Answer.

letree add = H. sumrase to of (\lambda n.n

Xt'. (add (tl.o)) + (add (tl.1))

in add

4. Denotational Semantics

Decall the denotational semantics for the lambda calculus and the eager evaluation, in particular domains used and the form of the Semantics function I-I.

D and VI

V \simple V \rightarrow D

more precisely, V \rightarrow D

[-I \in [\left(\frac{\comm}{\comm} \gamma \right) \rightarrow V \rightarrow D].

Since we added four new kinds of values, we should change so their the Bomorphism says V consists of not just continuous functions but also those new values.

Also, we have to change to account for errors and fortunes of routine typecheckers.

(2) We use the following V and V* (which comesponds to and change the form of I-I accordingly. Dabove)

They are different. Also, note the parallel between the definition of <ofm? and the Bomorphism for V. here.

This Bomorphism is ma source a denotational way of.

Saying there are fine comonical forms. (or values).

(Ends of)

- ii) by extends V not just with I but also with error and typererror, so that the sumantics can rexpress such errors.
- iii) In general, the Sumantics of an eager functional language has the form: (denotational)

I-I E[< CONT - T(0)]

and interprets functions. using

V - TCV).

This marcales that variables always get bound to values / camonical forms, not to arbitrary computations.

3) The actual definition of II-I is involved, but in a sense straightforward. The only turngs to be noteworthy are the uses of fx and fox for ∂∈ { mx, bool, fun, tuple, alt }.

 $f \in [V \rightarrow V_{+}]$ $f_{+} \in [V_{+} \rightarrow V_{+}]$ $f_{+}(a) = \begin{cases} f(b) & \text{if } a = \langle 0, b \rangle \\ \text{total component} \\ \text{of } V_{+} \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ $f_{+}(a) = \begin{cases} f(b) & \text{if } a = \langle 0, b \rangle \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$