

Lubin-Tate Theory

Hongxiang Zhao

Contents

1	Brief History of Local Class Field Theory	2
2	Main Theorems of Local Class Field Theory and Proofs	3
2.1	Statements of the Main Theorems	3
2.2	Lubin-Tate Formal Group Laws	6
2.3	Construction of K_π and the Local Artin Map	8
2.4	Local Kronecker-Weber Theorem	12
2.5	End of the Proof	14

1 Brief History of Local Class Field Theory

The motivation of class field theory is to generate all the Galois extensions of a field. In particular, local class field theory wants to generate all the Galois extensions of a local field.

Historically, local class field theory arises from the problem proposed by Emil Artin(1929) that whether one can generalize the norm residue symbol to arbitrary fields that do not contain n -th roots of unity. Helmut Hasse(1930) solved this problem using the global Artin reciprocity law. For an abelian extension L/K , where K, L may not be local fields, and $\alpha \in K^*$ and v a place of K , the new norm residue symbol $(\alpha, L/K)_v$ is an element in the decomposition group of any $w \mid v$ (Since L/K is abelian, all decomposition groups are the same). This led Hasse to the discovery of local class field theory. We first need a lemma to see this.

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose F/K_v is a field extension for some number field K and a finite place v of K . Then there exists a number field L/K such that $F = LK_v$, $[L : K] = [F : K_v]$ and $F = L_w$ for some place w of L extending v .*

Proof.

□

Here is how local class field theory shows up: Given an abelian extension F/K_v , there exists a field extension L/K such that $F = LK_v$, $[L : K] = [F : K_v]$ and $F = L_w$ for some place w of L extending v by the lemma. Thus, $\text{Gal}(F/K_v) \cong \text{Gal}(L_w/K_v)$. Note that there is a natural inclusion $\text{Gal}(L_w/K_v) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$ by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma|_L$, mapping $\text{Gal}(L_w/K_v)$ to the decomposition group of $w \mid v$. For any $\alpha \in K^*$, let $(\alpha, F/K_v)$ be the image of $(\alpha, L/K)_v$ in $\text{Gal}(F/K_v)$. Therefore, we get a homomorphism

$$K^* \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F/K_v) \quad \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, F/K_v)$$

The definition of $(\alpha, L/K)_v$ implies that $(\alpha, L/K)_v = Id$ when $v(\alpha)$ is large enough. Thus, the above map can be extended to $K_v^* \mapsto \text{Gal}(F/K_v)$, which is now called the local Artin map.

As discussed above, local class field theory is derived from the global class field theory originally and there is no explicit description of the local Artin map. The significance of the Lubin-Tate Theory is to give an explicit description of the local Artin map.

2 Main Theorems of Local Class Field Theory and Proofs

2.1 Statements of the Main Theorems

Let K be a local field, $K^{al} \supset K^{ab} \supset K^{un}$ be its separable and abelian closure respectively. Let \mathcal{O}_K be the integer ring of K and \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_K and $k = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}$ is the residue field with q elements, where q is a power of a prime number p . Suppose L/K is a finite extension, $Nm_{L/K}(x)$ is the norm of $x \in L$ with respect to L/K .

The main theorems of the abelian local class field theory are the following:

Theorem 2.1 (Local Reciprocity Law). *For any nonarchimedean local field K , there exists a unique homomorphism*

$$\phi_K: K^* \rightarrow Gal(K^{ab}/K)$$

satisfying:

- (a) *For any uniformizer π of K , $\phi_K(\pi)$ is the Frobenius element of $Gal(K^{un}/K)$ under the restriction $Gal(K^{ab}/K) \rightarrow Gal(K^{un}/K)$.*
- (b) *For any finite abelian extension L of K , there is an exact sequence:*

$$1 \rightarrow Nm_{L/K}(L^*) \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow Gal(L/K) \rightarrow 1$$

where the latter map is the composition of ϕ_K and the restriction map. This induces an isomorphism

$$\phi_{L/K}: K^*/Nm_{L/K}(L^*) \rightarrow Gal(L/K)$$

In particular, $(K^ : Nm_{L/K}(L^*)) = [L : K]$.*

*The map $\phi_{L/K}$ is then called the **local Artin map**.*

The following corollary can be deduced from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Let K be a nonarchimedean local field. Assume that Theorem 2.1 is true. Then*

- (a) *The map $L \mapsto Nm(L^*)$ is an order-reversing bijection between abelian extensions of K and norm groups in K^* .*
- (b) *$Nm((L \cdot L')^*) = Nm(L^*) \cap Nm(L'^*)$.*

$$(c) \text{ Nm}((L \cap L')^*) = \text{Nm}(L^*) \cdot \text{Nm}(L'^*)$$

(d) Every subgroup of K^* containing a norm group is a norm group itself.

Proof. See [2] Corollary 1.2. □

Theorem 2.3 (Local Existence Theorem). *The norm groups in K^* are exactly the open subgroups of finite index.*

Thus, the remaining of this section is to prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3.

The following remarks of the main theorems are essential to the proof. Recall in the finite case, if L/K is a totally ramified extension of degree n and F/K is an unramified extension of degree m , then LF/K is of degree mn (here we do not require K, L, F to be local fields). Actually K^{ab} can also be decomposed into the composition of a maximal unramified extension and a maximal totally ramified extension as follows.

Given the isomorphisms

$$\phi_{L/K}: K^*/\text{Nm}(L^*) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)/\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/L)$$

for each finite abelian extension L of K , by passing to the limit we get an isomorphism:

$$\hat{\phi}_K: \widehat{K^*} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$$

where $\widehat{K^*}$ is the profinite completion of K^* .

Now choose an uniformizer π of K . We have

$$K^* \cong U_K \times \pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong U_K \times \mathbb{Z}$$

In such case, $(1 + \mathfrak{m}^n) \times m\mathbb{Z}$ form a fundamental system of neighborhood of 1 in K^* . Then we have

$$\widehat{K^*} \cong U_K \times \pi^{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \cong U_K \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

We know that profinite topological groups are equivalent to compact Hausdorff totally disconnected topological groups. Since $U_K, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ are profinite, they are compact. Because $\widehat{K^*}$ is Hausdorff, both $U_K, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ are closed subgroups in $\widehat{K^*}$. Since \mathbb{Z} is dense in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ in $\widehat{K^*}$. Let $K_\pi = (K^{ab})^{\hat{\phi}_K(\pi)}$ and $K^{un} = (K^{ab})^{\hat{\phi}_K(U_K)}$. Then by infinite Galois theory, $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K_\pi) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$

and $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K^{un}) = U_K$. Thus, K_π is the union of finite abelian extensions L such that $\pi \in \text{Nm}(L^*)$, which are totally ramified, and K^{un} is the union of finite abelian extensions L such that $\text{Nm}(L^*) \supset U_K$, which are unramified. We deduce that K^{un} is the maximal unramified extension of K in K^{ab} and $K^{un} \cap K_\pi = K$. Thus, $\text{Gal}(K_\pi K^{un}/K) = \text{Gal}(K_\pi/K) \times \text{Gal}(K^{un}/K) = U_K \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence, $K^{ab} = K_\pi K^{un}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that Theorem 2.3 is true. Then there exists at most one homomorphism $\phi: K^* \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ satisfying the conditions in Theorem 2.1.*

Proof. We know that $K^{ab} = K^{un} K_\pi$. If there is a ϕ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.1, then $\phi(\pi)|_{K^{un}}$ is the Frobenius element for any uniformizer π of K . Since K_π is fixed by $\phi(\pi)$ from above discussion. The value of $\phi(\pi)$ is determined for all uniformizer π . Since K^* is generated by uniformizers π of \mathcal{O}_K , the value of ϕ is uniquely determined. \square

Since we know the restriction of the local Artin map on K^{un} is the Frobenius element, we may prove the existence by constructing the fields K_π, K_π and the restriction of local Artin map $U_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_\pi/K)$. Then we need to show that the composition $K_\pi K^{un}$ and the associated map ϕ_π are independent of the choice of π and ϕ_π satisfies the condition (b) of Theorem 2.1. Finally, we have to show that $K_\pi K^{un} = K^{ab}$.

Example 2.5. Suppose $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime number p and pick the uniformizer $\pi = p$. By Kummer-Dedekind Theorem, for each positive integer n , $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_n)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified if $(n, p) = 1$ and is totally ramified if $n = p^i$ for some positive integer i . Moreover, the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_n)/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$. By taking the colimit, we see that the Galois groups of $\left(\bigcup_{(n,p)=1} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_n)\right)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^i})\right)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ are $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^*$ respectively. Thus, we have

$$(\mathbb{Q}_p)_p = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^i}) \quad Q_p^{un} = \left(\bigcup_{(n,p)=1} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_n) \right)$$

By above discussion,

$$\mathbb{Q}_p^{ab} = \left(\bigcup_{(n,p)=1} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_n) \right) \cdot \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^i}) \right)$$

The above method of construction Q_p^{un} applies to arbitrary local field K . Suppose $p \nmid n$, μ_n is the primitive n -th root of unity over K and $L = K(\mu_n)$. Suppose $\Phi_n(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of μ_n over K and $\bar{\Phi}_n(t)$ is the reduction of $\Phi_n(t)$ to the residue field k . Thus,

$\overline{\Phi_n}(t) \mid (t^n - 1)$, so it is separable. By Hensel's Lemma, $\overline{\Phi_n}(t)$ is also irreducible. Thus, $\overline{\Phi_n}(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\bar{\mu}_n$ over k . Therefore,

$$[L : K] = \deg \Phi_n(t) = \deg \overline{\Phi_n}(t) = [k(\bar{\mu}_n) : k] \leq [l : k] \leq [L : K]$$

where l is the residue field of L . Hence, $[L : K] = [l : k]$ implying that L/K is unramified. By field theory, we know that $l = k(\bar{\mu}_n)$ is the splitting field of $t^{q^f} - t$, where f is the smallest number such that $n \mid (q^f - 1)$. Therefore, $\left(\bigcup_{(n,p)=1} K(\mu_n)\right)/K$ is an unramified extension and has the residue field \bar{k} , implying that $K^{un} = \bigcup_{(n,p)=1} K(\mu_n)$.

However, we cannot simply add roots of unity to K to construct K_π . Indeed, if $K = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$, then K itself contains p^i -th roots of unity. Lubin-Tate theory generalizes this method to arbitrary local field via Lubin-Tate formal group laws. If we let \mathbb{G}_m to be the multiplication formal group law on \mathbb{Z}_p , $\mathbb{G}_m(X, Y) = X + Y + XY$, then there exists a natural map $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ given by the following: for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $((1 + T)^n - 1) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. This can be extended to \mathbb{Z}_p . For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$(1 + T)^a = \sum_{m \geq 0} \binom{a}{m} T^m \quad \binom{a}{m} = \frac{a(a-1) \cdots (a-m+1)}{m(m-1) \cdots 1}$$

By continuity, $\binom{a}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $((1 + T)^a - 1) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Then we see that $(\mu_{p^i} - 1)$ is a p^n -torsion point. Thus, $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^i}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^i} - 1)$ can be viewed as adding p^n -torsion points in \mathbb{Q}_p^{al} .

2.2 Lubin-Tate Formal Group Laws

Note that for power series f, g, h , $f \circ (g + h) \neq f \circ g + f \circ h$ in general. In order to make the distribution law possible, we need to rewrite the addition. Suppose F is the new addition. Then we need $f \circ F(g, h) = F(f \circ g, f \circ h)$. We use the formal group law to capture this.

Definition 2.6 (One-Parameter Commutative Formal Group Law). Let R be a commutative ring. A **one-parameter commutative formal group law** is a power series $F \in R[[X, Y]]$ such that

- (a) $F(X, Y) \equiv X + Y \pmod{(X, Y)^2}$.
- (b) (Associativity) $F(X, F(Y, Z)) = F(F(X, Y), Z)$.

(c) (Commutativity) $F(X, Y) = F(Y, X)$.

We can prove that with the conditions (a)(b), there exists a unique $i_F(T) \in R[[T]]$ such that $F(X, i_F(X)) = 0$.

We denote $\text{End}(F)$ by the set of $f \in R[[X]]$ such that $f \circ F(X, Y) = F(f(X), f(Y))$ and $f +_F g = F(f, g)$. Then we see from the beginning of this subsection that $\text{End}(F)$ admits a ring structure with the addition $+_F$ and the multiplication \circ .

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{F}_π be the set of $f(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ such that

$$(a) \ f \equiv \pi X \pmod{X^2}.$$

$$(b) \ f \equiv X^q \pmod{\pi}.$$

Example 2.8. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\pi = p$. Then $f(X) = (1 + X)^p - 1$ lies in \mathcal{F}_p .

Lemma 2.9. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_\pi$ and $\phi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a linear form. Then there exists a unique $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ such that

$$(a) \ \phi \equiv \phi_1 \pmod{(X_1, \dots, X_n)^2}.$$

$$(b) \ f(\phi(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \phi(g(X_1), \dots, g(X_n)).$$

Proof. See [2], Lemma 2.11.

The idea is doing induction on the degree of ϕ and taking the limit, i.e., show that there exists a unique polynomial $\phi_r(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of degree r such that $\phi_r \equiv \phi_1 \pmod{(X_1, \dots, X_n)^2}$ and $f(\phi_r(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \equiv \phi_r(g(X_1), \dots, g(X_n)) \pmod{(X_1, \dots, X_n)^{r+1}}$. \square

The following three propositions can be deduced by repeatedly applying the above lemma.

Proposition 2.10. For every $f \in \mathcal{F}_\pi$, there is a unique formal group law $F_f \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X, Y]]$ admitting f as an endomorphism.

Proposition 2.11. For $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_\pi$ and $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, let $[a]_{g,f}$ be the unique element of $\mathcal{O}_K[[T]]$ such that

$$(a) \ [a]_{g,f} \equiv aT \pmod{T^2}.$$

$$(b) \ g \circ [a]_{g,f} = [a]_{g,f} \circ f.$$

Then $[a]_{g,f}$ is a homomorphism $F_f \rightarrow F_g$.

Proposition 2.12. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$, $[a + b]_{g,f} = [a]_{g,f} +_{F_g} [b]_{g,f}$ and $[ab]_{h,f} = [a]_{h,g} \circ [b]_{g,f}$.

This proposition has two direct corollaries.

Corollary 2.13. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_\pi$, $F_f \cong F_g$.

Proof. Given every $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$, $[u]_{f,g}$ and $[u^{-1}]_{g,f}$ are inverse to each other. \square

Corollary 2.14. For each $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, there is a unique endomorphism $[a]_f: F_f \rightarrow F_f$ such that $[a]_f \equiv aT \pmod{T^2}$ and $[a]_f$ commutes with f . The map

$$\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{End}(F_f): a \mapsto [a]_f$$

is an injective ring homomorphism. In particular, $[\pi]_f = f$.

The formal group law F_f associated to an uniformizer π is called the **Lubin-Tate formal group law**.

Example 2.15. When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\pi = p$, $f(X) = (1 + X)^p - 1$, $F_f = \mathbb{G}_m$ is the multiplication group law. The power series $[a]_f = (1 + X)^a - 1$ is the one we defined before.

2.3 Construction of K_π and the Local Artin Map

For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_\pi$, let $\Lambda_f = \{\alpha \in K^{al} : |\alpha| < 1\}$. Define a \mathcal{O}_K -module structure on Λ_f by $\alpha + \beta = \alpha +_{F_f} \beta = F_f(\alpha, \beta)$ and $a \cdot \alpha = [a]_f(\alpha)$. Let $\Lambda_{f,n}$ be the submodule of Λ_f consisting of elements killed by $[\pi]_f^n$.

Remark. The canonical isomorphism $[1]_{g,f}: F_f \rightarrow F_g$ induces isomorphisms $\Lambda_f \rightarrow \Lambda_g$ and $\Lambda_{f,n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{g,n}$ for each n .

Proposition 2.16. For each n , $\Lambda_n = \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^n)$ as \mathcal{O}_K -modules. Thus, $\text{End}(\Lambda_n) \cong \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^n)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_n) \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^n))^*$.

Proof. By the above remark, it suffices to take $f = \pi X + X^q$. Thus, $[\pi^n]_f = \pi^n X + \dots + X^{q^n}$. From the Newton polygon of $[\pi^n]_f$, we see that all the roots of $[\pi^n]_f$ lie in $\Lambda_{f,n}$.

Since $f = \pi X + X^q$ is an Eisenstein polynomial, f is irreducible and has q distinct roots. Thus, $\Lambda_{f,1}$ has exactly q elements. By the structure theorem of modules over PID, $\Lambda_{f,1} \cong \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi)$ since $\mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^n)$ contains q^n elements.

For each $\alpha \in K^{al}$ with $|\alpha| < 1$, $f(X) - \alpha = X^q + \cdots + \pi X - \alpha$. From the Newton polygon of $f(X) - \alpha$, we see that all roots of $f(X) - \alpha$ lie in Λ_f . Therefore, $[\pi]_f$ is surjective.

Suppose $\Lambda_{f,n} \cong \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^n)$ for some n . Since $[\pi]_f$ is surjective, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,n+1} \xrightarrow{[\pi]_f} \Lambda_{f,n} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus, $\Lambda_{f,n+1}$ has q^{n+1} elements. Suppose $\Lambda_{f,n+1} \cong \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^{n_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^{n_r})$ by the structure theorem of modules over PID. Then the exact sequence implies that $\Lambda_{f,1} \cong (\pi^{n_1-1})/(\pi^{n_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\pi^{n_r-1})/(\pi^{n_r})$. Therefore, $r = 1$ and $\Lambda_{f,n+1} \subset \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^{n+1})$. \square

Lemma 2.17. *Every subfield E in K^{al} containing K is closed in the topological sense.*

Proof. Let $G = \text{Gal}(K^{al}/E)$. By the uniqueness of the extension of the absolute valuation, G fixes the closure of E . Thus, $\overline{E} = (K^{al})^G = E$. \square

Theorem 2.18. *Let $K_{\pi,n} = K(\Lambda_{f,n})$. Then we have*

- (a) $K_{\pi,n}$ is independent of the choice of f .
- (b) For each n , $K_{\pi,n}/K$ is a totally ramified extension of degree $(q-1)q^{n-1}$.
- (c) The action of \mathcal{O}_K on Λ_n induces an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}^n)^* \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_{\pi,n}/K)$$

Thus, $K_{\pi,n}/K$ is an abelian extension.

- (d) For each n , $Nm(K_{\pi,n}^*) \ni \pi$.

Proof. (a) Via the isomorphisms $[1]_{g,f}: \Lambda_{f,n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{g,n}$, we have that

$$\widehat{K(\Lambda_{g,n})} = K(\widehat{[1]_{g,f}(\Lambda_{f,n})}) \subset \widehat{K(\Lambda_{f,n})} = K(\widehat{[1]_{f,g}(\Lambda_{g,n})}) \subset \widehat{K(\Lambda_{g,n})}$$

Thus, $\widehat{K(\Lambda_{g,n})} = \widehat{K(\Lambda_{f,n})}$. By the above lemma,

$$K(\Lambda_{g,n}) = \widehat{K(\Lambda_{g,n})} \cap K^{al} = \widehat{K(\Lambda_{f,n})} \cap K^{al} = K(\Lambda_{f,n})$$

- (b)(c) Since $K_{\pi,n}$ is independent on the choice of f , we may assume again that $f = [\pi]_f = \pi X + \cdots + X^q$.

Choose a nonzero root π_1 of f and π_{s+1} of $f(X) - \pi_s$ for each $s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then there is a sequence of field extensions:

$$K(\pi_n) \supset K(\pi_{n-1}) \supset \dots \supset K(\pi_1) \supset K$$

Note that each extension is Eisenstein, so is totally ramified. The degree of $K(\pi_1)/K$ is $q-1$ and the degree of $K(\pi_{s+1})/K(\pi_s)$ is q for each s . Therefore, $K(\pi_n)/K$ is a totally ramified extension of degree $q^{n-1}(q-1)$. Since $[\pi^n]_f(\pi_n) = 0$, $K(\Lambda_{f,n}) \supset K(\pi_n)$.

Note that $K(\Lambda_{f,n})$ is the splitting field of $[\pi^n]_f$ over K . Thus, $\text{Gal}(K(\Lambda_{f,n})/K)$ can be identified as a subgroup of permutations on $\Lambda_{f,n}$. By passing to limit of the power series, we can prove that the action of $\text{Gal}(K(\Lambda_{f,n})/K)$ on $\Lambda_{f,n}$ is compatible with the A -module structure on $\Lambda_{f,n}$. Thus, $\text{Gal}(K(\Lambda_{f,n})/K) < \text{Aut}(\Lambda_{f,n}) = (\mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^n))^*$. Therefore,

$$(q-1)q^{n-1} = |(\mathcal{O}_K/(\pi^n))^*| \geq [K(\Lambda_{f,n})/K] \geq [K(\pi_n)/K] = (q-1)q^{n-1}$$

Hence, $K(\Lambda_{f,n}) = K(\pi_n)$ is a totally ramified extension of degree $(q-1)q^{n-1}$ over K and $\text{Gal}(K_{\pi,n}/K) \cong (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}^n)^*$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$ acts on $\Lambda_{f,n}$ by $[u]_f$.

- (d) Since the degree of $[\pi^n]_f/X = \pi + \dots + X^{(q-1)q^{n-1}}$ is $(q-1)q^n$, it is the minimal polynomial of π_n over K . Hence, $\text{Nm}_{K_{\pi,n}/K}(\pi_n) = (-1)^{(q-1)q^{n-1}}\pi$, so $\pi \in \text{Nm}(K_{\pi,n}^*)$. \square

Let $K_\pi = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_{\pi,n}$. By passing to the limit, we have that $\tilde{\phi}_f: U_K \cong \text{Gal}(K_\pi/K)$ given by $u \mapsto [u^{-1}]_f$. The inverse here will make the formula elegant in the future.

Let $\phi_f: K^* \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_\pi K^{un}/K)$ given as follows: for each $a = u\pi^m \in K^*$, $\phi_f(a)|_{K^{un}}$ is the m -th power of the Frobenius element and $\phi_f(a)(\lambda) = \tilde{\phi}_f(u)(\lambda) = [u^{-1}]_f(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{f,n}$.

Next, we want to show that $K_\pi K^{un}$ and ϕ_f are independent of the choice of π, f . Note that in the proof of the part (a) of Theorem 2.18, the essential part is the \mathcal{O}_K -isomorphisms $[1]_{g,f}: \Lambda_{f,n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{g,n}$, where $[1]_{g,f}$ is a power series with coefficients in \mathcal{O}_K . Now suppose π, ω are two uniformizers of \mathcal{O}_K and $\omega = u\pi$ for some $u \in U_K$. Let B, \hat{B} be the integer ring of K^{un}, \hat{K}^{un} respectively. Suppose we have such \mathcal{O}_K -isomorphisms $\theta: \Lambda_{f,n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{g,n}$, where $f \in \mathcal{F}_\pi, g \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$ and θ is a power series with coefficients in \hat{B} (Since we took completion in the proof of the part (a) of Theorem 2.18, the coefficients of θ can be taken in \hat{B} and the proof

of part (a) of Theorem 2.18 still work). We need to explore properties θ need for proving that ϕ_f is independent of π, f .

In order to show that $\phi_f = \phi_g$, it suffices to show that they agree on every uniformizer of \mathcal{O}_K . Given any uniformizer π' of \mathcal{O}_K , $\phi_f(\pi')|_{K^{un}} = \phi_g(\pi')|_{K^{un}}$ is the Frobenius element. Suppose $\pi' = v\pi = vu^{-1}\omega$. Let θ^σ be the power series obtained by acting σ on each coefficient of θ . Then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{f,n}$,

$$\phi_f(\pi')(\theta(\lambda)) = \theta^\sigma(\phi_f(v)(\lambda)) = \theta^\sigma \circ [v^{-1}]_f(\lambda)$$

We want that the right-hand side is equal to $\phi_g(\pi')(\theta(\lambda)) = [uv^{-1}]_g \circ \theta(\lambda) = \theta \circ [uv^{-1}]_f(\lambda)$ since θ is a \mathcal{O}_K -homomorphism. Therefore, we need that $\theta^\sigma = \theta \circ [u]_f$. Note that $\theta^\sigma = \theta \circ [u]_f$ implies that θ induces isomorphisms $\Lambda_{f,n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{g,n}$: $(\sigma \circ f)^\sigma = \theta \circ [u\pi]_f = [\omega]_g \circ \theta = g \circ \theta$.

Suppose $\theta(X) = \epsilon X + \dots$ for some $\epsilon \in \hat{B}$. Then $\sigma(\epsilon) = \epsilon u$. We claim that $\sigma(\cdot)/\cdot: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$ is surjective while it is not true that $\sigma(\cdot)/\cdot: B \rightarrow B$ is surjective. That is why we require the coefficients of θ to be in \hat{B} .

Lemma 2.19. *The homomorphism $\sigma(\cdot)/\cdot: \hat{B}^* \rightarrow \hat{B}^*$ is surjective with kernel \mathcal{O}_K^* .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{n} be the maximal ideal in B . It suffices to show that the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}^n)^* \rightarrow (B/\mathfrak{n}^n)^* \xrightarrow{\sigma(\cdot)/\cdot} (B/\mathfrak{n}^n)^* \rightarrow 1$$

is exact for each n and then pass to the limit.

For $n = 1$, $B/\mathfrak{n} = \bar{k}$ and the result follows easily. Assume that the sequence is exact for $n - 1$. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 1 & & 1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m})^* & & (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}^{n-1})^* & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & (B/\mathfrak{n})^* & \longrightarrow & (B/\mathfrak{n}^n)^* & \longrightarrow & (B/\mathfrak{n}^{n-1})^* \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \sigma(\cdot)/\cdot & & \downarrow \sigma(\cdot)/\cdot & & \downarrow \sigma(\cdot)/\cdot \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & (B/\mathfrak{n})^* & \longrightarrow & (B/\mathfrak{n}^n)^* & \longrightarrow & (B/\mathfrak{n}^{n-1})^* \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 1 & & 1 & & \end{array}$$

By the snake lemma, $\sigma(\cdot)/\cdot: (B/\mathfrak{n}^n)^* \rightarrow (B/\mathfrak{n}^n)^*$ is surjective with kernel of q^n elements. Since $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}^n)^*$ contains q^n elements and is contained in the kernel, the kernel is $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}^n)^*$. \square

The following proposition says that there exists the required $\theta \in \hat{B}[[X]]$, so it finishes the proof that $K_\pi K^{un}$ and ϕ_f are independent on the choice of π, f .

Proposition 2.20. *Let F_f and F_g be the Lubin-Tate formal group law defined by $f \in \mathcal{F}_\pi$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_\omega$, where $\omega = u\pi$ are two uniformizers of \mathcal{O}_K . Then there exists an $\epsilon \in \hat{B}^*$ such that $\sigma(\epsilon) = \epsilon u$ and a power series $\theta \in \hat{B}[[X]]$ such that*

$$(a) \quad \theta(X) \equiv \epsilon X \pmod{X^2}.$$

$$(b) \quad \theta^\sigma = \theta \circ [u]_f.$$

$$(c) \quad \theta(F_f(X, Y)) = F_g(\theta(X), \theta(Y)).$$

$$(d) \quad \theta \circ [a]_f = [a]_g \circ \theta.$$

Proof. The proof has four steps:

1. Show that there exists a $\theta \in \hat{B}[[X]]$ satisfying (a)(b). This can be shown by induction on the degree of θ as Lemma 2.9.
2. Show that the θ in the first step can be chosen so that $g = \sigma \circ f \circ \theta^{-1}$. Let $h = \theta^\sigma \circ f \circ \theta^{-1}$. Then show that $h \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$. Let $\theta' = [1]_{g,h} \circ \theta$. Then θ' satisfies (a)(b) and $(\theta')^\sigma \circ f \circ (\theta')^{-1} = [1]_{g,h} \circ h \circ [1]_{h,g} = g$.
3. Show that $\theta \left(F_f(\theta^{-1}(X), \theta^{-1}(Y)) \right) = F_g(X, Y)$.
4. Show that $\theta \circ [a]_f \circ \theta^{-1} = [a]_g$.

Both the third and the fourth steps can be shown by directly Lemma 2.9. For details, see [2] Proposition 3.10. \square

2.4 Local Kronecker-Weber Theorem

The main purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.21. *(Local Kronecker-Weber Theorem) $K_\pi K^{un} = K^{ab}$.*

Lemma 2.22. *Let L be a finite abelian extension of K_π of degree m . Let K_m be the unramified extension of K_π of degree m . Then there exists a totally ramified extension L_t/K_π such that $L \subset L_t K_m = LK_m$.*

Proof. Note that $\text{Gal}(LK_m/K_\pi)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L/K_\pi) \times \text{Gal}(K_m/K_\pi)$, so every element in $\text{Gal}(LK_m/K_\pi)$ has torsion m . Pick a $\tau \in \text{Gal}(LK_m/K_\pi)$ such that $\tau|_{K_m}$ is the Frobenius element. Then τ has order m in $\text{Gal}(LK_m/K_\pi)$. By the structure theorem of finite abelian groups, we have that $\text{Gal}(LK_m/K_\pi)$ can be decomposed into $\langle \tau \rangle \times H$ for some subgroup $H < \text{Gal}(LK_m/K_\pi)$. Let $L_t = L^{\langle \tau \rangle}$. Then $L_t \cap K_m = K_\pi$ since $\text{Gal}(K_m/K_\pi) = \langle \tau|_{K_m} \rangle$, so L_t/K_π is totally ramified and $\text{Gal}(L_t/K_\pi) = H$. Therefore, $L_t K_m = LK_m \supset L$. \square

Remark. *The above proof actually works for all henselian valuation field with finite residue field K and finite abelian extension L/K .*

Lemma 2.23. *Let L be an totally ramified extension of K and $L \supset K_\pi$. Then $L = K_\pi$.*

Proof. See [2] Lemma 4.9.

The idea is that $\text{Gal}(L/K_\pi) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Gal}(L/K_{\pi,n})$. In fact, $\text{Gal}(L/K_{\pi,n})$ is some ramification group of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$, so their intersection is trivial. \square

Lemma 2.24. *Every finite unramified extension of K_π is contained in $K_\pi K^{un}$*

Proof. Suppose L/K_π is a finite unramified extension. Then $L = K_\pi(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in K^{al}$. Suppose $f \in K_\pi[X]$ is the minimal polynomial of α over K_π . Then $f \in K_{\pi,n}[X]$ for some n . Since L/K_π is unramified, f is irreducible in the residue field of K_π , which is the same with the residue field of $K_{\pi,n}$. Thus, $K_{\pi,n}(\alpha)/K_{\pi,n}$ is unramified. Suppose T/K is the maximal unramified extension of $K_{\pi,n}(\alpha)/K$, so the residue field of T equals the residue field of $K_{\pi,n}(\alpha)$. Then $[T : K]$ equals the inertia index of $K_{\pi,n}(\alpha)/K$. Thus, $K_{\pi,n}(\alpha) = TK_{\pi,n}$. Hence, $L = K_\pi T \subset K_\pi K^{un}$. \square

Proof. (of Theorem 2.21): Suppose L/K is a finite abelian extension. Then LK_π/K_π is also a finite abelian extension. Thus, there exists a totally ramified extension L_t/K_π and a unramified extension K_m/K_π such that $LK_\pi \subset L_t K_m$. By the two lemmas above, $L_t = K_\pi$ and $K_m \subset K_\pi K^{un}$. Therefore, $L \subset LK_\pi \subset K_\pi K^{un}$. Hence, $K_\pi K^{un} = K^{ab}$. \square

2.5 End of the Proof

Now we finish the proof of the main theorems of local class field theory by showing that the ϕ_K we constructed satisfies the Theorem 2.1 and that Theorem 2.3 is true.

By construction, we know that $\phi_K(\pi)|_{K^{un}}$ is the Frobenius element for each uniformizer π of K .

To prove the part (b) of the Theorem 2.1, take a finite abelian extension L/K .

Lemma 2.25. *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^* & \xrightarrow{\phi_L} & \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/L) \\ Nm \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K^* & \xrightarrow{\phi_K} & \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K) \end{array}$$

Proof. Since L^* is generated by all uniformizers, it suffices to show that $\phi_L(\Pi) = \phi_K(\text{Nm}(\Pi))$ for all uniformizers Π of L . By taking the maximal unramified extension of K in L , it suffices to show the cases when L/K is totally ramified and unramified respectively.

For detail, see [1] Theorem 6.9. □

Thus, ϕ_K induces a homomorphism $\phi_{L/K}: K^*/\text{Nm}(L^*) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$.

From the construction of ϕ_K , it is easy to see that

Lemma 2.26. *The homomorphism ϕ_K is injective and continuous. Moreover, $\phi_K(K^*)$ is dense in $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$, consisting of all elements τ such that $\tau|_{K^{un}}$ is a power of the Frobenius element.*

The following proposition finishes the proof of the part (b) of Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 2.27. *As notations above, $\phi_{L/K}: K^*/\text{Nm}(L^*) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. If $\phi_K(x)|_L = \text{Id}$ for some $x \in K^*$, then there is $\tau = \phi_K(x)|_L \in \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/L)$. Let $T = L \cap K^{un}$. Suppose $[T : K] = m$. Then $\phi_K(x)|_T = \text{Id}$ implies that $\phi_K(x)|_{K^{un}}$ is a power of σ^m by the above lemma. Note that $\text{Gal}(K^{un}/T) \cong \text{Gal}(LK^{un}/L) = \text{Gal}(L^{un}/L)$ and σ^m corresponds to the Frobenius element of L under this isomorphism. Therefore, $\phi_K(x)|_L^{un}$ is a power of the Frobenius element of L . By the above lemma again, there is $y \in L$ such that $\phi_L(y) = \phi_K(x)$. Since $\phi_L(y) = \phi_K(\text{Nm}(y))$ and ϕ_K is injective, $x = \text{Nm}(y)$. Thus, $\phi_{L/K}$ is injective.

In order to prove the surjectivity, identify $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ as $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)/\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/L)$. For each $[\tau] \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, $\tau\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/L)$ is an open subset of $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$. Since $\phi_K(K^*)$ is dense

in $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$, there is $x \in K^*$ such that $\phi_K(x) \in \tau \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/L)$. Therefore, $\phi_{L/K}(x) = [\tau]$. \square

Finally, we should prove Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 2.28. *Let K be a nonarchimedean local field and L/K is a field extension. If $\text{Nm}(L^*)$ is of finite index in K^* , then it is open.*

Proof. Since U_L is profinite, U_L is compact. Thus, $\text{Nm}(U_L)$ is compact in K^* , which is Hausdorff. Therefore, $\text{Nm}(U_L)$ is closed in K^* . Since $\text{Nm}(U_L) = \text{Nm}(L^*) \cap U_K$, U_L is a closed subgroup with finite index in U_K , so is open in U_K . Note that U_K is open in K^* . Hence, $\text{Nm}(L^*)$ contains an open subgroup of K^* , so is open. \square

Proof. (of Theorem 2.3): By the part (b) of Theorem 2.1, we see that every norm group in K^* is of finite index. Thus, by the lemma above, they are open. Conversely, by the part (d) of the Corollary 2.2, it suffices to show that each open subgroup of finite index H in K^* contains a norm group. Since H is open, $H \supset (1 + \mathfrak{m}^n)$ for some n . Since H is of finite index, there is an integer s such that $H \supset (1 + \mathfrak{m}^n) \times s\mathbb{Z}$. Let K_s be the unramified extension of K of degree s and $L = K_{\pi,n} K_s$. Therefore, $\phi_{L/K}((1 + \mathfrak{m}^n) \times s\mathbb{Z}) = 1$. It follows that $(1 + \mathfrak{m}^n) \times s\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{Nm}(L^*)$. Since they have the same index in K^* , $(1 + \mathfrak{m}^n) \times s\mathbb{Z} = \text{Nm}(L^*)$. \square

References

- [1] Kenkichi Iwasawa. *Local Class Field Theory*. Oxford Science Publications, 1986. 2.25
- [2] James Milne. Class field theory. <https://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/CFT.pdf>, 2020. 2.2, 2.9, 2.20, 2.23