

$\langle \text{exp} \rangle ::= \langle \text{var} \rangle \mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle \langle \text{exp} \rangle \mid \langle \text{var} \rangle . \langle \text{exp} \rangle$
 This is what you expect.
 Constants and usual operations for booleans and integers
 $\mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid \dots \mid -\langle \text{exp} \rangle \mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle + \langle \text{exp} \rangle$
 $\mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \neg \langle \text{exp} \rangle \mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle \wedge \langle \text{exp} \rangle$
 $\mid \text{if } \langle \text{exp} \rangle \text{ then } \langle \text{exp} \rangle \text{ else } \langle \text{exp} \rangle \mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle = \langle \text{exp} \rangle$
 $\mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle \neq \langle \text{exp} \rangle \mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle < \langle \text{exp} \rangle$
 $\mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle \leq \langle \text{exp} \rangle \mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle > \langle \text{exp} \rangle$
 $\mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle \geq \langle \text{exp} \rangle$

Constructing a tuple
 $\mid \langle \langle \text{exp} \rangle, \dots, \langle \text{exp} \rangle \rangle \mid \langle \text{exp} \rangle . \langle \text{tag} \rangle$
 Constructing an alternative
 $\mid \text{case } \langle \text{tag} \rangle \langle \text{exp} \rangle \text{ of } (\langle \text{exp} \rangle, \dots, \langle \text{exp} \rangle) \text{ then } \langle \text{exp} \rangle \text{ end case}$
 $\mid \text{error} \mid \text{type error}$
 other kind of error
 case analysis for destructing an alternative

We already said that introducing four new kinds of canonical forms : amounts to introducing four new runtime (or dynamic) types to the language, namely, int, bool, tuple and alternative. For tuple and alternative, we have operations for constructing tuple and alternative expressions, and also operations for destructing them.

This is a typical pattern that appears repeatedly when one designs a new programming language. When she or he adds a static or dynamic/runtime type to a language, he or she introduces appropriate constructors and destructors to the language.
 (for the type)

Evaluation relation \Rightarrow should also be extended to incorporate intended semantics of newly added operations. For integers and booleans, we change \Rightarrow according to the standard semantics of primitive operations. Here we give rules for only some operations. Other cases are similar.

$e \Rightarrow \bar{i}$ integer constant
 $e \Rightarrow b$ boolean constant
 $\frac{e \Rightarrow \bar{i} \quad e' \Rightarrow \bar{i}'}{e \text{ op } e' \Rightarrow \bar{i} \text{ op } \bar{i}'}$ mathematical operation
 I use $\hat{}$ to emphasize it.

$\frac{e \Rightarrow \bar{i} \quad e' \Rightarrow \bar{i}'}{e \text{ op } e' \Rightarrow \hat{i} \text{ op } \hat{i}'}$ where $\text{op} \in \{+, -, \times, =, \neq, <, \leq, >, \geq\}$
 or $(\text{op} \in \{\div, \text{new}\} \text{ and } \hat{i} \neq 0)$

$\frac{e \Rightarrow b \quad e' \Rightarrow b'}{e \text{ op } e' \Rightarrow b \text{ op } b'}$ where $\text{op} \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftarrow\}$

$\frac{e \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad e' \Rightarrow z \quad e'' \Rightarrow z}{\text{if } e \text{ then } e' \text{ else } e'' \Rightarrow z}$

For operations for tuples and alternatives, we include rules for constructors that just evaluate their arguments, and those for destructors that convert constructed tuples and alternatives back to components.
 Some of them

$\frac{e_0 \Rightarrow z_0 \quad \dots \quad e_{n-1} \Rightarrow z_{n-1}}{\langle e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle z_0, \dots, z_{n-1} \rangle}$

$\frac{e \Rightarrow \langle z_0, \dots, z_{n-1} \rangle}{e.k \Rightarrow z_k}$ when $k < n$.