

# Faculty of Computer Science & Information Technology

## WIE3007 Data Mining and Warehousing Semester 1, 2023/2024

## **Group Project - Project Report**

Lecturer
Prof. Dr. Teh Ying Wah

### Prepared By

Name	Matric Number
Chai Nam Chi	U2005421/1
Cheong Hui Ting	U2005292/1
Hong Jia Herng	U2005313/1
Lee Hui Xin	U2005353/1
Liewn Wan Chyi	U2005418/1

# **Table of Contents**

1.0 Introduction to the Selected Dataset	2
2.0 Understanding the Dataset	3
2.1 Loading the Dataset	3
2.2 Dataset Summary	3
2.3 Column Metadata	6
3.0 Application of SAS SEMMA Methodology	7
3.1 Sample	7
3.1.1 Stratified Sampling to Alleviate Target Variable Class Imbalance	7
3.1.2 Data Partitioning	9
3.2 Explore	11
3.2.1 Chi Square & Feature Importance	11
3.2.2 Descriptive Analysis	13
3.2.2.1 Univariate and Multivariate Analysis	13
Class Variables	13
Interval Variables	18
3.2.3 Association Rule Analysis	21
3.2.4 Sequence Analysis	22
3.2.5 Time Series Clustering	23
3.2.6 Summary	24
3.3 Modify	26
3.3.1 Replacement	27
3.3.2 Imputation	29
3.3.4 Deletion of Variables	30
3.3.2 Summary	32
3.4 Model	34
3.4.1 Decision Tree	34
3.4.2 Support Vector Machine	36
3.4.3 Random Forest	37
3.4.4 Gradient Boosting	38
3.4.5 Neural Network (AutoNeural)	39
3.5 Assess	40
3.5.1 Misclassification Rate	40
3.5.2 Precision	41
3.5.3 Recall	42
3.5.4 F1-Score	43
4.0 Conclusion	44
References	45
Appendix - Presentation, GitHub	46

## 1.0 Introduction to the Selected Dataset

A dataset called Adult Data Set (Census Income Dataset) is downloaded from Kaggle (<a href="https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/kritidoneria/adultdatasetxai">https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/kritidoneria/adultdatasetxai</a>). The dataset is US Census Data extracted from the 1994 census data donated to UC Irvine's Machine Learning Repository. The dataset is explained in the following section.

## 2.0 Understanding the Dataset

### 2.1 Loading the Dataset

A dataset metadata file is provided by the website which helped us to build fundamentals understanding on the dataset. The dataset consists of 32,561 instances across 15 variables. The dataset is used for predicting income levels of US citizens based on other independent variables such as age, education levels and workclass.

The raw file is imported into the workspace using the File Import node. The Results panel shows the data summary that has been imported



Figure 2.1: File Import node to import data from local storage.

### 2.2 Dataset Summary

	Alphab	etic Lis	t of V	ariables and	Attributes	
#	Variable	Туре	Len	Format	Informat	Label
12	_0	Num	8			0
5	_13	Num	8			13
1	_39	Num	8	BEST12.	BEST32.	
13	_40	Num	8			40
11	_2174	Num	8			2174
3	_77516	Num	8			77516
7	_Adm_clerical	Char	17			Adm-clerical
4	_Bachelors	Char	12			Bachelors
10	_Male	Char	6			Male
6	_Never_married	Char	21			Never-married
8	_Not_in_family	Char	14			Not-in-family
2	_State_gov	Char	16			State-gov
14	_United_States	Char	18			United-States
9	_White	Char	18			White
15	50K	Char	5			<=50K

Figure 2.2: File Import summary.

From the results of File Import, we can see that the dataset does not have a header, therefore the data summary shows the first row as header instead. Therefore, we add header to the columns according to the metadata description from the website using Talend Data Prep. After that, we export the updated data file and update the file path for the File Import node and Run the tasks again.




Figure 2.3: Replacing variables with meaningful names using Talend Data Prep.

	Alphabetic List	of Vari	ables a	nd Attribut	es
#	Variable	Туре	Len	Format	Informat
1	age	Num	8	BEST12.	BEST32.
11	capital_gain	Num	8	BEST12.	BEST32.
12	capital_loss	Num	8	BEST12.	BEST32.
4	education	Char	12	<b>\$12.</b>	\$12.
5	education_num	Num	8	BEST12.	BEST32.
3	final_weight	Num	8	BEST12.	BEST32.
15	gross_income	Char	5	<b>\$5.</b>	<b>\$5.</b>
13	hours_per_week	Num	8	BEST12.	BEST32.
6	marital_status	Char	21	\$21.	\$21.
14	native_country	Char	18	\$18.	\$18.
7	occupation	Char	17	\$17 <b>.</b>	\$17.
9	race	Char	18	\$18.	\$18.
8	relationship	Char	14	\$14 <b>.</b>	\$14.
10	sex	Char	6	<b>\$6.</b>	<b>\$6.</b>
2	work_class	Char	16	\$16 <b>.</b>	<b>\$16.</b>

Figure 2.4: Updated variable summary.

Time series and row ID are synthesized for the purpose of sequence analysis, association rule analysis and time series clustering.

	id	timestamp	age	work_class	final_weight	education	education_num	marital_status	occupation	relationship	race	sex	capital_gain	capital_loss	hours_per_weel
21251		2022-08- 30 11:00:00	32	Private	272376	Assoc- acdm		Never-married	Adm- clerical	Not-in- family	White	Female			41
27460		2023-05- 16 04:00:00	28	Private	163772	HS-grad		Married-civ- spouse	Other- service	Husband	Other	Male			41
26623	44	2023-04- 11 07:00:00	26	Private	39092	Some- college		Married-civ- spouse	Exec- managerial	Husband	White	Male	4064		51
4410		2020-09- 27 18:00:00	60	Private	181953	HS-grad		Married-civ- spouse	Transport- moving	Husband	White	Male			21
9286	3	2021-04- 18 22:00:00	27	Private	401723	HS-grad	9	Never-married	Adm- clerical	Not-in- family	Black	Female	0	0	41

Figure 2.5 Synthesized timestamp and id columns.

## 2.3 Column Metadata

The table below shows the clear description of columns.

Columns	Description	Datatype
age	The age of adult	Numerical (interval)
capital_gain	The income of the adult from investment sources other than working salary	Numerical (interval)
capital_loss	The loss of adult on the investment	Numerical (interval)
education	The highest education level of the adult	Categorical (ordinal)
education_num	The numerical representation of the "education" variable	Numerical (interval)
final_weight	The number of units in the target population that the responding unit represents	Numerical (interval)
gross_income	The income group of the adult, either more tham \$50,000 or less than or equal to \$50,000	Categorical (ordinal)
hours_per_week	The working hours of the adult per week	Numerical (interval)
marital_status	The marital status of the adult	Categorical (ordinal)
native_country	The country where the adult born in	Categorical (ordinal)
occupation	The job title of the adult	Categorical (ordinal)
race	The race of the adult	Categorical (ordinal)
relationship	The relationship status of the adult	Categorical (ordinal)
sex	The gender of the adult	Categorical (ordinal)
work_class	The company category that the adult worked at	Categorical (ordinal)

## 3.0 Application of SAS SEMMA Methodology

### 3.1 Sample

We had understood that this dataset is used for predicting income levels of US citizens. Before starting the sampling, we changed the role of gross\_income as target variable by right clicking on the File Import node and 'Edit Variables'.

Name	Role	Level	Report	Order	Drop	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
age	Input	Interval	No		No		
capital_gain	Input	Interval	No		No		
capital_loss	Input	Interval	No		No		
education	Input	Nominal	No		No		
education_nu	Input	Interval	No		No		
final_weight	Input	Interval	No		No		
gross_income	Target	Nominal	No		No		
hours_per_we	Input	Interval	No		No		
marital_status	Input	Nominal	No		No		
native countr	Input	Nominal	No		No		
occupation	Input	Nominal	No		No		
race	Input	Nominal	No		No		
relationship	Input	Nominal	No		No		
sex	Input	Nominal	No		No		
work_class	Input	Nominal	No		No		

Figure 3.1.1: gross\_income is changed to Target role.

### 3.1.1 Stratified Sampling to Alleviate Target Variable Class Imbalance

By clicking the Explore button at the bottom of the Variables window, we can see the distribution of the target variable. We plotted a pie chart for easier understanding. The chart shows that the target groups are not balanced. Therefore, we chose the **stratified sampling method** to make the target group balanced. The **stratify strategy** is set to **Equal**. **Size Percentage** is set to **100** to make sure the sample covers the whole population and is representative enough of the dataset.




Figure 3.1.2: Initial distribution of gross\_income.




Figure 3.1.3: Distribution of gross\_income categories after sampling.

### 3.1.2 Data Partitioning

In this section, we divided the dataset into two sets, 80% as the training set and 20% as the validation set. The training set is being used to fit the model for obtaining the best set of model parameters and the validation set is being used to test the generalization of the trained model to new unseen data. The partitioning method we use is known as stratified partitioning, in which the goal is to maintain the same distribution of target classes in both the training and validation sets as in the original dataset. A default random seed of 12345 is set to ensure the reproducibility of the experiment.

Property	Value
General	
Node ID	Part
Imported Data	
Exported Data	
Notes	
Train	
Variables	
Output Type	Data
Partitioning Method	Stratified
Random Seed	12345
Data Set Allocations	
Training	80.0
Validation	20.0
Test	0.0
Report	
Interval Targets	Yes
Class Targets	Yes
Status	
Create Time	1/21/24 1:47 PM
Run ID	4783045b-583b-bd4c-b476-d
Last Error	
Last Status	Complete
Last Run Time	1/21/24 2:07 PM
Run Duration	0 Hr. 0 Min. 1.92 Sec.
Grid Host	
User-Added Node	No

Figure 3.1.4: Properties of Data Partition node.




Figure 3.1.5: Data partition result.

### 3.2 Explore

### 3.2.1 Chi Square & Feature Importance

Chi-Square values are used to determine the relationship between the target variable (i.e. gross\_income) with the independent variables, i.e the categorical variables. Cramer's V is used to determine how strong are the relationships between the target and independent variables. Based on the matrix below, relationship, marital\_status, occupation and education have the strongest relationship with gross\_income.




Figure 3.2.1: Chi-Square and Cramer's V matrix plot for all categorical variables.

Variable worth analysis looks into the worth of all variables including nominal and continuous variables to predict the target variable. According to the bar chart below, relationship, marital\_status, occupation, age, education\_num, education and hours\_per\_week have the worth value higher than median worth value.




Figure 3.2.2: Bar chart showing worth value for all variables.




Figure 3.2.3: Box plot showing the distribution of variable worth values.

### 3.2.2 Descriptive Analysis

### 3.2.2.1 Univariate and Multivariate Analysis

All columns are explored with the sampling setting of Random and Max to load all data from the sample for the exploration.

#### Class Variables

#### a. relationship

The values in this column are clean. Since it has a strong relationship with the target variable, it will be accepted as an input variable.




Figure 3.2.4: Bar chart showing value distribution in relationship column.

#### b. marital status

The values in this column are clean. Since it has strong relationship with the target variable, it will be accepted as input variable




Figure 3.2.5: Bar chart showing value distribution in marital\_status column.

#### c. occupation

Unknown values is spotted in thie variable and it is marked as '?'. These values will be converted into null and the inference model will be used to inference the missing values. This variable has strong relationship with the target variable, therefore it will be accepted as target variable.




Figure 3.2.6: Bar chart showing value distribution in occupation column.

#### d. education

The value in this column is considered clean. However, education\_num provides the same information as this variable, therefore it will be rejected as an input variable.




Figure 3.2.7: Bar chart showing value distribution in education column.

#### e. sex

The values of this variable are clean. It can be accepted as an input variable to explore for more insights.




Figure 3.2.8: Bar chart showing value distribution in sex column.

#### f. work\_class

Unknown value is detected in this variable and marked as '?'. These values will be converted to null and an inference model will be used to inference the missing values. It is commonly known that the working class will affect income level, therefore this variable will be accepted as input variable.




Figure 3.2.9: Bar chart showing value distribution in work\_class.

#### g. native\_country

Most of the values in this variable are United States and the other values only consists of a very small portion. Therefore, the values other than Unted States will be grouped as 'Other'. Poor countries, developing countries, and developed countries have different income level, therefore this column will be accepted as input variable to provide more insight.



Figure 3.2.10: Bar chart showing distribution of values in native\_country column.




Figure 3.2.11: Pie plot suggested that a big portion of values are the United States and the other values can be grouped as 'Other'.

#### h. race

The values in this column are clean. This column can be used with other columns to provide more insights for example working class. Therefore, it will be accepted as an input variable.




Figure 3.2.12: Bar chart showing value distribution in race column.



Figure 3.2.13: Histogram plot of work\_class, race and gross\_income.

#### Interval Variables

#### a. age

The values in the age columns are reasonable and clean. Due to its strong relationship and worth with the target variable, it will be accepted as input variable.




Figure 3.2.14: Histogram showing distribution of age values.

#### b. education\_num

This column is the number representation of the education column, which means the minimum year of education. The values in this column are clean and no missing values detected. Due to its strong relationship and high worth to the target variable, it will be accepted as target variable.




Figure 3.2.15: Histogram showing value distribution in education\_num column.

#### c. hours\_per\_week

The values in this column are clean and no missing values detected. Income levels for some working classes are highly related to working hours, therefore this column will be accepted as input variable.




Figure 3.2.16: Histogram showing value distribution in hours\_per\_week column.

#### d. capital\_gain

Most of the values are cluttered at 0, therefore it cannot provide more insights for the target variable. It will be rejected for input.




Figure 3.2.17: Histogram plot showing value distribution of capital gain column.

#### e. capital\_loss

Most of the values are cluttered at 0, therefore it cannot provide more insights for the target variable. It will be rejected for input.




Figure 3.2.18: Histogram plot showing value distribution of capital\_loss column.

### f. final\_weight

This column is the weight added for identifying different demography. It does not provide more insights for the target variable, therefore it will be rejected for input.




Figure 3.2.19: Histogram plot showing distribution of values in final\_weight.

### 3.2.3 Association Rule Analysis

Association rule analysis has been done to explore the types of occupations that would be done by the same person. Table below shows that an armed-forces would probably also be a private house servant and transport moving workers probably an armed-forces as well. There are 100 rules generated on the occupation column.




Figure 3.2.20: Association rules table.




Figure 3.2.21: Link graph of occupation association rules.

### 3.2.4 Sequence Analysis

Sequence analysis has been done on the occupation column to find out the sequence of a person taking different jobs. The top rules show that most of the people will have unknown occupation values after the first job, while from RULE 14 onwards, people will choose a administrative clerical job and craft repair job after the first job.




Figure 3.2.22: Sequence analysis rules table.




Figure 3.2.23: Link graph of sequence analysis rules.

### 3.2.5 Time Series Clustering

Time series clustering has been done on the marital\_status, occupation and relationship for capital\_gain to find out similar time series of capital gain. The results show that TS-297 is the most similar with TS-2 and TS-13 is the most similar with TS-8.




Figure 3.2.24: Bar graph of time series similar measure for TS-2.




Figure 3.2.25: Bar graph of time series similar measure for TS-8.




Figure 3.2.26: Chart showing patterns of TS-2 versus TS-297.




Figure 3.2.27: Chart showing patterns of TS-8 versus TS-13.

## 3.2.6 Summary

Column	Findings	Actions
relationship	<ul><li>Strong relationship with target_variable.</li><li>Clean data.</li></ul>	- Accepted as input.
marital_status	<ul><li>Strong relationship with target_variable.</li><li>Clean data.</li></ul>	- Accepted as input.
occupation	<ul><li>Strong relationship with target_variable.</li><li>Unknown values detected.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Accepted as input.</li> <li>Assume unknown values as missing values.</li> <li>Impute missing value.</li> </ul>
education	<ul><li>Strong relationship with target_variable.</li><li>Text representation of education_num</li></ul>	- Rejected.
sex	Can be combined with the marital_status column.     Clean data.	- Accepted as input.
work_class	<ul> <li>Unknown values detected.</li> <li>Can be combined with other variables to provide more insights.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Accepted as input.</li> <li>Assume unknown values as missing values.</li> <li>Inference missing value.</li> </ul>

		•
native_country	<ul><li>Majority of values are the United-States.</li><li>No missing values detected.</li></ul>	<ul><li>Group the minority groups as 'Others'.</li><li>Accepted as input.</li></ul>
race	<ul> <li>Clean data.</li> <li>Can be combined with other variables to provide more insights.</li> </ul>	- Accepted as input.
age	<ul><li>Strong relationship with target_variable.</li><li>Clean data.</li></ul>	- Accepted as input.
education_num	<ul><li>Strong relationship with target_variable.</li><li>Clean data.</li></ul>	- Accepted as input.
hours_per_week	<ul><li>Strong relationship with target_variable.</li><li>Clean data.</li></ul>	- Accepted as input.
capital_gain	- Values cluttered at 0.	- Rejected.
capital_loss	- Values cluttered at 0.	- Rejected.
final_weight	- Does not provide more insights.	- Rejected.

# 3.3 Modify

Based on the previous section, these are the variables that need further processing before going into the modeling step.

Variable	Action
age	- Accepted as input by default, no outliers found.
capital_gain	Need to be dropped, most of the values are '0' that are not useful.
capital_loss	Need to be dropped, most of the values are '0' that are not useful.
education	Need to be dropped, since "education_year" brings the same insight as this.
education_year	- Accepted as input by default, no outliers found.
final_weight	- Need to be dropped, irrelevant for predicting income.
gross_income	- Set as target to perform income prediction.
hours_per_week	- Accepted as input by default, no outliers found.
marital_status	- Accepted as input.
native_country	<ul> <li>Accepted as input.</li> <li>Currently 90.15% is United-States, all country values are then replaced with mode value.</li> </ul>
occupation	<ul><li>Accepted as input.</li><li>Impute rows with '?' symbol with inference algorithm.</li></ul>
race	- Accepted as input by default, no outliers found.
relationship	Need to be dropped, "marital-status" and "gender" are more representative and contribute the similar meaning as this variable.
sex	- Accepted as input by default, no outliers found.
work_class	<ul><li>Accepted as input.</li><li>Impute rows with '?' symbol with inference algorithm.</li></ul>

		ns printed)								
Data Role	e=TRAIN									
			Number							
Data			of				Mode			Mode2
Role	Variable Name	Role	Levels	Missing	Mode		Percentage	Mode2		Percentag
TRAIN	education	INPUT	16	0	HS-grad		28.55	Some-col	lege	21.06
TRAIN	marital_status	INPUT	7	0	Married-civ	-spouse	59.27	Never-ma	rried	24.07
TRAIN	native_country	INPUT	42	0	United-Stat	es	90.18	?		1.86
TRAIN	occupation	INPUT	15	0	Exec-manage	rial	16.93	Prof-specialty		16.53
TRAIN	race	INPUT	5	0	White		87.63	Black		7.70
TRAIN	relationship	INPUT	6	0	Husband		52.36	Not-in-family		20.26
TRAIN	sex	INPUT	2	0	Male		73.27	Female		26.73
TRAIN	work_class	INPUT	9	0	Private		67.16	Self-emp	-not-inc	8.71
TRAIN	gross_income	TARGET	2	0	<=50K		50.00	>50K		50.00
	Variable Summary 500 observations									
Data Role:										
			Standard	Non						
Variable	Role	Mean	Deviation	Missing	Missing	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Skewness	Kurtosis
	INPUT	40.41151	12.88093	12544	0	17	40	90	0.391217	-0.16807
age		2035.503	10206.72	12544	0	0	0	99999	8.514124	77.7758
-	ain INPUT				0	0	0	4356	3.734889	12.68897
apital_g		125.1272	482.4649	12544	0	Ŭ			3.734005	12.00077
age capital_go capital_lo education	oss INPUT	125.1272 10.61264	482.4649 2.59945	12544 12544	0	1	10	16	-0.30945	0.368729
apital_ga	oss INPUT _num INPUT				_	_	10 177675			

Figure 3.3.1: Dataset preview before modifying.

The above figure shows the dataset statistics after partitioning them into training and validation sets.

### 3.3.1 Replacement

We use the 'Replacement' node to perform cleaning on some values as shown in Figure 3.3.2. This step helps to prepare for the imputation step later.




Figure 3.3.2: Snapshot of the "cleaning" steps in SAS Enterprise Miner.

The intentionally filled value in the "native\_country" variable which is "?", will be replaced with the "\_MISSING\_" keyword in SAS Enterprise Miner for imputation later, and other countries will be replaced with "Others".

At the same time, we also replace the intentional data for "occupation" and "work\_class" variables with "\_MISSING\_" as shown in figure below to be filled in using an inference algorithm in the next process.

Variable	Formatted Value	Replacement Value	Frequency Count	Туре	Character Unformatted Value	Numeric Value
marital_status	Widowed		304	С	Widowed	
marital_status	Separated		301	С	Separated	
marital_status	Married-spouse-absent		124	С	Married-spouse-absent	
marital_status	Married-AF-spouse		12	С	Married-AF-spouse	
marital_status	_UNKNOWN_	_DEFAULT_		C		
native_country	United-States		11312	С	United-States	
native_country	?	_MISSING_	2330	C	?	
native_country	Mexico	Others	172	С	Mexico	
native_country	Philippines	Others	790	С	Philippines	
native_country	Germany	Others	600	С	Germany	
native_country	Canada	Others .	570	С	Canada	
native_country	India	Others .	470	С	India	
native_country	Cuba	Others	420	С	Cuba	
native_country	England	Others	390	С	England	
native_country	Italy	Others .	39	С	Italy	
native_country	El-Salvador	Others .	36	C	El-Salvador	
native_country	Puerto-Rico	Others	340	С	Puerto-Rico	
native_country	South	Others	340	С	South	
native_country	China	Others .	300	С	China	
native_country	Jamaica	Others	270	C	Jamaica	
native_country	Taiwan	Others	26	С	Taiwan	
_	<u> </u>				-	
occupation	?	_MISSING_	564	С	?	
occupation	Handlers-cleaners		396	С	Handlers-deaners	

Figure 3.3.3: Replacement editor of the replacement node.

#### Summary of replacement values:

Variable	Value	Replacement Value
native_country	?	_MISSING_
	Mexico, Philippines (countries other than US)	Others
occupation	?	_MISSING_
work_class	?	_MISSING_

After running the replacement node, the result in Figure 3.3.4 shows that the missing values in the dataset, which are 1232 observations for native\_country, 564 observations for occupation and 563 observations for work\_class are replaced. This step also includes setting countries that are not the United States as others.




Figure 3.3.4: Execution results up until the replacement node.

#### 3.3.2 Imputation

Next, we use the "Impute" node to replace all missing values in REP\_native\_country with mode. Other than that, we also fill in the missing values in "workclass" and "occupation" variables by using an inference algorithm.

To perform tree surrogate, select edit variables at "Impute" node, and modify the value for "Use" and "Use Tree" to Yes for the "REP\_workclass" and "REP\_occupation" variables, the result from the replacement node. Besides, the "Method" is changed to "Tree Surrogate". Surrogate splits are especially relevant in situations where there are missing values for the primary split variable and decides which branch to follow.




Figure 3.3.5: Variable editor of the imputation node.

Refer to Figure 3.3.6, 233 observations for REP\_native\_country are filled in with mode, United States while 564 observations for REP\_occupation and 563 observations are filled in after running imputation.




Figure 3.3.6: Execution results up until the imputation node.

Figure 3.3.7 shows that all "?" values are now replaced after running the 'Impute' node.




Figure 3.3.7: Bar plots of imputed variables.

#### 3.3.4 Deletion of Variables

Lastly, some variables are identified to be dropped using the "Drop" node as they are not bringing meaning in the prediction process. Those variables are:

Variables	Reason
capital_loss capital_gain	Most of the values are '0' that are not useful.
relationship	'marital-status' and 'gender' made up the 'relationship' variable. Keeping 'relationship' variable will be redundant.
final_weight	Irrelevant for predicting income.
education	Is another representation of "education_year".

### Before dropping the variables:

Name	Drop	Role	Level
IMP_REP_native	Default	Input	Nominal
IMP_REP_occup	Default	Input	Nominal
IMP_REP_work_	Default	Input	Nominal
_WARN_	Default	Assessment	Nominal
_dataobs_	Yes	ID	Interval
age	Default	Input	Interval
capital <u>g</u> ain	Yes	Input	Interval
capital_loss	Yes	Input	Interval
education	Yes	Input	Nominal
education_num	Default	Input	Interval
final_weight	Yes	Input	Interval
gross_income	Default	Target	Binary
hours_per_week	Default	Input	Interval
marital_status	Default	Input	Nominal
native_country	Yes	Rejected	Nominal
occupation	Yes	Rejected	Nominal
race	Default	Input	Nominal
relationship	Yes	Input	Nominal
sex	Default	Input	Nominal
work_class	Yes	Rejected	Nominal

Figure 3.3.8: List of variables before "Drop" node.

After running "Drop" node:

Name	Use	Report	Role	Level
IMP_REP_native	Default	No	Input	Nominal
IMP_REP_occup	Default	No	Input	Nominal
IMP_REP_work_	Default	No	Input	Nominal
age	Default	No	Input	Interval
education_num	Default	No	Input	Interval
gross_income	Default	No	Target	Binary
hours_per_week	Default	No	Input	Interval
marital_status	Default	No	Input	Nominal
race	Default	No	Input	Nominal
sex	Default	No	Input	Nominal

Figure 3.3.9: List of variables after "Drop" node.

### 3.3.2 Summary




Figure 3.3.10: Entire pipeline of "Modify" phase based on SAS Enterprise Miner.

The "Modify" step includes "Replacement", "Impute", and "Drop". After running through the "Modify" pipeline, the following is the resulting variable for modeling:

Variable	Description
IMP_REP_native_country	Replace "?" with "_MISSING_", impute missing values with "United-States".
IMP_REP_occupation	Replace "?" with "_MISSING_", impute missing with inference algorithm.
IMP_REP_work_occupation	Replace "?" with "_MISSING_", impute missing with inference algorithm.
age	No change.
education_num	No change.
hours_per_week	No change.

marital_status	No change.
race	No change.
sex	No change.
gross_income (target)	No change.

### 3.4 Model

#### 3.4.1 Decision Tree

The project incorporates the use of decision trees as one of its classification models. A decision tree is a non-parametric algorithm employed in supervised learning, suitable for both regression and classification tasks. Its structure comprises a hierarchical tree with a root node, branches, internal nodes, and leaf nodes (IBM, 2023).

In this project, we have used a decision tree node and set its "Maximum Depth" to 10 because we have 9 variables as input like what has been shown in Figure 3.4.1 below. Thus, using a depth of 10 will be most likely the best option for our case. Since, this is a classification problem, the parameters in the Subtree section such as Method that specify how to construct the sub-tree in terms of selection methods was set as Largest which selects the full tree, and the Assessment Measure was set to Misclassification. Cross validation parameter is also enabled to perform cross validation for each subtree in the sequence. The complete parameters configured for both trees are displayed in Figure 3.4.1.




Figure 3.4.1: Parameters of decision tree




Figure 3.4.2: Architecture of decision tree

### 3.4.2 Support Vector Machine

In this project, SVM is also included as one of our classification models. Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a robust classification and regression technique that maximises the predictive accuracy of a model without overfitting the training data. SVM is particularly suited to analysing data with very large numbers (for example, thousands) of predictor fields (IBM, 2021).

The SVM model is created with the HP SVM node in SAS and below is the parameter values.




Figure 3.4.3: The parameter values of SVM

#### 3.4.3 Random Forest

Random forest is another frequently used machine learning algorithm whereby it combines the output of multiple decision trees to reach a single result. Similar to decision tree algorithm, it is also capable of handling both classification and regression problems. Hence, we have decided to use random forest algorithm as one of the models to solve our classification problem.

We have use the HP Forest node as our random forest model. Almost all of the parameters are using the default value provided by SAS, which can be seen in Figure 3.4.4. The maximum number of trees parameter is altered and set to 40 instead of the default of 100. The reason behind that is to prevent overfitting. Random forests are a type of ensemble model, which means they are composed of multiple individual decision trees. As the number of trees in the forest increases, the model becomes more complex and may start to fit the noise in the training data, rather than the underlying pattern. This can result in poor generalization to new data. By limiting the number of trees in the forest, we can help to ensure that the model is able to generalize well to new data. Additionally, it's also important to note that as more trees are added, training time will increase and make the model more computationally expensive.




Figure 3.4.4: The parameter values of Random Forest

### 3.4.4 Gradient Boosting

Gradient boosting is a machine learning ensemble technique that combines the predictions of multiple weak learners, typically decision trees, sequentially. It aims to improve overall predictive performance by optimizing the model's weights based on the errors of previous iterations, gradually reducing prediction errors and enhancing the model's accuracy (Saini, 2024).

We opted for this algorithm due to its compatibility with the classification nature of our project's problem. Additionally, it is readily accessible in SAS Enterprise Miner. The project incorporates Gradient Boosting through the utilization of the Gradient Boosting node. Since this is a classification problem, the parameter in the Subtree section, "Assessment Measure" was set to Misclassification.




Figure 3.4.5: The parameter values of Grafient Boosting

#### 3.4.5 Neural Network (AutoNeural)

Neural networks, also known as artificial neural networks (ANNs) is a subset of machine learning and are at the heart of deep learning algorithms. It mimics the way that human biological neurons process and send signals to one another (Nicholson, 2020). In this project, we used neural networks as one of our classification models. We chose this algorithm because it is suitable for our project problem type (classification) and also it is available in SAS Enterprise Miner. Neural networks were implemented in the project by using the AutoNeural node.

We have use AutoNeural node as our neural network model and since the AutoNeural node offers an automatic way to explore alternative network architectures and hidden unit counts, there are less parameters to tune compared to Neural Network nodes. The train action is set to 'Search' so that the model will sequentially increase the network complexity. The number of hidden units is 2 and maximum iterations is 8. This means that for every two hidden unit added, 8 iterations will be run to find the optimum weights. The training process will be terminated if overfitting occurs. The tolerance is configured as 'Medium' to prevent preliminary training from occurring. The model parameters shown in Figure 3.4.6.




Figure 3.4.6: The parameter values of AutoNeural

### 3.5 Assess

#### 3.5.1 Misclassification Rate

Misclassification rate is the percentage of times a machine learning model makes an incorrect prediction. Thus, a lower misclassification rate shows a better performing model. The misclassification rate of all the machine learning models can be obtained through the results of the Model Comparison node. The results are shown in Figure 3.5.1.

			Valid:
Selected			Misclassification
Model	Model Node	Model Description	Rate
Y	Boost	Gradient Boosting	0.19216
	HPDMForest	HP Forest	0.19503
	AutoNeural	AutoNeural	0.19981
	Tree	Decision Tree	0.20714
	HPSVM	HP SVM	0.21256

Figure 3.5.1: Misclassification rate of models

From the results, we can see that the best performing model is Gradient Boosting with a misclassification rate of 0.192, followed by random forest with misclassification rate of 0.195, AutoNeural with misclassification rate of 0.200, decision tree and finally support vector machine with misclassification rate of 0.207 and 0.213 respectively. The models look like they are performing well. However, misclassification rate also comes with its own limitations.

#### 3.5.2 Precision

Precision is the ratio of correctly classified positive samples to a total number of classified positive samples. The formula of precision is as follows:

$$precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
 $where:$ 
 $TP = True\ positive$ 
 $FP = False\ positive$ 

Precision measures the reliability of the machine learning models when classifying results as positive and is helpful especially in situations where the dataset is severely imbalanced. To calculate precision, the classification matrix for all the models is shown in Figure 3.5.2.

		Data		Target	False	True	False	True
Model Node	Model Description	Role	Target	Label	Negative	Negative	Positive	Positive
Tree	Decision Tree	TRAIN	gross_income		1177	5082	1190	5095
Tree	Decision Tree	VALIDATE	gross_income		328	1247	322	1241
HPSVM	HP SVM	TRAIN	gross_income		1054	4644	1628	5218
HPSVM	HP SVM	VALIDATE	gross_income		267	1169	400	1302
HPDMForest	HP Forest	TRAIN	gross_income		942	4928	1344	5330
HPDMForest	HP Forest	VALIDATE	gross_income		257	1214	355	1312
Boost	Gradient Boosting	TRAIN	gross_income		912	4821	1451	5360
Boost	Gradient Boosting	VALIDATE	gross_income		230	1196	373	1339
AutoNeural	AutoNeural	TRAIN	gross income		811	4524	1748	5461
AutoNeural	AutoNeural	VALIDATE	gross_income		202	1144	425	1367

Figure 3.5.2: Classification matric for all models

From the results, the precision of each model can be calculated. The calculation results are shown in Table 2. Only the validation set is used to calculate precision.

Model	Precision
Decision Tree	0.794
HP SVM	0.765
HP Forest	0.787
Gradient Boosting	0.782
AutoNeural	0.763

Table 3.5.1: Precision of models

From the results, decision tree had the highest precision of 0.794, meaning that it has the highest reliability when predicting gross income.

#### 3.5.3 Recall

Recall is the ratio between the number of positive samples correctly classified as positive to the total number of positive samples. The formula for recall is as follows:

$$recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$
 $where:$ 
 $TP = True\ Positive$ 
 $FN = False\ negative$ 

Recall helps to measure the model's overall ability to detect positive samples. The higher the recall, the more positive samples were detected by the model. Recall is suitable for use when the dataset is imbalanced. From Figure 3.5.2, recall can be calculated and only the validation set was used to calculate recall. The calculation results are shown in Table 3.5.2.

Model	Recall
Decision Tree	0.791
HP SVM	0.830
HP Forest	0.836
Gradient Boosting	0.853
AutoNeural	0.871

Table 3.5.2: Recall of models

From the results, AutoNeural had the highest recall of 0.871, meaning that it has the highest overall ability to predict gross income.

### 3.5.4 F1-Score

F1-score is the harmonic mean of the precision and the recall. The goal of the F1-score is used to combine precision and recall into a single number. The formula for F1-score is as follows:

$$F1 = 2 x \frac{Precision \times Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$

From Table 3.5.1 and Table 3.5.2, we can calculate the F1-score for each machine learning model. The calculation results are shown in Table 3.5.3.

Model	F1-score
Decision Tree	0.792
HP SVM	0.796
HP Forest	0.811
Gradient Boosting	0.816
AutoNeural	0.814

Table 3.5.3: F1-score of models

From the results, the model with the best F1-score is Gradient Boosting, with an F1-score of 0.816. Thus, it can be concluded that overall, Gradient Boosting is the best performing model.

### 4.0 Conclusion

In conclusion, the project consisted of obtaining the raw data and preprocessing by adding column names and filling in missing values. The cleaned dataset was then exported and used as the data source for modeling work. Besides that, the 5 machine learning algorithms were trained on 1 set of data each. Next, the models were evaluated using misclassification rate, precision, recall and F1-score. The best performing model was Gradient boosting, with an F1-score of 0.816.

In terms of future works, the dataset could include more balanced data to correctly classify more categories of more than 50k gross income. Meanwhile, more machine learning models such as Logistic Regression can be fit using the Regression node, to compare more models to find the models with the best score. Lastly, most of the models that were used had default parameters. In the future, hyperparameter tuning could be done to further improve the machine learning model's predictive quality.

## References

- IBM. (2021, August 17). About SVM. Retrieved January 22, 2024, from <a href="https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/spss-modeler/saas?topic=models-about-svm">https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/spss-modeler/saas?topic=models-about-svm</a>
- IBM. (2024, January 22). What is a decision tree | IBM. Retrieved January 22, 2024, from <a href="https://www.ibm.com/my-en/topics/decision-trees">https://www.ibm.com/my-en/topics/decision-trees</a>
- Nicholson, C. (2020). A Beginner's Guide to Neural Networks and Deep Learning. Pathmind. Retrieved January 22, 2024, from <a href="https://wiki.pathmind.com/neural-network">https://wiki.pathmind.com/neural-network</a>
- Saini, A. (2024, January 10). *Gradient Boosting Algorithm: A complete guide for beginners*. Analytics Vidhya. Retrieved January 22, 2024 from <a href="https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/09/gradient-boosting-algorithm-a-complete-guide-for-beginners/">https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/09/gradient-boosting-algorithm-a-complete-guide-for-beginners/</a>

# Appendix - Presentation, GitHub

- Presentation video:
   <a href="https://drive.google.com/file/d/1V2ISD\_1nrDhZUIHMh7PTBnzSKUIKaUrr/view?usp=sharing">https://drive.google.com/file/d/1V2ISD\_1nrDhZUIHMh7PTBnzSKUIKaUrr/view?usp=sharing</a>
- Presentation slides: <a href="https://github.com/hongjiaherng/income-group-modeling/blob/main/submission/WIE3007">https://github.com/hongjiaherng/income-group-modeling/blob/main/submission/WIE3007</a> %20Group%20Assignment%20Slides.pdf
- GitHub link: <a href="https://github.com/hongjiaherng/income-group-modeling/tree/main">https://github.com/hongjiaherng/income-group-modeling/tree/main</a>