

1 Class 1

1.1 A^+ : some review

A^+ : 算子函数, 相当于把一个算子映射为另一个算子

1.1.1 定义、构造、唯一性

- $A : H \rightarrow H$. A^* is the unique linear operator such that

$$(|\psi\rangle, A|\psi\rangle) = (A^+|\psi\rangle, |\psi\rangle)$$

- construct

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i|A^+|i\rangle &= (\langle i|A|i\rangle)^* \\ A^+ &= \sum_{ij} (\langle j|A|i\rangle)^* |i\rangle\langle j| \end{aligned}$$

- unique

$$(|\psi\rangle, A|\psi\rangle) = (A^+|\psi\rangle, |\psi\rangle) = (B|\psi\rangle, |\psi\rangle)$$

$$(|\psi\rangle, A^+|\psi\rangle) = (|\psi\rangle, B|\psi\rangle)$$

$$(|\psi\rangle, (A^+ - B)|\psi\rangle) = 0$$

$$A^+ = B$$

A^+ : 算子函数, 相当于把一个算子映射为另一个算子

1.1.2 一些性质 (和转置性质相似)

-

$$(A \otimes B)^+ = A^+ \otimes B^+$$

-

$$(AB)^+ = B^+ A^+$$

- $\text{tr}()$
- $\det()$

1.2 补充

1.2.1 $\text{tr}()$

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle i|A|i \rangle$$

- linear

$$\text{tr}(A+B) = \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$$

- cyclic

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$$

- $f: L(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; linear and cyclic

$$f(H) = \lambda \text{tr}(H)$$

1.2.2 全体线性算子构成一个希尔伯特空间

$$L(H) = \{A: A \text{ is linear operator over } H\}$$

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A^\dagger B)$$

1.2.3 Schmidt procedure

对任意一组 (n 个) 线性独立的向量, 存在同样个数的线性正交基使得其与前者张成同样的线性空间

1.3 idea 1: 柯西洗袜子

$$|\langle v|w \rangle| \leq 1 \quad \langle v|v \rangle = 1 \quad \langle w|w \rangle = 1$$

首先用 w 扩充一组标准正交基, w 是这组基的第一个元素。

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \langle v|v \rangle &= \langle v| \sum_{i=1}^d |i\rangle \langle i| |v \rangle \\ &= \langle v|w \rangle \langle w|v \rangle + \langle v| \sum_{i=2}^d |i\rangle \langle i| |v \rangle \\ &\geq \langle v|w \rangle \langle w|v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

2 Class 2

2.1 idea 2: 定义、变换及测量

- H : state space; $|\psi\rangle$ space vector.

$$\langle \psi|\psi \rangle = 1 \quad |\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$$

- $|\psi(t)\rangle$ and $|\psi(t_1)\rangle$ 由一个酉变换联系

- measurement: M_m : 线性算子, $H \rightarrow H$.

$$P(m) = \|M_m|\psi\rangle\|^2$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{M_m|\psi\rangle}{(P_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$\sum_m P(m) = 1$$

$$\sum_m \langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle = 1$$

$$\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = 1$$

$$|m\rangle \text{ONS}$$

$$M_m = ???$$

Actually the reference 1 gives the correct answer.

observable

A is observable.

$$A = A^\dagger$$

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i |i\rangle\langle i|$$

这样 A 就可以通过其特征值 a_i 作为 outcome 进行测量

测量的平均值

$$\langle A \rangle_\psi = \sum_m \lambda_m p(m) = \sum_m \lambda_m \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \sum_m \lambda_m P_m | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle = \text{tr}(A |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$$

(方差)

2.2 idea 3: state discrimination

$$|\psi\rangle \in \{|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, \dots\}$$

比如有两种可能, 为了把真实系统恢复出来, 需要做测量

用 M_1, M_2, \dots 做测量, 把结果根据一定条件进行划分。怎么可以做到精确分辨?

正交

$$M_1 = |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1|$$

$$M_2 = |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|$$

$$M_3 = I - |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| - |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|$$

either $M_1 = 1$ or $M_2 = 1$, M_3 does not exist.

不正交

$$\sum_i ||M_i|\psi_i||^2$$

对于 i 从 1 到 k, sum 为 1, 对于 i 从 k+1 到 n, sum 为 1
 则该问题可转化为

$$\exists E_1, E_2 \geq 0 \quad E_1 + E_2 = I \quad \langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 1 \quad \langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$$

以上为一个伪命题,

$$E_2 |\psi_1\rangle = 0$$

$$E_2 = \lambda_2 |\psi_1^\perp\rangle \langle \psi_1^\perp|$$

$$E_1 = \lambda_1 |\psi_2^\perp\rangle \langle \psi_2^\perp|$$

$$\lambda_2 |\langle \psi_2 | \psi_1^\perp \rangle| = 1$$

$$\lambda_2 \leq 1, \quad |\langle \psi_2 | \psi_1^\perp \rangle| \neq 1$$

结论不成立

3 下节：超密集编码

reference 1

given by

$$p(m) = \langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle, \quad (2.92)$$

and the state of the system after the measurement is

$$\frac{M_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle}}. \quad (2.93)$$

The measurement operators satisfy the *completeness equation*,

$$\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I. \quad (2.94)$$

The completeness equation expresses the fact that probabilities sum to one:

$$1 = \sum_m p(m) = \sum_m \langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle. \quad (2.95)$$

This equation being satisfied for all $|\psi\rangle$ is equivalent to the completeness equation. However, the completeness equation is much easier to check directly, so that's why it appears in the statement of the postulate.

A simple but important example of a measurement is the *measurement of a qubit in the computational basis*. This is a measurement on a single qubit with two outcomes defined by the two measurement operators $M_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$, $M_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$. Observe that each measurement operator is Hermitian, and that $M_0^2 = M_0$, $M_1^2 = M_1$. Thus the completeness relation is obeyed, $I = M_0^\dagger M_0 + M_1^\dagger M_1 = M_0 + M_1$. Suppose the state being measured is $|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$. Then the probability of obtaining measurement outcome 0 is

$$p(0) = \langle \psi | M_0^\dagger M_0 | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | M_0 | \psi \rangle = |a|^2. \quad (2.96)$$

Similarly, the probability of obtaining the measurement outcome 1 is $p(1) = |b|^2$. The state after measurement in the two cases is therefore

$$\frac{M_0 |\psi\rangle}{|a|} = \frac{a}{|a|} |0\rangle \quad (2.97)$$

$$\frac{M_1 |\psi\rangle}{|b|} = \frac{b}{|b|} |1\rangle. \quad (2.98)$$

图 1: approximation error graph