LeagueTech Audit Report

Dec 22, 2023

Table of Contents

| Summary | 2 |
|---|----|
| Overview | 3 |
| Issues | 4 |
| [WP-C1] Wrong implementation of initialPrice allows an attacker to drain the protocol. | 4 |
| [WP-H2] Wrong implementation of buySubscription() allows the user to buy subscription without paying the full price | 7 |
| $[WP-M3] \ Subscriptions \ subjects \ should \ be \ able \ to \ set \ their \ own \ {\tt subscriptionPrice} \ .$ | 10 |
| [WP-L4] Unreachable code | 12 |
| [WP-L5] Missing sanity check for setter functions. | |
| [WP-G6] Using constants instead of storages can save some gas | |
| Appendix | 17 |
| Disclaimer | 18 |

Summary

This report has been prepared for LeagueTech smart contract, to discover issues and vulnerabilities in the source code of their Smart Contract as well as any contract dependencies that were not part of an officially recognized library. A comprehensive examination has been performed, utilizing Static Analysis and Manual Review techniques.

The auditing process pays special attention to the following considerations:

- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon attack vectors.
- Assessing the codebase to ensure compliance with current best practices and industry standards.
- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client.
- Cross referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industry leaders.
- Thorough line-by-line manual review of the entire codebase by industry experts.

Overview

Project Summary

| Project Name | LeagueTech |
|--------------|--|
| Codebase | https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts |
| Commit | b716dcc33ba044fe4e0ee187d1e28ebfea60fb17 |
| Language | Solidity |

Audit Summary

| Delivery Date | Dec 22, 2023 |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Audit Methodology | Static Analysis, Manual Review |
| Total Isssues | 6 |

[WP-C1] Wrong implementation of initialPrice allows an attacker to drain the protocol.

Critical

Issue Description

- 1. getPrice() returns the total price of the transaction for a given amount of shares.
- 2. Therefore, initialPrice applies to the entire transaction, not the starting price per share.

An attacker can purchase multiple shares at the total price of just <code>initialPrice</code> . As long as they can buy more than 1 share with <code>initialPrice</code> , they can sell each share individually and receive <code>initialPrice</code> as a return.

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L181-L215

```
/// @notice Sell an `amount` of `sharesSubject` shares' for the caller
182
     /// @dev Once the first share is created, the last share cannot be sold
     /// @param sharesSubject Address of the user to sell shares of
183
     /// @param amount The amount of shares to sell
184
     function sellShares(address sharesSubject, uint256 amount) public payable
185
     nonReentrant whenNotPaused {
          require(amount > 0, "NO ZERO SHARE SELL");
186
187
         uint256 supply = sharesSupply[sharesSubject];
          require(supply > amount, "LAST SHARE CANNOT BE SOLD");
188
         uint256 price = getPrice(supply - amount, amount);
189
190
         tvl[sharesSubject] -= price;
191
          uint256 protocolFee = (price * protocolFeePercent) / 1 ether;
192
          uint256 subjectFee = (price * subjectFeePercent) / 1 ether;
193
          uint256 referralFee = (price * referralFeePercent) / 1 ether;
194
195
          require(sharesBalance[sharesSubject][msg.sender] >= amount,
      "NOT ENOUGH SHARES");
          sharesBalance[sharesSubject][msg.sender] =
196
     sharesBalance[sharesSubject][msg.sender] - amount;
          sharesSupply[sharesSubject] = supply - amount;
197
198
199
          sendToSubject(msg.sender, price - protocolFee - subjectFee - referralFee);
```

```
200
          sendToProtocol(protocolFee);
201
          sendToSubject(sharesSubject, subjectFee);
202
          sendToReferrer(msg.sender, referralFee);
203
204
          emit Trade(
              msg.sender,
205
              sharesSubject,
206
207
              false,
208
              amount,
209
              price,
210
              protocolFee,
211
              subjectFee,
              referralFee,
212
213
              supply - amount
          );
214
215
     }
```

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L274-L292

```
274
     /// @notice Gets the total price of an `amount` of shares with a specified
     `supply`
275
     /// @param supply The current supply of shares
276
     /// @param amount The amount of shares to buy or sell
277
     function getPrice(uint256 supply, uint256 amount) public view returns (uint256) {
         uint256 adjustedSupply = supply + 2;
278
         if (adjustedSupply == 0) {
279
280
              return initialPrice;
281
         }
         uint256 sum1 = ((adjustedSupply - 1) * (adjustedSupply) * (2 * (adjustedSupply
282
      -1)+1))/6;
         uint256 sum2 = ((adjustedSupply - 1 + amount) *
283
              (adjustedSupply + amount) *
284
              (2 * (adjustedSupply - 1 + amount) + 1)) / 6;
285
         uint256 summation = (80 ether / 100) * (sum2 - sum1);
286
287
         uint256 price = ((50 ether / 100) * summation * initialPrice) / 1 ether / 1
     ether;
         if (price < initialPrice) {</pre>
288
289
              return initialPrice;
290
         }
         return price;
291
```

```
292 }
```

Recommendation

```
/// @notice Gets the total price of an `amount` of shares with a specified
     `supply`
275
     /// @param supply The current supply of shares
276
     /// @param amount The amount of shares to buy or sell
     function getPrice(uint256 supply, uint256 amount) public view returns (uint256) {
277
278
         if (supply == 0) {
             return initialPrice * amount;
279
280
         }
         uint256 adjustedSupply = supply + 2;
281
282
         uint256 sum1 = ((adjustedSupply - 1) * (adjustedSupply) * (2 * (adjustedSupply
     -1)+1))/6;
         uint256 sum2 = ((adjustedSupply - 1 + amount) *
283
284
              (adjustedSupply + amount) *
             (2 * (adjustedSupply - 1 + amount) + 1)) / 6;
285
         uint256 summation = (80 ether / 100) * (sum2 - sum1);
286
287
         uint256 price = ((50 ether / 100) * summation * initialPrice) / 1 ether / 1
     ether;
         uint256 pricePerShare = price / amount;
288
289
         if (pricePerShare < initialPrice) {</pre>
290
             return initialPrice * amount;
291
         }
         return price;
292
293
     }
```

Status

✓ Fixed

[WP-H2] Wrong implementation of buySubscription() allows the user to buy subscription without paying the full price

High

Issue Description

subscriptionPrice should go to subscriptionsSubject instead of msg.sender.

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L243-L264

```
243
     function buySubscription(address subscriptionsSubject) public payable nonReentrant
     whenNotPaused {
244
         require(subscriptionsSubject != msg.sender, "NO_SELF_SUBSCRIPTION");
245
         require(subscriptionsEnabled[subscriptionsSubject] == 1,
     "SUBJECT SUBSCRIPTIONS NOT ENABLED");
246
         require(subscribers[subscriptionsSubject][msg.sender] < block.timestamp,</pre>
     "ALREADY SUBSCRIBED");
247
         uint256 price = subscriptionPrice;
248
         uint256 protocolFee = (price * protocolFeePercent) / 1 ether;
249
250
         uint256 subjectFee = (price * subjectFeePercent) / 1 ether;
251
         uint256 referralFee = (price * referralFeePercent) / 1 ether;
252
         require(msg.value >= price + protocolFee + subjectFee + referralFee,
     "NOT_ENOUGH_SENT");
253
         uint256 endTime = block.timestamp + subscriptionDuration;
254
         subscribers[subscriptionsSubject][msg.sender] = endTime;
255
         setReferralIneligible(msg.sender);
256
         sendToSubject(msg.sender, price - protocolFee - subjectFee - referralFee);
257
         sendToProtocol(protocolFee);
258
259
         sendToSubject(subscriptionsSubject, subjectFee);
         sendToReferrer(msg.sender, referralFee);
260
         sendToSubject(msg.sender, msg.value - (price + protocolFee + subjectFee +
261
     referralFee));
262
         emit Subscription(msg.sender, subscriptionsSubject, endTime, protocolFee,
263
     subjectFee, referralFee);
264
```

Breakdown of current funds flow:

```
LeagueTechV1 Contract:
    + (msg.value)
    - (subscriptionPrice - protocolFee - subjectFee - referralFee)
    - (protocolFee)
    (subjectFee)
    - (referralFee)
    - (msg.value - (subscriptionPrice + protocolFee + subjectFee + referralFee))
    == (protocolFee + subjectFee + referralFee)
Subject:
    + (subjectFee)
ProtocolFeeDestinaton:
    + (protocolFee)
Referrer:
    + (referralFee)
msg.sender:
    - (msg.value)
    + (subscriptionPrice - protocolFee - subjectFee - referralFee)
    + (msg.value - (subscriptionPrice + protocolFee + subjectFee + referralFee))
    == -2 * (protocolFee + subjectFee + referralFee)
```

In summary, the current implementation will send a large part of the funds back to the msg.sender and leave a part of the funds in the contract unexpectedly.

Recommendation

- 1. Send the price to subject.
- 2. Remove subjectFee.

```
function buySubscription(address subscriptionsSubject) public payable nonReentrant
whenNotPaused {
    require(subscriptionsSubject != msg.sender, "NO_SELF_SUBSCRIPTION");
    require(subscriptionsEnabled[subscriptionsSubject] == 1,
"SUBJECT_SUBSCRIPTIONS_NOT_ENABLED");
    require(subscribers[subscriptionsSubject][msg.sender] < block.timestamp,
"ALREADY_SUBSCRIBED");

    uint256 price = subscriptionPrice;
    uint256 protocolFee = (price * protocolFeePercent) / 1 ether;
- uint256 subjectFee = (price * subjectFeePercent) / 1 ether;</pre>
```

```
uint256 referralFee = (price * referralFeePercent) / 1 ether;
    require(msg.value >= price + protocolFee + subjectFee + referralFee,
"NOT ENOUGH SENT");
+ require(msg.value >= price + protocolFee + referralFee, "NOT_ENOUGH_SENT");
    uint256 endTime = block.timestamp + subscriptionDuration;
    subscribers[subscriptionsSubject][msg.sender] = endTime;
    setReferralIneligible(msg.sender);
   sendToSubject(msg.sender, price - protocolFee - subjectFee - referralFee);
+ sendToSubject(subscriptionsSubject, price);
   sendToProtocol(protocolFee);
sendToSubject(subscriptionsSubject, subjectFee);
   sendToReferrer(msg.sender, referralFee);
   sendToSubject(msg.sender, msg.value - (price + protocolFee + subjectFee +
referralFee));
    sendToSubject(msg.sender, msg.value - (price + protocolFee + referralFee));
    emit Subscription(msg.sender, subscriptionsSubject, endTime, protocolFee,
referralFee);
    emit Subscription(msg.sender, subscriptionsSubject, endTime, protocolFee,
referralFee);
}
```

Status

✓ Fixed

[WP-M3] Subscriptions subjects should be able to set their own subscriptionPrice .

Medium

Issue Description

The current implementation uses the same subscription price (a global storage variable) for all subjects.

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L122-L125

```
/// @dev Set the global `subscriptionPrice`

function setSubscriptionPrice(uint256 _subscriptionPrice) public onlyOwner {
    subscriptionPrice = _subscriptionPrice;
}
```

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L243-L264

```
243
     function buySubscription(address subscriptionsSubject) public payable nonReentrant
     whenNotPaused {
244
         require(subscriptionsSubject != msg.sender, "NO SELF SUBSCRIPTION");
245
         require(subscriptionsEnabled[subscriptionsSubject] == 1,
      "SUBJECT_SUBSCRIPTIONS_NOT_ENABLED");
         require(subscribers[subscriptionsSubject][msg.sender] < block.timestamp,</pre>
246
     "ALREADY SUBSCRIBED");
247
         uint256 price = subscriptionPrice;
248
         uint256 protocolFee = (price * protocolFeePercent) / 1 ether;
249
         uint256 subjectFee = (price * subjectFeePercent) / 1 ether;
250
         uint256 referralFee = (price * referralFeePercent) / 1 ether;
251
252
         require(msg.value >= price + protocolFee + subjectFee + referralFee,
      "NOT_ENOUGH_SENT");
         uint256 endTime = block.timestamp + subscriptionDuration;
253
         subscribers[subscriptionsSubject][msg.sender] = endTime;
254
         setReferralIneligible(msg.sender);
255
256
```

```
sendToSubject(msg.sender, price - protocolFee - subjectFee - referralFee);
257
         sendToProtocol(protocolFee);
258
         sendToSubject(subscriptionsSubject, subjectFee);
259
         sendToReferrer(msg.sender, referralFee);
260
         sendToSubject(msg.sender, msg.value - (price + protocolFee + subjectFee +
261
     referralFee));
262
         emit Subscription(msg.sender, subscriptionsSubject, endTime, protocolFee,
263
     subjectFee, referralFee);
264
     }
```

Recommendation

Consider allowing each subject to set their own subscriptionPrice .



[WP-L4] Unreachable code

Low

Issue Description

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L274-L292

```
/// @notice Gets the total price of an `amount` of shares with a specified
     `supply`
     /// @param supply The current supply of shares
275
     /// @param amount The amount of shares to buy or sell
276
     function getPrice(uint256 supply, uint256 amount) public view returns (uint256) {
277
278
         uint256 adjustedSupply = supply + 2;
279
         if (adjustedSupply == 0) {
280
             return initialPrice;
281
         }
     @@ 282,291 @@
292
     }
```

supplyis a variable of typeuint256 \implies supply >= 0 \implies (adjustedSupply = supply + 2) >= 2 \implies adjustedSupply != 0



[WP-L5] Missing sanity check for setter functions.

Low

Issue Description

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L106-L113

```
function setProtocolFeePercent(uint256 _feePercent) public onlyOwner {
   protocolFeePercent = _feePercent;
}

/// @dev Set the global `subjectFeePercent`

function setSubjectFeePercent(uint256 _feePercent) public onlyOwner {
   subjectFeePercent = _feePercent;
}
```

Recommendation

Consider adding upper bounds to the fees.



[WP-G6] Using constants instead of storages can save some gas

Gas

Issue Description

- initialPrice cannot be modified.
- initialPrice cannot be initialized arbitrarily. initialize() does not have any parameters.

Therefore, the functionality of initialPrice storage can be implemented using constants to avoid unnecessary gas consumption in the main functionality.

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L15

```
15 uint256 public initialPrice;
```

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L71-L82

```
function initialize() public initializer {
71
         subjectFeePercent = 7 ether / 100;
72
73
         protocolFeePercent = 2 ether / 100;
        referralFeePercent = 1 ether / 100;
74
        initialPrice = 1 ether / 250;
75
        subscriptionPrice = 1 ether;
76
77
         subscriptionDuration = 30 days;
        paused = 1;
78
79
        protocolDev = msg.sender;
         Ownable init();
80
         __ReentrancyGuard_init();
81
82
    }
```

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L274-L292

```
/// @notice Gets the total price of an `amount` of shares with a specified
     `supply`
     /// @param supply The current supply of shares
275
     /// @param amount The amount of shares to buy or sell
276
     function getPrice(uint256 supply, uint256 amount) public view returns (uint256) {
277
278
         uint256 adjustedSupply = supply + 2;
279
         if (adjustedSupply == 0) {
              return initialPrice;
280
281
         uint256 sum1 = ((adjustedSupply - 1) * (adjustedSupply) * (2 * (adjustedSupply
282
     -1)+1))/6;
         uint256 sum2 = ((adjustedSupply - 1 + amount) *
283
              (adjustedSupply + amount) *
284
285
              (2 * (adjustedSupply - 1 + amount) + 1)) / 6;
         uint256 summation = (80 ether / 100) * (sum2 - sum1);
286
287
         uint256 price = ((50 ether / 100) * summation * initialPrice) / 1 ether / 1
288
         if (price < initialPrice) {</pre>
289
             return initialPrice;
290
291
         return price;
292
     }
```

https://github.com/leaguetech/contracts/blob/59d3e75b6c0dd728a8fd83e7aa343b90da9580b9/contracts/LeagueTechV1.sol#L144-L215

```
@@ 144,147 @@

148  function buyShares(address sharesSubject, uint256 amount) public payable
nonReentrant whenNotPaused {
    @@ 149,150 @@

151     uint256 price = getPrice(supply, amount);
    @@ 152,178 @@

179  }
180

@@ 181,184 @@

185  function sellShares(address sharesSubject, uint256 amount) public payable
nonReentrant whenNotPaused {
```



Appendix

Timeliness of content

The content contained in the report is current as of the date appearing on the report and is subject to change without notice, unless indicated otherwise by WatchPug; however, WatchPug does not guarantee or warrant the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any report you access using the internet or other means, and assumes no obligation to update any information following publication.

Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Smart Contract technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate. FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.