Note: Preview of slides from (DiagonalizationByCrossFilling.tex) by Qirui Li (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6042-1291). For educational and non-commercial use only. Any unlawful use will be prosecuted.

© 2025 Qirui Li Licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0. You may modify, share, or adapt with proper attribution, for non-commercial educational use only, and must include the license link: https://github.com/honeymath/Linear-Algebra-Slides/blob/main/LICENSE

Full license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

Theorem 1

The following conditions for A are equivalent

- A is diagonalizable
- A satisfies a polynomial of simple roots
- A is a linear combination of projection matrices $P_1, ..., P_k$ such that $P_1 + \cdots + P_k = I$.

We prove this theorem by proving

Condition $1 \implies \mathsf{Condition}\ 2 \implies \mathsf{Condition}\ 3 \implies \mathsf{Condition}\ 1$

1

Condition $1 \implies \text{Condition } 2$.

Suppose A is diagonalizable, we can write

$$A = P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_{n-1} \\ & & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} P.$$

Note that some of the diagonal entries might be repeated, therefore, we collect them into a set

$$S = \{\lambda_1\} \cup \{\lambda_2\} \cup \cdots \cup \{\lambda_n\}$$

therefore we compose polynomial

$$f(t) = \prod_{\mu_i \in S} (t - \mu_i)$$

2

We have

$$f(A) = P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f(\lambda_1) & & & \\ & f(\lambda_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & f(\lambda_{n-1}) & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} P = 0$$

Condition $2 \implies \text{Condition } 3$.

Now if A satisfies

$$(A - \lambda_1)(A - \lambda_2) \cdots (A - \lambda_n) = 0$$

for **distinct** $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$, then we may construct interpolation polynomials $f_{\lambda_1}, \cdots, f_{\lambda_n}$ with the property that $f_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_i) = 0$ for any $i \neq j$ and $f_{\lambda_i}(\lambda_i) = 1$. Using interpolation theorem, we interprating the constant function g(t) = 1, we have

$$1 = Q(t)(t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_n) + f_{\lambda_1}(t) + f_{\lambda_2}(t) + \cdots + f_{\lambda_k}(t)$$

letting g(t) = t, we have

$$t = Q(t)(t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_n) + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1} f_{\lambda_1}(t) + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2} f_{\lambda_2}(t) + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k} f_{\lambda_k}(t)$$

4

Plug in t = A to those two polynomials, we denote

$$P_{\lambda_i} := f_{\lambda_i}(A),$$

we know P_{λ_i} are projection matrices, therefore

$$I = P_{\lambda_1} + P_{\lambda_2} + \cdots + P_{\lambda_k}$$

and

$$A = \lambda_1 P_{\lambda_1} + \lambda_2 P_{\lambda_2} + \dots + \lambda_k P_{\lambda_k}$$

Condition $3 \implies \text{Condition } 1$.

Recall that by cross filling, we essentially decompose each projection matrices into rank 1 projection matrices

$$P = \vec{v_1} \vec{w_1}^T + \cdots$$

Therefore, A is also a linear combination of rank-1 proejction matrices

$$A = \mu_1 \vec{v}_1 \vec{w}_1^T + \mu_2 \vec{v}_2 \vec{w}_2^T + \dots + \mu_n \vec{v}_n \vec{w}_n^T.$$

$$I = \vec{v}_1 \vec{w}_1^T + \vec{v}_2 \vec{w}_2^T + \dots + \vec{v}_n \vec{w}_n^T.$$

Note that each rank one projection must be trace one. Since the trace of I is n, we know there are **exactly** n many rank-1 projections. Therefore we may write it into matrix form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \cdots & \vec{v}_n \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} - & \vec{w}_1^T & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & \vec{w}_n^T & - \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \cdots & \vec{v}_n \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} - & \vec{w}_1^T & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & \vec{w}_n^T & - \end{pmatrix} = I$$

Since the two matrices are square matrices, so let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \cdots & \vec{v}_n \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} - & \vec{w_1}^I & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & \vec{w_n}^T & - \end{pmatrix}$$

We have
$$A = P\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_1 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$
, therefore A is diagonalizable.

Let's think about the following equivalent conditions

- A is diagonalizable
- A satisfies a polynomial of simple roots
- A is a linear combination of projection matrices $P_1, ..., P_k$ such that $P_1 + \cdots + P_k = I$.

Excercise. Suppose $A = \lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \cdots + \lambda_m P_m$ is a linear combination of matrices such that $P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_m$ is a projection, is A diagonalizable? why?

Solution. Denote by $P := P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_m$, since P is a projection, so is I - P. Let $P_{m+1} = I - P$, we have

$$P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_m + P_{m+1} = I$$

and

$$A = \lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m + \underbrace{0}_{\lambda_{m+1}} P_{m+1}$$

Therefore A is diagonalizable

Can you find a linear combination of projection matrices such that it is not diagonalizable?

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{NOT DIAGONALIZABLE!}}$$

Excercise. Diagonalize the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Excercise.If

$$A = \lambda_1 P_1 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m$$

however,

$$P_1 + \cdots + P_m \neq I$$
,

but

$$(P_1+\cdots+P_m)^2=P_1+\cdots+P_m$$

is a projection. Is A a diagonalizable matrix ? why?