

$$\llbracket v \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \kappa(\eta(v))$$

$$\llbracket \lambda e \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \llbracket e \rrbracket \eta (\lambda f. \llbracket e' \rrbracket \eta (\lambda z. f z \kappa))_{\text{fun}}$$

Here $(-)_\theta$ is similar to $(-)_\theta^*$ that we looked at before, but it doesn't deal with \perp and errors.

That is, given $f \in V_\theta \rightarrow V^*$,

$$f_\theta \in V \rightarrow V^*.$$

$$f_\theta(a) = \begin{cases} \langle 1, \text{typew} \rangle & \text{if } \neg (\exists i, b \text{ s.t.} \\ & b \in V_\theta \wedge a = \phi(\langle i, b \rangle)) \\ f(b) & \text{if } \exists i, b \text{ s.t. } b \in V_\theta \wedge a = \phi(\langle i, b \rangle) \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket \lambda v. e \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \kappa(\phi(\langle 2, \lambda a. \lambda \kappa'. \llbracket e \rrbracket \eta [v: a] \kappa' \rangle))$$

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \kappa(\phi(\langle 0, n \rangle))$$

$$\llbracket -e \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \llbracket e \rrbracket \eta (\lambda \bar{u}. \kappa(\phi(\langle 0, \bar{u} \rangle)))_{\text{nt}}$$

$$\llbracket e_0 + e_1 \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \llbracket e_0 \rrbracket \eta (\lambda \bar{u}. \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \eta (\lambda \bar{u}'. \kappa(\phi(\langle 0, \bar{u} + \bar{u}' \rangle))))_{\text{nt}}$$

$$\llbracket \text{if } e \text{ then } e' \text{ else } e'' \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \llbracket e \rrbracket \eta (\lambda b. \text{if } b \text{ then } \llbracket e' \rrbracket \eta \kappa \text{ else } \llbracket e'' \rrbracket \eta \kappa)_{\text{bool}}$$

$$\llbracket \text{true} \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \kappa(\phi(\langle 1, \text{tt} \rangle))$$

$$\llbracket \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \llbracket e_0 \rrbracket \eta (\lambda a_0. \kappa(\phi(\langle 3, \langle a_0, a_1 \rangle \rangle)))_{\text{pair}}$$

$$\llbracket e. \kappa \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \llbracket e \rrbracket \eta (\lambda b. \text{if } \kappa \text{ doesn't then } \kappa(\text{ctr}) \text{ else } \text{typew})_{\text{tuple}}$$

$$\llbracket \text{letrec } v \equiv \lambda u. e \text{ in } e' \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \llbracket e' \rrbracket \eta [\eta | v: YF] \kappa.$$

$$F \in [V_{\text{fun}} \rightarrow V_{\text{fun}}]$$

$$F(\langle f_0 \rangle(a)) \kappa' = \llbracket e \rrbracket \eta [\eta | u: a | v: f_0] \kappa'.$$

We omit a few definitions. You can find them in p254-255 of the textbook.

⑤ Note that whenever we interpret an expression that includes more than one immediate subexpression, such as $e_0 + e_1$, we construct a new continuation for the subexpressions that will not be evaluated next, such as $(\lambda \bar{u}. \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \eta (\lambda \bar{u}'. \kappa(\phi(\langle 0, \bar{u} + \bar{u}' \rangle)))_{\text{nt}})_{\text{nt}}$.

Intuitively, this means that the semantics is very explicit about evaluation order.

⑥ This semantics can be expressed as syntactic transformation call ops transformation. Let $\llbracket - \rrbracket_d$

be the direct semantics that we studied in the previous chapter. Consider an expression e and a fresh variable v_{cont} . Then, this transformation has the following property:

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket \eta \kappa = \llbracket \llbracket \text{ops}(e, v_{\text{cont}}) \rrbracket_d [\eta | v_{\text{cont}}: \kappa] \kappa$$

(equal when no errors)

As mentioned before, this ops transformation is often used by a compiler as a preprocessing step.