

CS171.01 Final Project: Massive Rigid-Body Simulation

NAME: 丁弘毅 王鸿润 刘放勋

STUDENT ID: 2020533039 2020533102 2020533047

EMAIL:

DINGHY1@SHANGHAITECH.EDU.CN

WANGHR@SHANGHAITECH.EDU.CN

LIUFX@SHANGHAITECH.EDU.CN

1 INTRODUCTION & WORKLOAD

In this project, we are going to simulate massive rigid body. The workload can be divided mainly into three parts: transformation over time, collision detection and collision response. These parts are finished by 王鸿润, 丁弘毅 and 刘放勋 respectively.

The whole pipeline is that in each fixed time interval, we simulate the whole scene for a certain number of times, including updating positions & velocities and coping with collisions. In each single simulation, we first update the position, velocity and angular velocity of a rigid body according to its acceleration, induced by forces from gravity, collision and friction. Then we check whether there are two of the objects in the scene collide with each other, and if so, we get the collision position, the normal and the signed distance. At last, in the collision response, we tear the two objects apart, and change the velocity & angular velocity of them according to physical formulas.

Here are some useful links:

- [GAMES103 Lecture 3,4,9](#)

2 BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF THE PAPER'S WORK

In the time background of the paper, many rigid body physics algorithms were slow, used too much memory, were difficult to implement, or had other nasty limitations. The author of *Iterative Dynamics with Temporal Coherence* raised three ideas:

- Use an approximate contact model that is easy to solve.
- Use a sloppy but fast constraint solver.
- Clean up the solution over several frames.

The author used the following toolkits in his implementation:

- Contact point calculator.
- Rigid bodies, constraints, and Jacobians.
- Gauss-Seidel constraint solver and simple integrator.
- Contact cache.

The key procedure of his algorithm is shown below:

In each time stepping:

1. Generate contact points.
2. Initialize contact forces λ using a contact cache (generated in the previous step).
3. Compute the Jacobian \mathbf{J} for non-penetration and friction constraints.
4. Form an equation for λ .
5. Use a Gauss-Seidel solver to refine λ .
6. Compute new velocities v and ω using λ .
7. Compute new positions x and q from v and ω .
8. Store λ in the contact cache.
9. Go to step 1.

His algorithm can be summarized as:

- Compute the collision point.
- Apply external force (such as gravity).
- Apply impulse.
- Update the positions.
- Go back to loop.

3 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

3.1 Transform over time

For each object, it has its own velocity and angular velocity, acceleration and angular acceleration. In simulation, we update the status of every object, compute the position and normal.

For velocity,

$$velocity += acceleration \times Ddeltat, \quad position += v \times \Delta t.$$

For angular velocity,

$$\omega += angularacceleration \times \Delta t$$

1:2 ■ Name: 丁弘毅 王鸿润 刘放勋
 student ID: 2020533039 2020533102 2020533047
 email:
 dinghy1@shanghaitech.edu.cn

wanghr@shanghaitech.edu.cn
 and the Quaternion of rotation is $q = q + \{0, \frac{\delta t}{2}\omega\} \times q$ and
 liufx@shanghaitech.edu.cn
 normalize the Quaternion.

Then apply the transform function to change positon.

3.2 Collision Detection

3.3 Collision Response

First, we implement a simple form of collision response. If the velocity v of current object is pointing into the collided object, we check the dot product of v and normal n of the collision point: $t = v \cdot n$. If $t < 0$, we reverse both v and t . Then we use the following way to update the velocity:

$$v \leftarrow 2tn - v$$

To make the resting contact stable, we judge that when the velocity is too small, stop updating position of the object.

Then we look into a more advanced collision response. We choose the impulse method. Its basic idea is to use the velocity change of the collision point to get the impulse applied on the object, then use the impulse to update the velocity of the whole object. We implement the impulse method in the following four steps.

Step 1: Judge whether the update is needed

In the previous collision detection phase, we have detected the collision point. Then we need to judge whether the velocity v_i of the collision point is pointing to the inside of the collided object. If not, then we don't need to do the update as the velocity is already outward.

Step 2: Compute the new velocity v_i^{new} of the collision point

Denote the normal of the collision point to be N , pointing to the outside of the collided object. First we decompose the old velocity v_i into normal velocity $v_{N,i}$ and tangential velocity $v_{T,i}$:

$$v_{N,i} \leftarrow (v_i \cdot N)N$$

$$v_{T,i} \leftarrow v_i - v_{N,i}$$

We introduce two coefficients $\mu_N, \mu_T \in (0, 1)$ to update the two decomposed velocities separately. We wish our object to go away from the collided object, so the normal velocity should be reversed, and by conservation of energy, the out normal velocity should be no larger than the in normal velocity, and may decay in energy. So we update the normal velocity by the following:

$$v_{N,i}^{new} \leftarrow -\mu_N v_{N,i}$$

Due to the existence of friction, the tangential velocity should also decay in energy. We update the tangential velocity by

$$r \times q = \begin{bmatrix} r_y q_z - r_z q_y \\ r_z q_x - r_x q_z \\ r_x q_y - r_y q_x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -r_z & r_y \\ r_z & 0 & -r_x \\ -r_y & r_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_x \\ q_y \\ q_z \end{bmatrix} = r^* q$$

Fig. 1. conversion of cross product to matrix product

the following:

$$v_{T,i}^{new} \leftarrow a v_{T,i}$$

The computation of a is needed. By Amontons-Coulomb Friction Laws, we know

$$F_f \leq \mu_T F_N,$$

where μ_T is the friction coefficient, then

$$\|v_{T,i}^{new} - v_{T,i}\| \leq \mu_T \|v_{N,i}^{new} - v_{N,i}\|$$

$$(1 - a) \|v_{T,i}\| \leq \mu_T (1 + \mu_N) \|v_{N,i}\|$$

$$a \leq 1 - \frac{\mu_T (1 + \mu_N) \|v_{N,i}\|}{\|v_{T,i}\|}$$

In our simulation, we take the equals sign. a should also satisfies $a \geq 0$, so the computation of a is:

$$a \leftarrow \max(1 - \frac{\mu_T (1 + \mu_N) \|v_{N,i}\|}{\|v_{T,i}\|}, 0)$$

Now we have the normal and tangential velocity of the new velocity, then the new velocity is:

$$v_i^{new} \leftarrow v_{N,i} + v_{T,i}$$

Step 3: Compute the impulse j

Assume the impulse is applied on the collision point, it will change the velocity and the angular velocity of the object:

$$v^{new} \leftarrow v + \frac{1}{M} j$$

$$\omega^{new} \leftarrow \omega + I^{-1} (Rr_i \times j)$$

where I is the inertia, R is the rotation matrix and r is the local coordinate of the collision point. $(Rr_i \times j)$ is the torque induced by j .

Then we take the v^{new}, ω^{new} into following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} v_i^{new} &= v^{new} + \omega^{new} \times Rr_i \\ &= v + \frac{1}{M} j + (\omega + I^{-1} (Rr_i \times j)) \times Rr_i \\ &= v_i + \frac{1}{M} j + (I^{-1} (Rr_i \times j)) \times Rr_i \\ &= v_i + \frac{1}{M} j - (Rr_i) \times (I^{-1} (Rr_i \times j)) \end{aligned}$$

We convert the cross product $\text{mathbf{fr}} \times$ into a matrix product $\text{mathbf{fr}}^*$ in the way shown in Fig.1. Then we can convert the above equation to the following:

$$v_i^{new} = v_i + \frac{1}{M} j - (Rr_i) * I^{-1} (Rr_i) * j$$

So we can get:

$$v_i^{new} - v_i = K j$$

where

$$\mathbf{K} \leftarrow \frac{1}{M} \mathbf{1} - (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{r}_i) * \mathbf{I}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{r}_i) *$$

Now we can get the impulse \mathbf{j} :

$$\mathbf{j} \leftarrow \mathbf{K}^{-1}(\mathbf{v}_i^{new} - \mathbf{v}_i)$$

Step 4: Update \mathbf{v} and ω

Lastly, we need to use the impulse to update \mathbf{v} and ω :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &\leftarrow \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{M} \mathbf{j} \\ \omega &\leftarrow \omega + \mathbf{I}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{j}) \end{aligned}$$

Now the collision response using impulse method has finished.

Disappointingly, although we do the impulse method implementation following the above procedure, the simulation could not work well. We guess that it may be due to some difference on settings. At last, we use the first implementation to deal with collision response, but still retain the impulse method implementation in our code.

4 RESULTS