3. Calloc and Throw.

1) Some programming languages allow continuations to be denotable values, and provide language constructs for manipulating continuation values.

2) Summarcally, this means that we change V as follows:

Syntactically, it muslues adding the following two constructs:

(exp) := callice (vexp) | throw (vexp) (vexp)

callec expects a function as its argument. (callec (Af. e)) reifices the current continuation, bonds of to it, and executes e. The bound continuation of combe involved by throw, as in (throw of 3). This calls the continuation of continuation.

3) Here are the semantic dances for calloc and throw:

Ithrow e e'In R = IeIn (Af. f (\$\psi \signs, R) R) Run.

Ithrow e e'In R = IeIn (AK'. Ie'In R') cont

Contractor from e

Typoned.

R assed metead.

Called Xk.... throw k & .....

Called Xk..... throw k & .....

Com be viewed as putting a label denoted by k,

and \_ can be understood as a goto to this label.

(b) what are the results of the following expressions?

(i) called (xk. 2+ throw k (3x4)).

The first example can be understood as skipping some Part of computation. The second shows how we can repeat the computation of some part of an expression using continuation.

(5) The next example is likely very hard to understand because It uses features not explained so far and it is also quite tricky. The example is from p290. of the textbook.

Imagine that we would tike to implement a

trees the function with a parameter and representing a nondeterministic choice between true and false.

It collects results of all those choices and neturns.

a list of all those negults.

backtrack ( \( \text{\tent} \) if amb () then ( if amb () then 0 else 1 )

( \( \text{\tent} \)

( \( \tent{\tent} \)

( \( \tent{\tent} \)

( \( \tent{\tent} \)

( \( \tent{\tent{\tent}} \)

( \( \tent{\tent{\tent{\tent}} \)

( \( \tent{\ten\tent{\tent{\tent{\tent{\tent{\ten\tent{\tent{\tent{\tent{\ten\t