Part 1.

1. K-fold data partition:

Question 1

K-fold data partition: Implement the K-fold cross-validation function. Your function should take K as an argument and return a list of lists (len(list) should equal to K), which contains K elements. Each element is a list contains two parts, the first part contains the index of all training folds, e.g. Fold 2 to Fold 5 in split 1. The second part contains the index of validation fold, e.g. Fold 1 in split 1

In question 1, I implement the K-fold cross-validation function. Below is the steps:

- (1) Combine the a and y together.
- (2) Clone the array and random shuffle
- (3) Calculate the number of data in 1 fold by $n = \frac{total\ number}{\nu}$
- (4) Cut train and validation dataset.
- 2. Grid Search & Cross-validation

In this part I use the k-fold dataset to be training and valid dataset, and use grid search to train with SVC package. The C parameter are [0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000], and the gamma parameter are [0.0001, 0.001, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000]. Finally I get the best accuracy is 0.8927, and the best parameters are (C=100, gamma=0.0001).

3. Plot the grid search results of your SVM.

Above is my grid search implement and result:

4. Train the SVM model and test with testing data.

Question 4

I observed the testing result is depending in the shuffle random seed in question 1, so I try a few times, and set the best random seed in question 1. Finally, I could get net best result is 0.9010.

Part 2, Questions (50%)

1. (10%) Given valid kernel k1(x,x'), prove a. b are or are not valid.

a. $k(x, x') = (k(x, x'))^2 + (k(x, x') + 1)^2$ From formula (6.13) ~ (6,22) in textbook and slides.

 $(k_1(x,x'))^2$ is the same type as (6,18), so it's valid.

 $(k_1(x,x')+1)$ is the same type as (6.15), so it's valid. What's more, $(k, (x, x') + 1)^2$ is also valid cause it's the same type as (6.18)

Finally, due to (6.21), we can validate a, is a valid kernel #.

b. $k(x,x') = (k_1(x,x'))^2 + \exp(||x||)^2 \times \exp(||x'||^2)$

Exponent property: If k is a positive definite kernel, exp(k(x,y)) is valid. Proof: we have $\exp(k(x,y)) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N}{n!} k(x,y)^n$. By power, scaling, sum properties,

we can proof exponent property.

Thus, $k_1(x,x')$, $exp(||x||^2)$, $exp(||x'||^2)$ are valid,

 \Rightarrow k(x,x') is valid. #

(prof.) Let K=[k(xn,xm)]nm as /A

2. (10%) Show IK = [k(xn,xm)] nm should be positive semidefinite is necessary and sufficient condition for k(x, x') to be a valid ternel.

Suppose A = 1B:1B (Cholesky decompose), for any vector

V'AV = V'B'BV = 11 BV211220 implying A is semi-definite.

set /AV = VA, 1B= JAV then 1B'B = VATATAV' = VAV' = AVV' = 1A. #

if k is not semi-definite, the matrix IK may also not be positive definite.

Cholesky does not work and there's no corresponding inner product space.

3. (10%) Show
$$a_n = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ w^T \phi(x_n) - t_n \right\}$$
 as a linear combination of the vector $\phi(x_n)$.
$$J(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(w^T \phi(x_n) - t_n \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^T w \quad \text{with } \pi > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial J(w)}{\partial w} = \sum_{n=1}^{J} (w^{T} \phi(x_{n}) - t_{n}) + \sum_{n=1}^{J} (w^{T} \phi(x_{n}) - t_{n}) + \lambda w^{T} = 0$$

$$W = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} (W^{T} \phi(x_{h}) - t_{h}) \phi(x_{h})$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \phi(x_n) = \Phi^{\dagger} a$$

where
$$\Phi = [\phi(x_i)^T, \phi(x_n)^T]$$

thus, wis a linear combination of $\phi(x_n) \neq 0$

thus, wis a linear combination of
$$\phi(x_n)$$
 #

4. (10%) Prove the Gaussian kernel is valid and show the function
$$\varphi(x)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\chi^2\|\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} \chi^T \chi^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\delta^2} \|\chi^2\|^2\right)$$

$$\therefore \chi \in \mathbb{R}$$

 $k(x,x') = \exp(-\|x-x'\|^2/2\sigma^2) = \phi(x)^T\phi(x')$

by scaling property,
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}x^7x'\right)$$
 is valid.

by exponents and functions properties,
$$\exp(-\frac{1}{20^2}\|x^2\|)$$
 and $\exp(-\frac{1}{20^4}\|x'\|^2)$ is valid as well

5. ((0%) Consider the optimization problem:

minimize
$$(x-2)^2$$

subject to $(x+3)(x-1) \le 2$

(sol)

by Legrange function

$$L(x,a) = (x-2)^2 + a[(x+3)(x-1] - 2]$$

$$= (x^2 + x + 4) + (ax^2 + 2ax - 5a)$$

$$= (a+1)x^2 + (2a-4)x + (-5a+4)$$

$$\frac{\partial L(x,a)}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$= (a+1)x + (2a-4) = 0$$

$$x = \frac{4-2a}{2a+2} \neq 0$$

$$x = \frac{4-2a}{2a+2} \neq 0$$