Note: Preview of slides from (compositionOfLinearTransformations.tex) by Qirui Li (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6042-1291). For educational and non-commercial use only. Any unlawful use will be prosecuted.

© 2025 Qirui Li Licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0. You may modify, share, or adapt with proper attribution, for non-commercial educational use only, and must include the license link: https://github.com/honeymath/Linear-Algebra-Slides/blob/main/LICENSE

Full license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

Next part, we will see that the matrix multiplication interpretes the composition of linear transformations.

Proposition 1

Let V, W, U be linear spaces with bases

 \mathcal{E} basis for V \mathcal{F} basis for W \mathcal{H} basis for U

Consider the following linear transformation

$$V \stackrel{T}{>} W \stackrel{S}{>} U$$

Then the matrix representation of $S \circ T$ is the product of matrix representations of S and T in corresponding bases.

We suppose the linear transformation has the following matrix representations

$$T \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2 & \cdots & \vec{e}_n \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \cdots & \vec{w}_m \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} A$$

$$S \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \cdots & \vec{w}_m \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_1 & \vec{u}_2 & \cdots & \vec{u}_p \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{H}} B$$

The proof is straightforward when looking at the commutative diagram

$$V \xrightarrow{T} W \xrightarrow{S} U$$

$$L_{\varepsilon} \downarrow \qquad L_{F} \downarrow \qquad L_{H} \downarrow$$

$$F^{n} \xrightarrow{L_{A}} F^{m} \xrightarrow{L_{B}} F^{p}$$

Induced transformation proof:

$$V \xrightarrow{T} W \xrightarrow{S} U$$

$$L_{\varepsilon} \uparrow \qquad L_{\mathcal{F}} \uparrow \qquad L_{\mathcal{H}} \uparrow$$

$$F^{n} \xrightarrow{L_{A}} F^{m} \xrightarrow{L_{B}} F^{p}$$

Let C be the matrix of $S \circ T$ on the basis \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{H} . We want to show C = BA. To do so, we only need to prove

$$L_C = L_B \circ L_A$$

Since
$$L_C = L_H^{-1} \circ S \circ T \circ L_{\mathcal{E}}$$
, $L_B = L_H^{-1} \circ S \circ L_{\mathcal{F}}$, $L_A = L_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} \circ T \circ L_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then

$$L_{B} \circ L_{A} = L_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} \circ S \circ L_{\mathcal{F}} \circ L_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} \circ T \circ L_{\mathcal{E}} = L_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} \circ S \circ T \circ L_{\mathcal{E}} = L_{C}.$$

Standard Proof: Since we have

$$T\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2 & \cdots & \vec{e}_n \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \cdots & \vec{w}_m \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} A$$

$$S\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \cdots & \vec{w}_m \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_1 & \vec{u}_2 & \cdots & \vec{u}_p \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{H}} B$$

$$S \circ T\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2 & \cdots & \vec{e}_n \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} = S\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \cdots & \vec{w}_m \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} A$$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_1 & \vec{u}_2 & \cdots & \vec{u}_p \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} BA$$

$$(1)$$

This implies

$$S \circ T \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e_1} & \vec{e_2} & \cdots & \vec{e_n} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{u_1} & \vec{u_2} & \cdots & \vec{u_p} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{H}} BA$$

Corollary 1

Let V, W be linear spaces with bases

 \mathcal{E} basis for V \mathcal{F} basis for W

Suppose $T:V\longrightarrow W$ is invertible and represented by matrix A on those bases, then its inverse $T^{-1}:W\longrightarrow V$ is represented by A^{-1}

Standard Proof: By what given, we have

$$T\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2 & \cdots & \vec{e}_n \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \cdots & \vec{w}_m \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} A$$

Apply T^{-1} on both hand, we have

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2 & \cdots & \vec{e}_n \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} = T^{-1} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \cdots & \vec{w}_m \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} A$$

Right multiplying A^{-1} , we have

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2 & \cdots & \vec{e}_n \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{E}} A^{-1} = T^{-1} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \cdots & \vec{w}_m \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

This proves the corollary.