

# Guide to Fish Acute Toxicity (LC<sub>50</sub>) Model for biocides version 1.0.0

## **Table of Contents**

1. Model explanation	2
1.1 Introduction	
1.2 Model details	
1.3 Applicability Domain	
1.4 CORAL Correlation Weights	
1.5 Model statistics	
2. Model usage	
2.1 Input.	
2.2 Output	

## 1. Model explanation

## 1.1 Introduction

The model provides a quantitative prediction of acute toxicity in Fisha (LC<sub>50</sub>), given in -log(mmol/L) and converted in mg/L. It has been developed inside the EU LIFE COMBASE project (LIFE15 ENV/ES/416). It is implemented inside the VEGA online platform, accessible at:http://www.vega-qsar.eu/.

## 1.2 Model details

88 biocides with experimental data on LC<sub>50</sub> 96h for Fish Acute Toxicity (expressed as –Log mmol/L in base 10). Toxicity data were searched on several public sources and literature databases:

- the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Pesticides Ecotoxicity Database (http://www.ipmcenters.org/ecotox/)),
- the ECOTOX (https://cfpub.epa.gov/ecotox/) database.
- the OECD QSAR toolbox (www.qsartoolbox.org),
- the Pesticide Properties Database (PPDB) database (https://sitem.herts.ac.uk/aeru/ppdb/en),
- AMBIT (http://cefic-lri.org/toolbox/ambit/) database.

The data were selected considering all the criteria required by OECD 203 guideline.

CORAL (http://www.insilico.eu/coral) was used to develop a regression QSAR model from SMILES-based optimal descriptors. A CORAL mathematical model describes the relationship between an endpoint (dependent variable) and relevant SMILES attributes (independent variable), as explained in:

Toropov, A.A., Toropova, A.P., Roncaglioni, A., Benfenati, E. "Prediction of biochemical endpoints by the CORAL software: Prejudices, Paradoxes, and Results" (2018) Methods in Molecular Biology, 1800, pp. 573-583.

The final model formula obtained with CORAL is:

Endpoint = 
$$-0.9887356 (\pm 0.1138834) + 0.0454373 (\pm 0.0013499) * DCW(1,15)$$

where DCW is represented by the following formula, see section 1.4 for the list of CORAL fragments used in the model and their correlation weights:

$$DCW(T^*, N^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{NA} CW(S_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{NA-1} CW(SS_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{NA-2} CW(SSS_k)$$

## 1.3 Applicability Domain

The applicability domain of predictions is assessed using an Applicability Domain Index (ADI) that has values from 0 (worst case) to 1 (best case). The ADI is calculated by grouping several other indices, each one taking into account a particular issue of the applicability domain. Most of the indices are based on the calculation of the most similar compounds found in the training and test set of the model, calculated by a similarity index that consider molecule's fingerprint and structural aspects (count of atoms, rings and relevant fragments).

For each index, including the final ADI, three intervals for its values are defined, such that the first interval corresponds to a positive evaluation, the second one corresponds to a suspicious evaluation and the last one corresponds to a negative evaluation.

Following, all applicability domain components are reported along with their explanation and the intervals used.

- Similar molecules with known experimental value. This index takes into account how similar are the first two most similar compounds found. Values near 1 mean that the predicted compound is well represented in the dataset used to build the model, otherwise the prediction could be an extrapolation. Defined intervals are:

1 >= index > 0.85	strongly similar compounds with known experimental value in the training set have been found
0.85 >= index > 0.7	only moderately similar compounds with known experimental value in the training set have been found
index <= 0.7	no similar compounds with known experimental value in the training set have been found

- Accuracy (average error) of prediction for similar molecules. This index takes into account the error in prediction for the two most similar compounds found. Values near 0 mean that the predicted compounds falls in an area of the model's space where the model gives reliable predictions, otherwise the greater is the value, the worse the model behaves. Defined intervals are:

index < 0.8	accuracy of prediction for similar molecules found in the training set is good
0.8 <= index <= 1.2	accuracy of prediction for similar molecules found in the training set is not optimal
index > 1.2	accuracy of prediction for similar molecules found in the training set is not adequate

- Concordance with similar molecules (average difference between target compound prediction and experimental values of similar molecules). This index takes into account the difference between the predicted value and the experimental values of the two most similar compounds. Values near 0 mean that the prediction made agrees with the experimental values found in the model's space, thus the prediction is reliable. Defined intervals are:

index < 0.8	similar molecules found in the training set have experimental values that agree
	with the target compound predicted value

0.8 <= index <= 1.2	similar molecules found in the training set have experimental values that slightly disagree with the target compound predicted value
index > 1.2	similar molecules found in the training set have experimental values that completely disagree with the target compound predicted value

- Maximum error of prediction among similar molecules. This index takes into account the maximum error in prediction among the two most similar compounds. Values near 0 means that the predicted compounds falls in an area of the model's space where the model gives reliable predictions without any outlier value. Defined intervals are:

index < 0.8	the maximum error in prediction of similar molecules found in the training set has a low value, considering the experimental variability
0.8 <= index < 1.2	the maximum error in prediction of similar molecules found in the training set has a moderate value, considering the experimental variability
index >= 1.2	the maximum error in prediction of similar molecules found in the training set has a high value, considering the experimental variability

- Atom Centered Fragments similarity check. This index takes into account the presence of one or more fragments that aren't found in the training set, or that are rare fragments. First order atom centered fragments from all molecules in the training set are calculated, then compared with the first order atom centered fragments from the predicted compound; then the index is calculated as following: a first index RARE takes into account rare fragments (those who occur less than three times in the training set), having value of 1 if no such fragments are found, 0.85 if up to 2 fragments are found, 0.7 if more than 2 fragments are found; a second index NOTFOUND takes into account not found fragments, having value of 1 if no such fragments are found, 0.6 if a fragments is found, 0.4 if more than 1 fragment is found. Then, the final index is given as the product RARE \* NOTFOUND. Defined intervals are:

index = 1	all atom centered fragment of the compound have been found in the compounds of the training set
1 > index >= 0.7	some atom centered fragment of the compound have not been found in the compounds of the training set or are rare fragments
index < 0.7	a prominent number of atom centered fragments of the compound have not been found in the compounds of the training set or are rare fragments

- Model descriptors range check. This index checks if the descriptors calculated for the predicted compound are inside the range of descriptors of the training and test set. The index has value 1 if all descriptors are inside the range, 0 if at least one descriptor is out of the range. Defined intervals are:

index = True	descriptors for this compound have values inside the descriptor range of the compounds of the training set
index = False	descriptors for this compound have values outside the descriptor range of the compounds of the training set

- Global AD Index. The final global index takes into account all the previous indices, in order to give a general global assessment on the applicability domain for the predicted compound. Defined intervals

#### are:

1 >= index >= 0.85	predicted substance is into the Applicability Domain of the model	
0.85 > index >= 0.7	predicted substance could be out of the Applicability Domain of the model	
index < 0.7	predicted substance is out of the the Applicability Domain of the model	

# **1.4 CORAL Correlation Weights**

SAk	CW(SAk)
#	3.74739
((	-1.12485
(	0.00307
(C#	0.0
(C(	0.62320
(F(	0.93749
(Br(	0.0
(I(	0.0
(Cl(	0.43955
(N#	0.0
(N(	2.06503
(0(	-0.68385
(c(	0.87382
(n(	0.0
+	0.0
+[(	0.0
	0.0
[(	0.0
1((	0.0
1(	1.56523
1	2.62983
12(	0.0
1C(	2.37940
1Br(	0.0
1Cl(	0.0
1N(	0.0
1S(	0.0
1c(	1.99726
2(	0.0
2(	3.37471
2	0.25095
21	0.0
2C(	-0.87306
2Br(	0.0

0 1	
2Cl(	0.0
2N(	-0.00233
20(	0.0
2c(	0.25214
2c1	8.50023
2s1	3.44182
3(	-0.31557
3	-0.31599
34(	0.0
	0.99653
3C(	
3C2	0.0
3Cl(	0.0
3c(	2.56065
4(	-1.12389
4	0.19204
	0.0
43	0.18812
4c(	Į.
4c1	0.43449
5(	0.00219
5	0.12045
5C(	0.49691
5C1	0.81447
5c1	0.0
	0.18678
5c2	
5c3	0.0
6(	0.68812
6	-0.00208
=((	0.0
=(	1.19152
=	1.74963
=C(	0.50413
=C1	0.31270
=C2	0.25325
=C3	0.0
=N(	0.0
=0(	-1.24952
=S(	-0.30936
C#	3.81210
C#C	1.37415
C((	1.12305
	I .
C(	0.43854
C(1	2.74838
C(2	-0.25445
C(3	0.50328
C(4	0.55937
C(6	0.69136
	0.05150

C(=	2.50366
C(C	0.31547
	1.12376
C	4.74948
C1(	
C1	0.49732
C1C	-1.43557
C2(	0.0
C2	0.12906
C2C	0.0
	1.56273
C3(	
C3	0.50275
C34	0.0
C3C	0.68545
C4	0.0
C4C	0.0
	0.06655
C5(	
C5	0.06459
C=(	2.00332
C=	4.50464
C=C	6.37113
CC#	4.37473
CC(	0.50490
CC	1.99597
CC1	0.0
	3.00161
CC2	
CC3	0.43875
CC=	4.49812
CCC	2.12749
CBr(	0.0
CI(	1.75238
CN(	1.44158
CN1	0.0
CN=	0.49761
	0.62532
CO(	
C01	0.0
C2	0.0
COC	0.0
CS(	0.0
CS1	0.0
CS2	-0.62596
CSC	1.99814
	0.75021
Cc1	l .
Cc2	2.93655
Cc3	-3.81195
Cn2	-0.00284
F((	0.05913

	T
F(	0.74675
F(C	0.37817
F(F	1.43815
F	0.25451
Н	0.62691
	0.50476
H[1	
Br((	0.0
Br(	0.0
Br(C	0.0
Br(Br	0.0
Br	0.0
Br1	0.0
Br2	0.0
BrC(	0.0
BrC	0.0
	4.12339
I(	0.0
	0.0
I(I	
I	3.43458
IC#	0.87854
IC	2.30955
Cl((	-0.18798
Cl(	1.18498
Cl(1	-0.06480
Cl(2	0.0
Cl(3	0.94074
Cl(C	2.25004
Cl(F	-0.81204
Cl(Cl	1.99563
C1	1.62338
Cl1	0.0
C12	0.0
C13	0.0
ClN(	0.12207
3.7 "	3.93884
N#C	5.37277
N((	3.18380 2.06538
N(	
N(1	0.0
N(C	1.25217
N(I	2.05953
N(Cl	-3.31302
N(N	0.0
N+	0.0
N	1.18729
N1	0.0

N 1 C	0.0
N1C	
N1Cl	0.0
N2	-0.06498
N2C	0.37214
N=(	0.0
N=	-0.19158
N=C	-4.30784
	-0.06567
NC#	
NC(	0.0
NC	1.24620
NC1	0.0
NC2	0.0
NCC	0.93825
NCl(	-0.18672
NCl	1.50282
NS(	0.0
	1.87828
NS1	0.0
NSC	
N[=	0.0
Nc1	0.37815
0((	-1.12256
0(	-0.12432
0(1	0.0
0(2	0.0
0(3	0.0
0(5	0.0
0(=	0.81172
O(C	-1.75248
O(Br	0.0
O(N	1.99795
	0.0
0(0	
0	0.0
0	0.49868
01	0.06169
01C	0.18672
02(	0.0
02	0.0
0=(	-0.74566
0=	0.05866
O=C	0.37693
OC(	1.93818
0C	1.12973
OC4	
O C -	0.0
OC=	0.0
OCC	0.0 0.49669
	0.0

00	5.75390
0[(	0.0
0c1	2.55832
0c2	3.37636
Oc6	-0.24621
S(	2.24615
S(=	-0.62606
S(C	0.0
	0.0
S(Cl	
S	3.99638
S1(	0.0
S1	2.24512
S1N	0.0
S2	0.12217
	0.0
S=(	
S=	4.49794
S=O	0.18947
SC#	2.12163
SC(	0.0
SC	2.25077
SC=	0.0
	0.12585
SCC	I .
SCS	1.62136
SN	10.12430
SN1	0.0
SN2	2.74929
Sc2	1.93918
[(	1.74813
[(2	0.00372
[(C	0.0
[(N	0.0
[[]	0.0
[+	0.0
[+N	0.0
[	0.0
[0	0.0
[	-0.18726
[1(	0.74817
	1.44004
[1	
[=	0.0
[=0	0.0
[H	-0.19176
[N+	0.0
[N	0.0
[0	0.0
	0.0
[0	1

[c(	0.0
[c1	0.00263
[nH	0.12081
<b>†</b>	1.75077
C((	0.43394
C(	
c(1	0.44031
c(2	0.56472
c(C	-0.24907
c(F	-0.25078
c(Cl	1.00485
c(N	0.0
c(o	2.50327
C([	0.0
<b>†</b>	0.12232
C(C	
C	1.00089
c1(	0.12634
c1	0.37362
c12	0.0
c1C	-0.19168
c1Br	0.0
c1N	0.0
c10	0.0
c1S	0.37525
c1c	3.93614
c2(	1.56416
c2	0.93464
c2C	0.37341
c2Br	0.0
	0.0
c2Cl	
c20	0.0
c2c	0.30867
c3(	-0.56068
c3	0.81391
c3C	0.0
c3Cl	0.0
c3c	0.49858
c4(	0.24632
c4	0.30893
c4c	-0.06070
c5(	0.0
c5	0.25183
c5C	0.43617
c5c	0.0
c6(	-0.00196
c6	-0.00285
c6c	-0.18818

cC#	0.0
cC(	0.56144
cC	0.93356
cC1	0.0
cCo	-0.31442
cN(	-0.37783
cN	-0.24619
co(	2.12292
	1.99593
c0	-0.05816
c01	0.0
coc	
cS	2.18393
cSC	2.87066
C[	0.24847
С[Н	0.12219
cc(	0.87399
CC	0.75071
cc1	0.87296
cc2	0.62662
cc3	-0.99567
cc4	0.24507
cc5	0.0
cc6	0.00172
CC[	0.44135
CCC	0.62641
cn(	0.0
cn1	0.62021
cn2	0.06451
cnc	-2.93387
	0.0
n(Cl	0.0
	0.0
n(c	0.00205
n	
n1	1.69058
n1c	0.93915
n2	0.99865
n2c	9.93463
n2n	-0.12718
nC(	-0.62980
nC	-1.18674
nH	0.44235
nH[	0.18689
n[(	8.50378
n[	0.12223
n[c	0.31723
nc(	0.87989
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>

nc	-2.12188
nc1	2.06282
nc2	0.68608
ncn	-4.00202
nn(	0.0
nn	0.0
nn1	0.0
nnc	0.0
S	2.31655
s1	2.56089
s1c	1.74884
s2	1.69083

# 1.5 Model statistics

Following, statistics obtained applying the model to its original dataset:

	n	R2	Q2	RMSE	F
Active Training set	22	0.7908	0.7422	0.890	76
Passive training set	22	0.8323	0.8051	1.40	99
Calibration set	22	0.8351	0.8004	0.707	101
Validation set	22	0.7931		0.740	

## 2. Model usage

# **2.1 Input**

The model accepts as input two molecule formats: SDF (multiple MOL file) and SMILES. All molecules found as input are preprocessed before the calculation of molecular descriptors, in order to obtain a standardized representation of compound. For this reason, some cautions should be taken.

- **Hydrogen atoms**. In SDF files, hydrogen atoms should be explicit. As some times SDF file store only skeleton atoms, and hydrogen atoms are implicit, during the processing of the molecule the system tries to add implicit hydrogens on the basis of the known standard valence of each atom (for example, if a carbon atoms has three single bonds, an hydrogen atom will be added such to reach a valence of four). In SMILES molecules, the default notation uses implicit hydrogen. Anyway please note that in some cases it is necessary to explicitly report an hydrogen; this happens when the conformation is not unambiguous. For example, when a nitrogen atom is into an aromatic ring with a notation like "cnc" it is not clear whether it corresponds to C-N=C or to C-[NH]-C, thus if the situation is the latter, it should be explicitly reported as "c[nH]c".
- Aromaticity. The system calculates aromaticity using the basic Hueckel rule. Note that each software for drawing and storing of molecules can use different approaches to aromaticity (for instance, commonly the user can choose between the basic Hueckel rule and a loose approach that lead to considering aromatic a greater number of rings). As in the input files aromaticity can be set explicitly (for instance, in SMILES format by using lowercase letters), during the processing of the molecule the system removes aromaticity from rings that don't satisfy the Hueckel rule. Please note that when aromaticity is removed from a ring, it is not always possible to rebuild the original structure in Kekule form (i.e. with an alternation of single and double bonds, like in the SMILES for benzene, C=1C=CC=CC1), in this case all bonds are set to single. Furthermore, please note that aromaticity detection is a really relevant issue, some molecular descriptors can have significantly different values whether a ring is perceived as aromatic or not. For this reason it is strongly recommended:
- Always use explicit hydrogens in SDF file.
- Avoid explicit aromaticity notation in original files; in this way, the perception of aromaticity is left to the preprocessing step and there is no chance of mistakes due to the transformation of rings that were set to aromatic in the original format but not recognized as aromatic in VEGA.

Note that when some modification of the molecule are performed during the preprocessing (e.g. adding of lacking hydrogens, correction of aromaticity), a warning is given in the remark field of the results.

# 2.2 Output

Results given as text file consist of a plain-text tabbed file (easily importable and processable by any spreadsheet software) containing in each row all the information about the prediction of a molecule. Note that if some problems were encountered while processing the molecule structure, some warning

are reported in the last field (Remarks).

Results given as PDF file consists of a document containing all the information about the prediction. For each molecule, results are organized in sections with the following order:

### 1 – Prediction summary

Here is reported a depiction of the compound and the final assessment of the prediction (i.e. the prediction made together with the analysis of the applicability domain). The prediction and the experimental value (if available) are given in log(1/(mmol/L)) and in mg/L

Note that if some problems were encountered while processing the molecule structure, some warnings are reported in the last field (Remarks).

A graphical representation of the evaluation of the prediction and of its reliability is also provided, using the following elements:

- Compound is non-toxic, LC<sub>50</sub> value is more than 100 mg/l
- Compound is toxic, LC<sub>50</sub> value is less than 100 mg/l and more than 10 mg/l
- Compound is toxic, LC<sub>50</sub> value is less than 10 mg/l and more than 1 mg/l
- Compound is highly toxic, LC<sub>50</sub> value is less than 1 mg/l
- Prediction has low reliability (compound out of the AD)
- Prediction has moderate reliability (compound could be out of the AD)
- Prediction has high reliability (compound into the AD)
- 3.1 Applicability Domain: Similar compounds, with predicted and experimental values

  Here it is reported the list of the six most similar compounds found in the training and test set of the model, along with their depiction and relevant information (mainly experimental value and predicted value).
- 3.2 Applicability Domain: Measured Applicability Domain scores

  Here it is reported the list of all Applicability Domain scores, starting with the global Applicability

  Domain Index (ADI). Note that the final assessment on prediction reliability is given on the basis of
  the value of the ADI. For each index, it is reported its value and a brief explanation of the meaning
  of that value.
- 4.1 Reasoning: Relevant chemical fragments and moieties
  If some rare and/or missing Atom Centered Fragments are found, they are reported here with a depiction of each fragment.