The Gaussian Free Field: Properties and Applications

Mark A. Olson

October 2022

Let us have G=(V,E) be a finite undirected graph. Let there be non-negative weights on the edges, $(w_e)_{e\in E}$. Let us also distinguish a set of vertices $\partial V \subset V$ and call this set of vertices "the boundary." Let $\hat{V}=V\setminus \partial V$ be "the interior" of V.

Let us have G=(V,E) be a finite undirected graph. Let there be non-negative weights on the edges, $(w_e)_{e\in E}$. Let us also distinguish a set of vertices $\partial V\subset V$ and call this set of vertices "the boundary." Let $\hat{V}=V\setminus\partial V$ be "the interior" of V.

Let us define a matrix, $Q=(q_{x,y})_{x,y\in V}$ such that

$$q_{x,y} = \begin{cases} w_{x,y}, & x \neq y \\ -\sum_{z \sim x} w_{x,z}, & x = y \end{cases}$$
 (1)

This is the **graph Laplacian**.

Let us define the **Green's function**,

$$G(x,y) = \frac{1}{-q_{y,y}} \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_n = y; \tau > n\}} \right], \tag{2}$$

where Y_n is a random walk on G, which jumps from z to w with probability proportional to $w_{z,w}$, and is killed at time $\tau = \min\{k : Y_k \in \partial V\}$.

Let us define the **Green's function**,

$$G(x,y) = \frac{1}{-q_{y,y}} \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_n = y; \tau > n\}} \right], \tag{2}$$

where Y_n is a random walk on G, which jumps from z to w with probability proportional to $w_{z,w}$, and is killed at time $\tau = \min\{k : Y_k \in \partial V\}$.

It is true that

$$G(x,y) = (-\hat{Q})^{-1}(x,y), \ x,y \in \hat{V}$$
 (3)

where \hat{Q} is the restriction of Q to $\hat{V} \times \hat{V}$.



A function $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ is **harmonic** if

$$Qh(x) = \sum_{y} q_{x,y}h(y) = 0, \ \forall x \in \hat{V}. \tag{4}$$

A function $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ is **harmonic** if

$$Qh(x) = \sum_{y} q_{x,y}h(y) = 0, \ \forall x \in \hat{V}. \tag{4}$$

Let us define the **Dirichlet energy** of *h* as

$$\mathcal{E}[h] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in V} q_{x,y} (h(x) - h(y))^2.$$
 (5)

Recall: given any boundary condition, the harmonic function satisfying the boundary constraints is also the minimizer of of $\mathcal{E}[h]$.

Theorem

The law of the **discrete GFF** is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{|V|}$. The joint density with respect to Lebesgue measure is proportional to

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x,y\in V}q_{x,y}(h(x)-h(y))^{2}\right)$$
 (6)

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}h(\hat{\mathbf{x}})^{\mathsf{T}}G^{-1}h(\hat{\mathbf{x}})\right),\tag{7}$$

with h(x) = 0 for $x \in \partial V$.

Notice, $h: \hat{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a centered Gaussian on the interior of V with a covariance structure given by the Green's function.



Facts about the Green's function in 2 dimensions. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Facts about the Green's function in 2 dimensions. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

- ② $G_0^D(x,\cdot)$ is harmonic in $D\setminus\{x\}$ with $\Delta G_0^D(x,\cdot)=-2\pi\delta_x(\cdot)$ as distribution.

Facts about the Green's function in 2 dimensions. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

- ② $G_0^D(x,\cdot)$ is harmonic in $D\setminus\{x\}$ with $\Delta G_0^D(x,\cdot)=-2\pi\delta_x(\cdot)$ as distribution.
- $G_0^{\mathbb{D}}(0,z) = -\log|z|.$

Facts about the Green's function in 2 dimensions. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

- ② $G_0^D(x,\cdot)$ is harmonic in $D\setminus\{x\}$ with $\Delta G_0^D(x,\cdot)=-2\pi\delta_x(\cdot)$ as distribution.
- **3** $G_0^{\mathbb{D}}(0,z) = -\log|z|$.

$$G_0^D(x,y) = -\log(|x-y|) + \log(R(x;D)) + o(1), \tag{8}$$

where R(x; D) is the conformal radius of $x \in D$.



The 2-d GFF: As a Stochastic Process

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be any domain on which the Green's function is finite. Let \mathcal{M}_0^+ be the set of non-negative measures with compact support such that

$$\int_{D^2} G_0^D \rho(dx) \rho(dy) < \infty.$$

Let \mathcal{M}_0 be the set of signed measures $\rho = \rho_- + \rho_+$.

The 2-d GFF: As a Stochastic Process

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be any domain on which the Green's function is finite. Let \mathcal{M}_0^+ be the set of non-negative measures with compact support such that

$$\int_{D^2} G_0^D \rho(dx) \rho(dy) < \infty.$$

Let \mathcal{M}_0 be the set of signed measures $\rho = \rho_- + \rho_+$. For $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{M}_0$ let

$$\Gamma_0(\rho_1, \rho_2) := \int_{D^2} G_0^D(x, y) \rho_1(dx) \rho_2(dy). \tag{9}$$

Note that \mathcal{M}_0 includes the case that $\rho(x)=f(x)dx$ where f(x) is a continuous function with compact support, but does not include any point masses due to logarithmic singularity of G_0^D on the diagonal.

The 2-d GFF: As a Stochastic Process

Theorem

There exists a unique stochastic process $(h_{\rho})_{\rho \in \mathcal{M}_0}$, such that for every choice of ρ_1, \ldots, ρ_n , the vector $(h_{\rho_1}, \ldots, h_{\rho_n})$ is a centered Gaussian vector with covariances structure $Cov(h_{\rho_i}, h_{\rho_j}) = \Gamma_0(\rho_i, \rho_j)$.

Recalling from last slide that,

$$\Gamma_0(\rho_1, \rho_2) := \int_{D^2} G_0^D(x, y) \rho_1(x) \rho_2(y). \tag{10}$$

The finite dimensional marginals are enough to uniquely characterize the infinite dimensional process by Kolmogorov's extension theorem.

The GFF defined as a stochastic process cannot realize all values of h_{ρ} simultaneously. So, we would like to work with a version of h which lives in some function space.

The GFF defined as a stochastic process cannot realize all values of h_{ρ} simultaneously. So, we would like to work with a version of h which lives in some function space.

Recall the Dirichlet inner product,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, dx.$$
 (11)

The GFF defined as a stochastic process cannot realize all values of h_{ρ} simultaneously. So, we would like to work with a version of h which lives in some function space.

Recall the Dirichlet inner product,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \ dx.$$
 (11)

We can take an ONB of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta f_n = \lambda_n f_n, & D \\ f_n = 0, & \partial D. \end{cases}$$
 (12)

The GFF defined as a stochastic process cannot realize all values of h_{ρ} simultaneously. So, we would like to work with a version of h which lives in some function space.

Recall the Dirichlet inner product,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \ dx.$$
 (11)

We can take an ONB of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta f_n = \lambda_n f_n, & D \\ f_n = 0, & \partial D. \end{cases}$$
 (12)

Reasoning then goes

• For $-\Delta f = 2\pi \rho$ with $\rho, f \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$ we have that $||f||_{\nabla}^2 = \Gamma_0(\rho, \rho)$.

Reasoning then goes

- For $-\Delta f = 2\pi \rho$ with $\rho, f \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$ we have that $||f||_{\nabla}^2 = \Gamma_0(\rho, \rho)$.
- This suggests that we want $\operatorname{Var}(\langle h, f \rangle_{\nabla}) = \|f\|_{\nabla}^2$.

Reasoning then goes

- For $-\Delta f = 2\pi \rho$ with $\rho, f \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$ we have that $||f||_{\nabla}^2 = \Gamma_0(\rho, \rho)$.
- This suggests that we want $\operatorname{Var}(\langle h, f \rangle_{\nabla}) = \|f\|_{\nabla}^2$.
- Polarization would then suggest that $\mathsf{Cov}(\langle h,f \rangle_\nabla,\langle h,g \rangle_\nabla) = \langle f,g \rangle_\nabla.$

Reasoning then goes

- For $-\Delta f = 2\pi \rho$ with $\rho, f \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$ we have that $||f||_{\nabla}^2 = \Gamma_0(\rho, \rho)$.
- This suggests that we want $\operatorname{Var}(\langle h, f \rangle_{\nabla}) = \|f\|_{\nabla}^2$.
- ullet Polarization would then suggest that $\mathrm{Cov}(\langle h,f
 angle_{
 abla},\langle h,g
 angle_{
 abla}) = \langle f,g
 angle_{
 abla}.$
- So, f_n and f_m should be uncorrelated unit variance Gaussians, so h
 could be understood as a random series,

$$h_N = \sum_{n=1}^N X_n f_n,$$

with X_n i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

What kind of convergence do we get as $N \to \infty$?



Does h_N converge in $H^1_0(D)$? No! But, does $\langle h_N, f \rangle_{\nabla}$ converge? Yes! It converges almost surely, and in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

Does h_N converge in $H^1_0(D)$? No! But, does $\langle h_N, f \rangle_{\nabla}$ converge? Yes! It converges almost surely, and in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

Theorem

Suppose D is bounded. If $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are i.i.d standard Gaussian random variables and $(f_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is any orthonormal basis of $H^1_0(D)$, then the series $\sum_{n\geq 1} X_n f_n$ converges almost surely in $H^s_0(D)$, where

$$s=1-\frac{d}{2}-\epsilon,$$

for any $\epsilon>0$. In particular, for d=2, the series converges in $H_0^{-\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon>0$.

This also implies that h_N converges in the space of distributions, $(C_0^{\infty})'(D)$.



The 2-d GFF: Conformal Invariance

Theorem

The Dirichlet inner product is conformaly invariant. That is, for $\phi: D \to D'$ a conformal map, and $f, g: D \to \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\int_{D'} \nabla (f \circ \phi^{-1}) \cdot \nabla (g \circ \phi^{-1}) = \int_{D} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g.$$

The 2-d GFF: Conformal Invariance

Theorem

The Dirichlet inner product is conformaly invariant. That is, for $\phi:D\to D'$ a conformal map, and $f,g:D\to\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\int_{D'} \nabla (f \circ \phi^{-1}) \cdot \nabla (g \circ \phi^{-1}) = \int_{D} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g.$$

Theorem

If h is a random distribution on $(C_0^\infty)'(D)$ with the law of the Gaussian free field on D,, the the distribution of $h \circ \phi^{-1}$ defined by setting $(h \circ \phi^{-1}, f) = (h, |\phi'|^2 (f \circ \phi))$ for $f \in C_0^\infty(D)$, has the law of a GFF on D'.

The 2-d GFF: Circle Averages

Let us fix $z \in D$. Let $\rho_{z,\epsilon}$ denote the uniform distribution on the circle of radius ϵ around z. Note, $\rho_{z,\epsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_0$. Set $h_{\epsilon}(z) = (h, \rho_{z,\epsilon})$.

Theorem,

Let h be a GFF on D. Let $0 < \epsilon_0 < d(z, \partial D)$. For $t \ge t_0 = \log(1/\epsilon_0)$, set

$$B_t = h_{e^{-t}}(z).$$

Then $(B_t, t \ge t_0)$ has the law of a Brownian motion started from B_{t_0} .

The 2-d GFF: Thick Points

We let h be a GFF on $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ simply connected and let $\alpha > 0$. We way a point $z \in D$ is α -**thick** if

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{h_{\epsilon}(z)}{\log(1/\epsilon)} = \alpha.$$

The 2-d GFF: Thick Points

We let h be a GFF on $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ simply connected and let $\alpha > 0$. We way a point $z \in D$ is α -thick if

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{h_{\epsilon}(z)}{\log(1/\epsilon)} = \alpha.$$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{T}_{α} denote the set of α -thick points. Then almost surely,

$$\dim(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}) = (2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2})_+$$

and \mathcal{T}_{α} is empty if $\alpha > 2$.



Liouville Quantum Gravity

It is our desire to construct the Liouville measure, formally,

$$\mu_{\gamma}(dz) = e^{\gamma h(z)} dz. \tag{13}$$

Liouville Quantum Gravity

It is our desire to construct the Liouville measure, formally,

$$\mu_{\gamma}(dz) = e^{\gamma h(z)} dz. \tag{13}$$

We define approximating measures

$$\mu_{\epsilon}(dz) := e^{\gamma h_{\epsilon}(z)} \epsilon^{\gamma^2/2} dz. \tag{14}$$

Liouville Quantum Gravity

It is our desire to construct the Liouville measure, formally,

$$\mu_{\gamma}(dz) = e^{\gamma h(z)} dz. \tag{13}$$

We define approximating measures

$$\mu_{\epsilon}(dz) := e^{\gamma h_{\epsilon}(z)} \epsilon^{\gamma^2/2} dz. \tag{14}$$

Theorem

Suppose $0 \le \gamma < 2$. If D is bounded, then the random measure μ_{ϵ} converges weakly amost surely to a random measure μ , the (bulk) Liouville measure, along the subsequence $\epsilon = 2^{-k}$. μ a.s. has no atoms, and for any $A \subset D$ open, we have $\mu(A) > 0$ almost surely. In fact, we have $\mathbb{E}(\mu(A)) = \int_{\Delta} R(z,D)^{\gamma^2/2} dz$.

LQG: Thick points

Theorem

Suppose $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is bounded. Let z be a point sampled according to the Liouville measure μ , normalized to be a probability measure. Then, a.s.,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{h_{\epsilon}(z)}{\log(1/\epsilon)} = \gamma.$$

That is, z is almost surely a γ -thick point.

So the γ -Liouville measure is supported on the set \mathcal{T}_{γ} . Thick points with $\alpha < \gamma$ don't carry enough weight, and there aren't enough thick points with $\alpha > \gamma$ to matter in the measure.

LQG: Conformal covariance

Theorem

Let $f:D\to D'$ be a conformal map, and let h be a GFF in D. Then $h'=f\circ f^{-1}$ is a GF in D', and

$$\mu_h \circ f^{-1} = e^{\gamma Q \log |(f^{-1})'|} \mu_{h'}$$

where $Q = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{2}{\gamma}$.

LQG: Convergence of planar maps to LQG

- Spanning tree decorated planar map converges to $\sqrt{2}$ -LQG
- ullet Uniform Random Triangulations converge to $\sqrt{8/3}$ -LQG
- Conjectures on other maps such as the critical bond percolation decorated uniform map and critical Ising model decorated map.