

Assignment 1 (Deadline: 6:00pm on 4 April 2025)*Prof Hongseok Yang*

Submit your solutions in KLMS. (Reminder: We adopt a very strict policy for handling dishonest behaviours. If a student is found to copy answers from fellow students or other sources in his or her homework submission, she or he will get F.)

Notation: symbols F, G, H denote propositional formulas, and p, q denote propositional variables.

1. Suppose that F and G are formulas such that $F \models G$.
 - (a) Show that if F and G have no variable in common, then either F is unsatisfiable or G is valid. (10 points)
 - (b) Now let F and G be arbitrary formulas. Show that there is a formula H , mentioning only propositional variables common to F and G , such that $F \models H$ and $H \models G$. (20 points)
2. Fix a non-empty set U . A **U -assignment** \mathcal{A} is a function from the collection of propositional variables to 2^U , the power set of U , that is, \mathcal{A} maps each propositional variable to a subset of U . Such an assignment is extended to all formulas as follows:
 - $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\text{false}) = \emptyset$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\text{true}) = U$;
 - $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(p) = \mathcal{A}(p)$;
 - $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(F \wedge G) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}(F) \cap \hat{\mathcal{A}}(G)$;
 - $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(F \vee G) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}(F) \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}(G)$;
 - $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\neg F) = U \setminus \hat{\mathcal{A}}(F)$.

Say that a formula F is **U -valid** if $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(F) = U$ for all U -assignments \mathcal{A} .

 - (a) Show that if F is U -valid, then F is valid with respect to the standard semantics defined in the lecture notes. (10 points)
 - (b) Show that if F is valid, then F is U -valid. (10 points)
3. Show that for any CNF formula F one can compute in polynomial time an equisatisfiable formula $G_1 \wedge G_2$, with G_1 a Horn formula and G_2 a 2-CNF formula. Justify your answer. (20 points)
4. A *renamable Horn formula* is a CNF formula that can be turned into a Horn formula by negating (all occurrences of) some of its variables. For example,

$$(P_1 \vee \neg P_2 \vee \neg P_3) \wedge (P_2 \vee P_3) \wedge (\neg P_1)$$

can be turned into a Horn formula by negating P_1 and P_2 .

- (a) Given a CNF-formula F , show how to derive a 2-CNF formula G such that G is satisfiable if and only if F is a renamable Horn formula. Show moreover that one can derive a renaming that turns F into a Horn formula from a satisfying assignment for G . **(10 points)**
- (b) Show that a Horn-renamable CNF formula in which no unit clauses occur has a satisfying assignment which makes in every clause all literals true except at most one. By unit clause, we mean a clause with only one literal. **(10 points)**
- (c) Show that for every Horn-renamable CNF formula F in which no unit clauses occur, there exists a satisfying assignment \mathcal{A}_0 of F with the following property: when the WalkSAT algorithm is run on F , it moves in every iteration with probability at least $1/2$ towards the assignment \mathcal{A}_0 . **(10 points)**