all O(1).
- search: no additional work required.
- delete : reassigning pointers around the deleted node is ${\cal O}(1).$

All of these operations are therefore done in O(m) = O(1).

UML Diagram

