Canonical Forms and and red as 12 - 3 ft houghly

hv.e => hv. enough reduce he would set and metalor

Application (β -evaluation) $e \Rightarrow |v.e| (e''/v \rightarrow e') \Rightarrow \overline{z}$ $e e' \Rightarrow \overline{z}$

Prople is. For any closed expression, 6 and computed form 5, 8 — \$ Iff 6 = 8

Evercise: Try to prove Propio.6. The proof is in p208/204 of the trextbook. Reading turs be proof helped me understand the is nelation bretter.

then to the whent the contradors

(4) Intrituely, the normal-order evaluation works by postponing the evaluation of the arguments of a Sunction. The arguments gut revaluated when they are needed. However, this evaluation strategy may be meffected because it may repeat the evaluation of one argument multiple for metance, look at the following varapple.

(xx.xx) ((xy.4) (x2 2))

In the normal-order evaluation, the neder of gets contracted twice; because of the two occumences of x.

(5) The leager evaluation takes a different approach.

It apprevaluates the arguments of a function before applying the function. Most programming languages implement this leaguer evaluation strategy.

(formalité d by)

(formalité d by)

e => Z

clossed canonical
expression form.

Canonical Forms.

λv.e ⇒ λv. e.

BE - evaluation.

$$e \Rightarrow \lambda v. e'' \qquad e' \Rightarrow z' \qquad (e''/v \rightarrow z') \Rightarrow z$$

Exercise.

F

20

6 0

1. Show that (\(\x \x \x \) (\(\lambda \q \q \) (\(\lambda \ta \dagge \zeta \)

⇒ ()3.2). €

How many trues does the nedex get contracted?

2. What can we get on the RH's of \Rightarrow_{ξ} below? $(\lambda u. \lambda v. v)((\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)) \Rightarrow ??$ What about \Rightarrow ? $(\lambda u. \lambda v. v)((\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)) \Rightarrow ??$

3. Which one do you like more between = and =? Why?