Types, Data Types, and Polymorphism

Winter 2015-2016

Table of Contents

Review: Built-in types

Type Synonyms

Data types

Recursive Data types

Polymorphism

Lists

Overloading and Type Classes

Review: Basic Haskell Types

- booleans: **Bool** ; values: **True** , **False**
- integers: Int , Integer
- floating point numbers: Float , Double
- characters: **Char**: values: eg, 'a', 'b'
- strings: **String** : eg, "abc", ""
- Haskell type names and values are Capitalized
- Every value, expression has a type

Function types

- A function maps input type(s) to an output type
- The type operator —> is pronounced "to"
- A function's type has at least one ->

Type Synonyms

- A simple notation for giving a new name to a type
- Example follows (not using synonyms)...
- Similar to a previous example

```
— compute both roots of quadratic equation
-- a * x * x + b * x + c = 0
quad :: (Float, Float, Float) -> (Float, Float)
quad (a,b,c)
   a == 0 = error "not_quadratic"
  disc < 0 = error "not_real"
  otherwise = (r1, r2)
  where disc = b*b - 4*a*c
         r1 = (-b + (\mathbf{sqrt} \ \mathsf{disc}))/(2 * a)
        r2 = (-b - (\mathbf{sqrt} \ \mathsf{disc}))/(2 * a)
```

- quad 's argument, the triple (a,b,c), was declared to have type (Float, Float, Float)
- A type synonym is a way of giving another name for a type E.g.,

```
type Coefs = (Float, Float, Float)
type Roots = (Float, Float)
```

```
type Coefs = (Float, Float, Float)
type Roots = (Float, Float)
— compute both roots of quadratic equation
--a * x * x + b * x + c = 0
quad :: Coefs -> Roots
quad (a,b,c)
  a == 0 = error "not_quadratic"
  disc < 0 = error "not_real"
 | otherwise = (r1, r2)
 where disc = b*b - 4*a*c
       r1 = (-b + (sqrt disc))/(2 * a)
       r2 = (-b - (sqrt disc))/(2 * a)
```

Creating type synonyms

- Syntax: **type** <Name> = <signature>
- The Name must begin with a capital letter
 (all type names begin with a capital letter: Float, Integer, Char...)
- Semantics: The name is associated with the signature
- This is a good way to make signatures more meaningful and concise!
- However, nothing new is being created, except a name
- Type synonyms inherit properties of the underlying types

Data types

- Haskell's type declaration allows programmers to define new names for existing types.
- Nothing new is created.
- Haskell provides a tool to create data types that are completely new
- The keyword **data** is used for this.

Example: Booleans

• The type **Bool** is defined in Haskell's Prelude:

$$\mathbf{data}\;\mathbf{Bool}=\mathbf{False}\;|\;\mathbf{True}\;$$

- The keyword data tells Haskell a new data type is being defined
- The **Bool** is the name for the new datatype
- There are exactly 2 values in this type: **True** and **False**
- The separates the name from the values
- The separates different values of the type

Creating Datatypes

• The type **Bool** is defined in Haskell's Prelude:

```
\mathsf{data}\;\mathsf{Bool}=\mathsf{False}\;|\;\mathsf{True}\;
```

- Unlike type, a data definition creates something new
- All data values, e.g., True, False, must be capitalized! (all data types and type values must be capitalized)
- The values True , False are also called constructors
 They "construct" the values of Bool .

Defining functions on Datatypes

- Haskell allows programmers to define functions on datatypes using patterns and constructors.
- Other languages require new types to be constructed out of old types

Example: Boolean negation

Haskell defines not, the code looks like this:

```
not :: Bool -> Bool

not False = True
not True = False
```

• There is a "pattern" for each constructor.

Evaluating function calls with patterns

- Evaluating not <expression> :
 - 1. <expression> is evaluated
 - 2. the result is compared to **False** the first pattern
 - If it matches, the right side of the first pattern is evaluated and returned
 - 4. If it doesn't match, the next pattern is tried...
 - 5. If no pattern match, a runtime error is generated
- There were no builtin functions used to define **not**

Example: Days of a week

```
data Day = Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday
| Friday | Saturday | Sunday
```

- There are no built-in operations defined on Day
- How to define equality? ≤? Any other function?
- Solution: Pattern matching

Example: Days of our lives

```
cheer :: Day -> String

cheer Monday = "L_don't_ like _Mondays"
cheer Tuesday = "Goodbye,_Ruby!"
cheer Wednesday = "Wednesday_morning_at_five_o'clock."
cheer Thursday = "L_could_never_get_the_hang_of_Thursdays."
cheer Friday = "It's_Friday,_Friday!"
cheer Saturday = "Saturday_night's_alright_alright"
cheer Sunday = error "Couldn't_find_anything_interesting."
```

Evaluating function calls with patterns

- Evaluating cheer <expression> :
 - 1. <expression> is evaluated
 - 2. the result is compared to Monday the first pattern
 - 3. If it matches, the right side of the first pattern is returned
 - 4. If it doesn't match, the next pattern is tried...
 - 5. Each pattern is tried from top down; the first one to match is used
 - 6. If no pattern match, a runtime error is generated

Benefits of pattern matching

- With pattern matching, the programmer is free to define types independent of other existing types
- Powerful ability not found in C, C++, Java (In C/Java, you always have to base your new classes on existing classes)
- Function definitions can be short and clear

Another example

- Haskell defines boolean operator && (and) and || (or)
- But let's look at one way of doing it ourselves:

```
and :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool and False \times = False and True \times = \times
```

Example: how does evaluation work?

Assume the expression to be evaluated is **and** $\langle e1 \rangle \langle e2 \rangle$

- 1. Use first pattern, evaluate <e1> and compare the result to False
- 2. If the result matches False return the RHS (i.e., False)
- 3. If <e1> evaluates to **True**, the first eqution fails to match, so the second pattern must be used, and in this case, <e2> is returned

Anonymous variables

If you are defining a function using patterns, you can use
 as an anonymous variable. It is useful when the argument is
 only used on the LHS of an equation, e.g.

```
and :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool

and False _ = False
and _ x = x
```

• The benefit is that you don't need to clutter your definition with names you use only once in an equation.

Example: Representing Shapes I

```
data Shape = Circle Float-- radius| Square Float-- side length| Rectangle Float Float-- width, height
```

- The constructors are Circle, Square and Rectangle
- When you want to represent a circle whose radius is 1.5, you write Circle 1.5
- To represent a rectangle of width 1 and height 2: Rectangle 1 2 (no commas!)
- Constructors are functions:
 - Circle :: Float -> Shape
 - Square :: Float -> Shape
 - Rectangle :: Float -> Float -> Shape

Computing the area of a Shape

Simple: a pattern for each constructor.

Generally: have a pattern for each constructor.

The dual nature of Constructors

- When a constructor is used on the LHS, Haskell does pattern matching against the constructor syntax.
- In other words, a constructor is treated as a data structure.
- However, the constructor acts as a function when it is used on the RHS.
- In other words, it has arguments and returns a value when called (the value is itself).

Example: changing shapes

```
convert :: Shape -> Shape

convert (Circle \times) = Square \times -- change circle to square convert (Square \times) = Circle \times -- change square to circle convert \times s = \times -- nothing else changes
```

- On the LHS of the first equation, Circle x is used in pattern matching.
- On the RHS of the second equation, Circle x is used to construct a value of type Shape.

Recursive data types

Peano numbers

- We represent 0 by Zero
- We represent 1 by S Zero
- We represent 2 by S (S Zero)

Constructor S really is a function!

Polymorphism in general

- Polymorphism is the property that a definition can be applied to many different types.
- Benefits: code re-use. Write one polymorphic function, and use it in many different situations.

Polymorphism in Haskell

- In Haskell, polymorphism is achieved by using type variables
- A type variable is just a lower case symbol, often just a single letter
- A type variable is used to represent "many types"
- A type variable may appear in several places:
 - Type signatures
 - Type synonyms
 - Datatype definitions
 - Type class definitions
- A type variable never appears in a function definition

Example: not polymorphic type synonyms

• Suppose we wanted a pair of integers: we could define:

If we wanted a pair of single precision floating point values:

$$\textbf{type} \ \mathsf{PairOfFloat} = (\textbf{Float}, \ \textbf{Float})$$

The only difference is the type being contained, i.e.,
 Int

Polymorphic type synonyms

- Type synonyms can be polymorphic (parameterized with type variables)
- Example:

```
\textbf{type} \ \mathsf{PairOf} \ \mathsf{a} = (\mathsf{a}, \ \mathsf{a})
```

$$p1 :: PairOf Int$$

 $p1 = (0,0)$

- The type PairOf a is polymorphic
- Two of the same kind of thing
- We can have pairs of any type!

Polymorphic data types

- Data types can also be polymorphic
- We parameterize the datatype name with a type variable.
- E.g., shapes:

```
\textbf{data} \ \mathsf{Shape} \ \mathsf{a} = \mathsf{Circle} \ \mathsf{a} \ | \ \mathsf{Square} \ \mathsf{a} \ | \ \mathsf{Rectangle} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{a}
```

- This says
 - Shape a is a polymorphic datatype
 - It has 3 polymorphic type constructors;
 - The type variable a stands in place of any type.

 - The constructor Rectangle takes two arguments, both of type a .

Example: Making polymorphic shapes

data Shape a = Circle a | Square a | Rectangle a a

- This allows us to specialize shapes for different purposes.
 - Shape Int
 - Shape Float
 - Shape **Double**
 - Shape Peano
- However, we can also specialize less useful types:
 - Shape Bool
 - Shape Char
- A data declaration cannot use a type restriction, so our functions have to!

Example: Calculating the area of a shape

```
data Shape a = Circle a | Square a | Rectangle a a area :: Floating a => Shape a -> a area (Circle r) = pi * r * r area (Square I) = I * I area (Rectangle w h) = w * h
```

- A data declaration cannot use a type restriction, so our functions have to!
- Haskell cannot calculate area (Circle 'a') even though
 Circle 'a' is a perfectly valid instance of a shape.

Lists: Examples

- In Haskell, a list is a polymorphic datatype.
- Lists are singly-linked.
- Examples:

```
list1 :: [Int]
list1 = [1, 2, 3]

list2 :: [Char]
list2 = ['a', 'b', 'c', 'd']

list3 :: [String]
list3 = ["doh", "re", "me"]
```

Lists: Syntax

- The empty list is [] .
- The square brackets are used in 2 ways
 - 1. In type signatures
 - 2. For literal lists

```
list1 :: [Int] list1 = [1, 2, 3]
```

 NOTE: The notation for specifying list parameters to functions is different!

The ugly truth about lists

- The list is a recursive and polymorphic datatype.
- Lists are built-in, but they could be defined as follows:

- This says: A List of elements of type a is either
 - the empty list, []
 - or a construction of a value of type a with a list of type [a]
- Example: 1 : 2 : 3 : []
- : is an infix operator, associates right
- Equivalent lists:

$$[1,2,3] = 1:[2,3] = 1:2:[3] = 1:2:3:[]$$

List Operations: Append

 Note the use of (x:xs) to specify the head/tail of a Haskell list:

- The notation [a] in the type signature means "a list of elements all of type a"
- Polymorphic: one definition that can be applied to lists of any type.
- There is a built-in version of this function: (++).

List Operations: Length

Example: length

```
| length :: [a] -> Int
| length [] = 0
| length (x:xs) = 1 + (length xs)
```

- Polymorphic: it doesn't matter what kind of list it is.
- Note that the type variable a is lower case!
- The parentheses in (x:xs) show precedence. The () are not part of the list!

Common list syntax errors

```
Using [ ] badly:
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{mylength} :: \ [a] \ -> \mbox{Int} \\ \\ \mbox{mylength} \ [] \ = 0 \\ \\ \mbox{mylength} \ [x{:}xs] \ = 1 + \mbox{(mylength} \ xs) \end{array}
```

```
mylength.hs:2:23:

Occurs check: cannot construct the infinite \ensuremath{ \text{type}} \colon t = [t]

Expected \ensuremath{ \text{type}} \colon [t] -> t1

Inferred \ensuremath{ \text{type}} \colon [[t]] -> t2

In the second argument \ensuremath{ \text{of } '(+)'}, \text{ namely '(mylength xs)'}

In the expression: 1 + (\text{mylength xs})
```

Common list syntax errors

Forgetting to indicate precedence:

```
mylength :: [a] -> Int

mylength [] = 0

mylength x:xs = 1 + (mylength xs)
```

mylength.hs:2:0: Parse error in pattern

List Operations: reverse

Example: reverse: return the list in the reverse order

```
reverse :: [a] -> [a]

reverse [] = []

reverse (x:xs) = reverse xs ++ [x]
```

- Again, one implementation, many uses!
- It can reverse any kind of list.
- It's inefficient to reverse a list this way! Use tail recursion instead.

When polymorphism isn't enough

- What type should + be?
 - 1. Too restrictive: (+) :: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
 - 2. Too loose: (+) :: a -> a -> a
- We don't want + to be polymorphic, because
 - the implementation would change depending on the type of the arguments,
 - e.g., adding complex numbers is different from adding integers
 - but they are related enough to use the same syntax, +

Another example: Equality

- We have seen == used with numbers
- How can we use == with other types?
 - What type would it have?
 - What should == compute?
- A polymorphic definition won't work
- Each type may need a different implementation associated with ==

Overloading

- An operator is overloaded if
 - 1. A single syntax can be applied to many types
 - 2. For each type, the implementation is different
- Example: In Java, the + can be used to
 - Add numbers: 3 + 4
 - Append strings: "this"+"that"
 - The same operator, different computation
 - The same syntax, different semantics
- Excellent Exam question: What's the difference between an overloaded operator and a polymorphic operator?

Type classes implement overloading

- In Haskell, operator overloading is implemented with type classes
- Every type class defines one or more operators, which are overloaded for each member of the type class, eg:
 - == defined for each type that is in the class **Eq**
 - < defined for each type that is in the class **Ord**
 - **show** defined for each type that is in the class **Show**

More Existing Type Classes

- There are several type classes pre-defined in Haskell
 - class **Show**: values that can be displayed
 - class **Eq** : values that can be tested for equality ==
 - class **Enum**: values that can be counted
 - class Num: values that can be used in numeric expressions
 - class Fractional: subclass of Num for operations that need floating point (Float or Double)
 - class **Ord**: values that can be ordered using <
 - and lots of others
 - Know these basic ones; be aware that others exist (especially when interpreting error messages)

Defining Type Classes I

- A type class is a set of types, all of which overload a number of functions for the class.
- The class associated with equality == is Eq
- Defined in the Haskell Prelude, as follows

```
class Eq a where

(==) :: a -> a -> Bool

(/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

- class tells Haskell to define a new class
- Eq a is the polymorphic class name (must be polymorphic!)
- Declares 2 operators with polymorphic signatures
 - 1. Equality (==)
 - 2. Inequality (/=)
- No definitions for the operators are given yet. Each instance defines its own implementation!.



Overview of the type Class **Ord**

- The class of types whose elements can be ordered
- Eg: numbers, characters, etc.
- The **Ord** class is associated with the operators
 - < : less than
 - > : greater than
 - <=: less or equal
 - >= : greater or equal
- Note:
 - The <= implies an equality test
 - So an instance of Ord must also be an instance of Eq

Definition of the type Class **Ord**

class (Eq a) => (Ord a) where
$$(<),(<=),(>),(>=) :: a -> a -> Bool$$

- Ord a is a subclass Eq a
- Ord is polymorphic
- One line to give type signature for 4 functions

Definition of Type Class **Enum**

- An enumeration is a "numbering" of values
- The class Enum describes types whose elements can be numbered; each value can correspond to a unique integer

```
class Enum a where
  fromEnum :: a -> Int
  toEnum :: Int -> a
```

Other type classes you need to know

- **Show** a : the class of objects that can be displayed on the screen.
 - Most types should be in this class.
 - Functions are not in this class. They cannot be displayed.
- Num a: the class of numeric values
 - All the number types are in this class: Int Double

 Float

Note

- The type classes we have seen are built in to Haskell
- I have shown you the definitions, but you do not have to type them in to use them.
- They are already defined in the Haskell Prelude.

Instantiating a type class

- Type classes are collections of types with common overloaded operators.
- Any data type defined by data can be included in any type class, using the instance declaration.

Example of Instantiating a Type Class

```
data Day = Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday
          Thursday | Friday | Saturday | Sunday
instance Eq Day where
 (==) = davEq
dayEq Monday Monday = True
dayEq Tuesday Tuesday = True
dayEq Wednesday Wednesday = True
dayEq Thursday Thursday = True
dayEq Friday Friday = True
dayEq Saturday Saturday = True
dayEq Sunday Sunday = True
               = False
dayEq x
          У
```

Type Class Default Implementations I

- We defined (==) on Day
- But we did not define (/=)
- Trivial implementation: x /= y = not (x == y)
- Haskell feature: A type class can define "default" implementations:

```
-- desert island implementation of Eq
class Eq a where
(==) :: a -> a -> a
x /= y = not (x == y)
```

- The function (==) is given a signature, but not an implementation
 - The programmer must provide the implementation for any instance



Type Class Default Implementations II

- The function (/=) is defined in terms of (==)
 - This is a "default" implementation
 - An instance of **Eq** must define (==)
 - An instance of Eq may define (/=)
 (if the default is unsuitable)

Default implementations for **Ord**

```
class (Eq a) => (Ord a) where

(<),(<=),(>),(>=) :: a -> a -> Bool

(x <= y) = (x < y) || (x == y)

(x >= y) = (x > y) || (x == y)

(x > y) = not (x <= y)
```

- To make an instance of Ord, the programmer must implement <
- A programmer can over-ride any default definition.

Example: Bool I

Example: Bool can be declared an instance of Ord and
 Enum as follows:

```
instance Enum Bool where
 toEnum False = 0
 toEnum True = 1
 from E_{num} 0 = False
 from Enum 1 = True
instance Ord Bool where
 False < False = False
 False < True = True
 True < False = False
 True < True = False
```

• We had to implement < on **Bool**



Example: Bool II

- In this example, < means "appears earlier in the ordering"
- This declaration defines only < ; the others are default implementations

Tedious Instance Declarations

- Some instance declarations are tedious
- Example: recall Day

```
data Day = Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday
| Thursday | Friday | Saturday
| Sunday
```

 To make this an instance of Eq , Ord , and Enum would be guite tedious

Automatic Instance Declarations I

Haskell compilers can generate automatic instance declarations

```
data Day = Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday
| Thursday | Friday | Saturday
| Sunday
deriving (Eq. Ord, Enum, Show)
```

- Using the deriving clause forces the compiler to generate sensible code for the data-type automatically.
 - The "automatic code" is sensible eg, Monday == Monday = True, etc eg, Monday < Monday = False, etc
 - For **Enum**, the values are mappable to numbers in order, starting from 0

Perspective

- Type synonyms make programs more readable.
- Type variables allow programmers to define polymorphic functions.
- Datatypes allow Haskell programmers to define new data.
- To write functions on new datatypes, use function definitions based on patterns
- Haskell provides type classes to define common functions on members of the class through overloading
- Haskell takes the drudgery out of programming by allowing for automatic instance declarations.