

Γ_N remains unchanged.

- ② Since Γ_T and so Γ are changed, we should change the definition of \rightarrow . We will also have to ~~add~~ add a ~~new~~ rule for fail. Here is the new set of rules.

$\langle \text{fail}, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, b \rangle$
terminal configuration.

$\langle \text{skip}, b \rangle \rightarrow b$

$\langle v := e, b \rangle \rightarrow [b \mid v: \llbracket e \rrbracket b]$

$\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, b \rangle \quad (\llbracket b \rrbracket b = \text{tt})$

$\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_2, b \rangle \quad (\llbracket b \rrbracket b = \text{ff})$

$\langle c_1, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle c'_1, b' \rangle$

$\langle c_1; c_2, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle c'_1; c_2, b' \rangle$

$\langle c_1, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, b' \rangle$
 $\langle c_1; c_2, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, b' \rangle$

$\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, b \rangle \rightarrow b \quad (\llbracket b \rrbracket b = \text{ff})$

$\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c, b \rangle \quad (\llbracket b \rrbracket b = \text{tt})$

$\langle c, [b \mid v: \llbracket e \rrbracket b] \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, b' \rangle$

$\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, [b' \mid v: b(v)] \rangle$

$\langle c, [b \mid v: \llbracket e \rrbracket b] \rangle \rightarrow b'$

$\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c, b \rangle \rightarrow [b' \mid v: b(v)]$

$\langle c, [b \mid v: \llbracket e \rrbracket b] \rangle \rightarrow \langle c', b' \rangle$

$\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{newvar } v := b'(v) \text{ in } c', [b' \mid v: b(v)] \rangle$

Three rules. The first new is due to fail, and the other two are due to the change of Γ_T .

5. Handling input and output.

$\langle \text{comm} \rangle ::= \dots \mid ?\langle \text{var} \rangle \mid !\langle \text{interp} \rangle$

- ① This time we have to change the form or type of \rightarrow . It is no longer a binary relation, but a ternary relation:

$\rightarrow \subseteq \Gamma_N \times \Lambda \times \Gamma$

$\lambda \in \Lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \varepsilon \} \cup \{ ?n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cup \{ !n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$

transition or execution without input or output

transition with an input

transition with an output

We write $\langle c, b \rangle \xrightarrow{\lambda} \gamma$ to mean $\langle \langle c, b \rangle, \lambda, \gamma \rangle \in \rightarrow$.

We also often omit λ if $\lambda = \varepsilon$.

- ② Why do we make this change? It ~~is~~ is because adding $?v$ and $!e$ to the language ~~makes~~ makes it necessary to describe some aspects of intermediate steps of computations explicitly. (except the ones for $c_1; c_2$ and newvar)

- ② We ~~use~~ include all the rules that we defined in 5. of course, the occurrences of \rightarrow in those old rules should be understood as $\xrightarrow{\varepsilon}$ with ε omitted for simplicity. In addition to these rules, we have the following rules:

$\langle ?v, b \rangle \xrightarrow{?n} [b \mid v: n]$

$\langle !e, b \rangle \xrightarrow{! \llbracket e \rrbracket b} b$