## Assignment on Lambda Calculus and Types

1. This problem uses the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus. Recall the Church numeral and boolean encoding:

Reduce the term (iszero (add  $\hat{1}$   $\hat{1}$ )) to a normal form. You can find the reduction rules below, and you can choose any reduction strategy. Please do not skip steps.

## Reduction rules:

$$\frac{M \to M'}{(\lambda x. \ M) \ N \ \to \ M[N/x]} \qquad \frac{M \to M'}{\lambda x. \ M \ \to \ \lambda x. \ M'}$$

$$\frac{M \to M'}{M \ N \ \to \ M' \ N} \qquad \frac{N \to N'}{M \ N \ \to \ M \ N'}$$

Substitution:

$$\begin{split} x[N/x] &= N \\ y[N/x] &= y \\ (M\,N)[N'/x] &= (M[N'/x])\,(N[N'/x]) \\ (\lambda x.\,M)[N/x] &= \lambda x.\,M \\ (\lambda y.\,M)[N/x] &= \lambda y.\,(M[N/x]), \quad \text{where } y \not\in \mathit{fv}(N) \\ (\lambda y.\,M)[N/x] &= \lambda z.\,(M[z/y])[N/x], \quad \text{where } y \in \mathit{fv}(N) \text{ and } z \text{ fresh} \end{split}$$

Free variables:

$$\mathit{fv}(x) = \{x\} \qquad \mathit{fv}(M\,N) = \mathit{fv}(M) \cup \mathit{fv}(N) \qquad \mathit{fv}(\lambda x.\,M) = \mathit{fv}(M) - \{x\}$$

Normal form: a term containing no redex.

2. (Modified from the course exam in Autumn 2018)

In this problem we add the option types to the simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus. We can use None and Some to construct terms of the option type, just like None and Some in Coq. Intuitively, None represents a dummy element (i.e. there is no meaningful element), Some M means that there is a meaningful element M, and get M gives us the meaningful element contained in M of the option type.

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(Types)} & \tau & ::= & \dots \mid \text{ option } \tau \\ \text{(Terms)} & M & ::= & \dots \mid \text{None } \mid \text{Some } M \mid \text{get } M \\ \text{(Values)} & v & ::= & \dots \mid \text{None } \mid \text{Some } v \end{array}$$

Reduction rules:

$$\frac{M \to M'}{\mathsf{Some} \ M \to \mathsf{Some} \ M'} \ (\mathsf{SOME}) \qquad \frac{M \to M'}{\mathsf{get} \ M \to \mathsf{get} \ M'} \ (\mathsf{GET-M})$$
 
$$\frac{\mathsf{get} \ (\mathsf{Some} \ M) \to M}{\mathsf{get} \ (\mathsf{Some} \ M) \to M} \ (\mathsf{GET-SOME}) \qquad \frac{\mathsf{get} \ \mathsf{None} \to \mathsf{get} \ \mathsf{None}}{\mathsf{get} \ \mathsf{None} \to \mathsf{get} \ \mathsf{None}} \ (\mathsf{GET-NONE})$$

- (a) Give 3 appropriate new typing rules, one for each new form of term. Note that your rules should ensure the preservation and progress theorems.
- (b) Consider each of the following questions in isolation. Answer yes or no.
  - i. Suppose we remove the above (GET-M) rule. Does the preservation theorem still hold? Does the progress theorem still hold?
  - ii. Suppose we remove both the above (SOME) rule and the above (GET-M) rule.

Does the preservation theorem still hold?

Does the progress theorem still hold?

iii. Suppose we add the following rule.

$$\frac{}{\mathsf{get}\ v \to \mathsf{get}\ v}\ \big(\mathsf{GET\text{-}V}\big)$$

Does the preservation theorem still hold?

Does the progress theorem still hold?

iv. Suppose we change the above (GET-SOME) rule to the following (GET-SOME') rule.

$$\frac{}{\mathsf{get}\;(\mathsf{Some}\;v)\to v}\;\left(\mathsf{GET}\text{-}\mathsf{SOME'}\right)$$

Does the preservation theorem still hold?

Does the progress theorem still hold?

v. Suppose we change the above (GET-SOME) rule to the following (GET-SOME") rule.

$$\overline{\mathsf{get}\;(\mathsf{Some}\;v)\to\mathsf{Some}\;(\mathsf{get}\;v)}\;\;\big(\mathrm{GET\text{-}SOME"}\big)$$

Does the preservation theorem still hold? Does the progress theorem still hold?

vi. Suppose we change the above (GET-NONE) rule to the following (GET-NONE') rule.

$$\overline{\text{get None} \to \text{None}} \ \big( \text{GET-NONE'} \big)$$

Does the preservation theorem still hold? Does the progress theorem still hold?