



Due on 30 Sept. 2025

Discussion on 30 Sept. 2025

In this week's exercise, we set $\hbar = 1$ for convenience.

Notation. We use hats for operators and bold for vectors. The spin operators at site i are $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i = (\hat{S}_i^x, \hat{S}_i^y, \hat{S}_i^z)$. The symbol S (no hat, not bold) denotes the *spin quantum number*. When we take the classical approximation later, we set $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_i = S \mathbf{n}_i$ with $|\mathbf{n}_i| = 1$ and then drop the hats.

1 Ferromagnet ★ New

We take the ferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian on a 1D lattice of N sites with spacing a :

$$\hat{H} = -J \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i+1}, \quad J > 0, \quad (1)$$

where the index i represents the i -th site, with periodic boundary conditions $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N+1} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{S}}_1$. Let S be the spin quantum number at each site. For electrons $S = \frac{1}{2}$.

(a) *A recap of spin operators:* For a spin-1/2 system, we have the following representation of the spin operators:

$$\hat{S}^x = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{S}^y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{S}^z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

What are the eigenstates of the operator \hat{S}^z ?¹ Let us denote these two eigenstates as $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$. Calculate:

$$\hat{S}^x |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^y |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^x |\downarrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^y |\downarrow\rangle$$

and express the results in terms of the two states $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle$.

(b) Intuitively, the system has the lowest energy when all spins align. We use Dirac notation to denote this state:

$$|\phi\rangle \equiv |\uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \cdots \uparrow_N\rangle \quad (2)$$

where \uparrow_i means the i -th spin is pointing up, i.e. the up-spin eigenstate of the \hat{S}_i^z operator. Prove that this state $|\phi\rangle$ is indeed an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (Eq. 1).²

¹Hint: An eigenstate $|\psi\rangle$ of an operator \hat{O} satisfies the equation $\hat{O}|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$, where λ is the eigen value of the state. In this case, you need to find the states that satisfy $\hat{S}^z|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$. You should expect to find two eigenstates.

²Hint: To simplify the problem, you could try $N = 2$ first, then extend it to $N = 3$. Again, to prove that $|\phi\rangle$ is indeed an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian, you need to prove that $\hat{H}|\phi\rangle = \lambda|\phi\rangle$

2 Spin wave in ferromagnet ★ New

Take the Hamiltonian from the last exercise.

- (a) The equation of motion of this system is

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{S}}}_i = J\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \times (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i-1} + \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i+1}), \quad (3)$$

where $\dot{\hat{\mathbf{S}}}$ is the time derivative of the operator $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$. This is a vector form of the equation of motion. Rewrite the equation in terms of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$'s three components: \hat{S}^x , \hat{S}^y , and \hat{S}^z .

- (b) We take a classical approximation, we treat the \mathbf{S} as a classical variable, meaning that it is not a operator anymore. Each \mathbf{S} can be simply written as a 3-dimensional vector (for example, $(0.1, 0.1, 0.2)$). Let's assume the system is sitting at its ground state where all spins are pointing in the z direction, i.e. $S_i^z = S$, and $S_i^x = S_i^y = 0$. A small perturbation will bring the state slightly away from this ground state. Try to argue, in a hand-wavy way, that a small transverse perturbation leads to

$$S^z \approx S, \quad S^x = \delta S^x, \quad S^y = \delta S^y,$$

up to first order, where $\delta S^{x,y}$ is a small value compared to S . This means that z component is almost unchanged under small perturbation. Therefore, we can treat it as a constant.

- (c) Assuming a small perturbation is applied. Simplify the equation of motion Eq.2. You should get

$$\delta\dot{S}_i^x = -JS [(\delta S_{i+1}^y - \delta S_i^y) - (\delta S_i^y - \delta S_{i-1}^y)], \quad (4)$$

and similar expression for the y component. In fact, we can actually discard the δ sign in front of the S , because effectively $\delta S^x = S^x$, and $\delta S^y = S^y$.

- (d) Instead of discretizing the system like we usually do in physics, we will do the opposite this time: let us “continu-nize” the system. This means that the lattice constant is so small that we can treat the system as a continuous system. Therefore, the spin operator now becomes a function of position, $S_i \Rightarrow S(x)$, where x is the coordinate. Prove that, with this approximation, the equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial S^x}{\partial t} = -JSa^2 \frac{\partial^2 S^y}{\partial x^2}, \quad \frac{\partial S^y}{\partial t} = JSa^2 \frac{\partial^2 S^x}{\partial x^2}, \quad (5)$$

where a is the lattice constant.

- (e) Fourier transform the Eq. 5 to both frequency (energy) and k (momentum) space.³ You should get the following equation:

$$i\omega \tilde{S}^x(\omega, k) = -JSa^2 k^2 \tilde{S}^y(\omega, k) \quad (6)$$

and similar expression for the y component.

- (f) Prove that this system has a dispersion of the form

$$\omega \propto (ka)^2$$

Explain why this LOOKS different from what you learned in the lecture, where $\omega \propto (1 - \cos(ka))$.

3 Magnetic domain walls ♦ From 2024

Hidden due to University of Zurich policy.

³Use $f(x, t) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \tilde{f}(\omega, k) e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$. Then $\partial_t \rightarrow -i\omega$, $\partial_x^2 \rightarrow -k^2$.

- 4 (Optional) We restore the \hbar in this exercise. Spin waves in a two-dimensional antiferromagnet ♦ From 2024

Hidden due to University of Zurich policy.