s (= 9

@ke=a@k=

Sumcase e of (eo, ..., en-1) \Rightarrow z'

Sumcase e of (eo, ..., en-1) \Rightarrow z'

severally operations. Here we give enday villes for entry

3. Recursion.

1) We molude letrec:

(exp) != | letrec (var) = X(var) (exp) in (exp).

. It when ignes or " sen I

(hetrec v= \loo e in e') defines a recursive function v and pereforms e' with v bound to this recursive function. Thus,

This means that the occurrence of v is e denotes live the recursive function defined here, not the value of a fine variable v.

(2) This construct imposes two important constraints. First, recursively defined rentities should be functions. It knows. For instance, we can't do

Letter $V = \langle 1, V \rangle$ in ewhich defines an infinite tuple $V = \langle 1, \langle 1, \langle 1, \langle 1, \cdots \rangle \rangle \rangle$

Second, the RHS of = Should be a Cononical form. For meterne, the following is not allowed.

Netwee V = (\lambda \tau. (\lambda y. 2) (\lambda z. 2) \tau V

Both hestrictions are moladed because we use the leaguer evaluation. In a programming language based on the hormal-order evaluation such as Haskell, we don't need those hestrictions.

(to impose)

when we discuss demotational semantics, you will understand whene these hestrictions come from.

3 Since adding lettrec doesn't add a new kind of denotable values by expressions, we don't change cofur.

(Although we don't show, better com bee expressed using landar expressions and applications). But we need to add a rule for evaluation lettrec expressions. Here is the rule:

e'/v - (\lambda \cdot \v - \lambda \cdot \v - \lambda \cdot \v = \lam

execution of e' with a bound to its definition.

4) Programming reversity.

Suppose that we happerent I broary these with integer leaves using alternative and triple as follows.

a) on (for a terminal node (or leaf)

meger (labelled by the meger n.

(a) I <lar> (for a nonternmal mode.

(with left subtree I and right subtree r

write a program that sums the integers in a given thee.