



Aalto University  
School of Science  
and Technology

# Structured output prediction for multilabel classification

Hongyu Su

Helsinki Institute for Information Technology HIIT  
Department of Computer Science, Aalto University

December 3, 2015

An update-to-date version of this slide is available from [my GitHub](#).

<http://github.com/hongyusu>

# More about me


Take a look at my homepage and [my technical blog](#).

<http://www.hongyusu.com>

<http://www.hongyusu.com/pages.html>

# About me

- ▶ Postdoc in Helsinki Institute for Information Technology and Aalto University since 2015.5.
- ▶ Research: build advance machine learning models to solve large scale data analysis problem (research project).
- ▶ Equivalent to: continuously learning, thinking, implementing, reporting.



# Educations



- ▶ Bachelor in Computer Science and Engineering, Xidian University, 2007
- ▶ Master in Bioinformatics, University of Helsinki, 2010
- ▶ Phd in Information and Computer Science, Aalto University, 2015

*'Everything comes with a price. Some things just cost more than others.'*  
-Brom, *The Child thief*

# Awards

- ▶ Chinese government awards for outstanding Phd candidate



# A big fan of modern information technologies

- ▶ <http://sentimentx.herokuapp.com>
- ▶ <http://tripassistant.herokuapp.com>
- ▶ Know new technologies very well, e.g., deep learning, big data, Kafka, Spark, IoT.
- ▶ Maintain a technical blog at [www.hongyusu.com](http://www.hongyusu.com) on technology innovations.
- ▶ Double blade: new are not always good, e.g., I like old-fashion mechanical keyboard.



# A big fan of sports

- ▶ I enjoy competitions and aggressive sport for example basketball.



- ▶ Now I try to discover unknown part of myself
  - ▶ Downhill snowboarding.
  - ▶ Bouldering (license)
  - ▶ Paragliding
  - ▶ Open water diving (license)
- ▶ A lot of gyms.

# A cat person

- ▶ Pabulo




- ▶ Miu



- ▶ Cats are independent and make my life not very technical.

# A hiker

I like to discover new places.



# A photographer with a Flickr account

I like to memorize great moments.



# A bottle collector

I like to taste new things.



# Multilabel classification

- ▶ An important research field in machine learning.
- ▶ **Input:**  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  lives in some input space  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- ▶ **Output:**  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_j, \dots, y_l) \in \mathcal{Y}$  is a vector of  $\ell$  variables.
- ▶  $y$ : *multilabel*,  $y_j$ : *microlabel*.
- ▶ Output space  $\mathcal{Y}$  is composed by a tensor product of  $\ell$  sets

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{Y}_\ell, \mathcal{Y}_i = \{+1, -1\}.$$

- ▶ For example, in document classification, a document  $x_0$  could be tagged with “news” “movie” “art” but not “sports” “politics” “finance”.

$$y_0 = (+1, +1, -1, -1, -1, +1, -1).$$

news movie sports politics finance science art

- ▶ **Goal:** find a mapping function  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  that predicts the best values of an output  $y$  given an input  $x$ ,  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ .

# Concerns

- ▶ Exponential Search space!

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{Y}_\ell, \mathcal{Y}_i = \{+1, -1\} \quad |\mathcal{Y}| = 2^\ell.$$

- ▶ Dependency of microlabels!

- ▶ If a document is tagged with “movie”, then it is more likely to be in the category of “art” than “science”.

$$\mathbf{y} = (\underbrace{?}_{\text{news}}, \underbrace{?}_{\text{movie}}, \underbrace{?}_{\text{sports}}, \underbrace{?}_{\text{politics}}, \underbrace{?}_{\text{finance}}, \underbrace{?}_{\text{science}}, \underbrace{?}_{\text{art}}).$$

# Motivated by applications

- ▶ Document classification, an article can be assigned to multiple categories.

$x_0 =$



$$y = (+1, +1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$$

news    economics    sports    politics    movie    science    art

# Motivated by applications (cont.)

- ▶ Image annotation, an image can associate with multiple tags.

$\mathbf{x}_0 =$



$$\mathbf{y} = (+1, +1, -1, -1, -1, +1, +1)$$

boat    sea    sun    beach    people    ice    land

# Motivated by applications (cont.)

- ▶ Drug discovery, a drug can be effective for multiple symptoms.

$x_0 =$




$$\mathbf{y} = (+1, +1, +1, +1, -1, -1, +1)$$

heart   stroke   blood   fever   digest   liver   swelling

# Motivated by applications (cont.)

- ▶ Protein function, a protein have multiple function in biological system.

$\mathbf{x}_0 =$




$$\mathbf{y} = ( \underbrace{+1}_{\alpha\text{-channel}} , \underbrace{+1}_{\beta\text{-barrel}} , \underbrace{+1}_{\text{holins}} , \underbrace{-1}_{\text{vesicle fusion pores}} , \underbrace{-1}_{\text{Phosphotransfer-driven Group}} )$$

# Motivated by applications (cont.)

- Social network, information can spread through multiple users.

$x_0 =$



$$y = (+1, \underbrace{-1}_{\text{Alice}}, \underbrace{+1}_{\text{David}}, \underbrace{-1}_{\text{Mark}}, \underbrace{+1}_{\text{Alex}}, \underbrace{-1}_{\text{Zoe}}, \underbrace{-1}_{\text{Frank}})$$


# Flat classification approach

- ▶ The scheme is proposed in [Tsoumakas et al., 2010]
- ▶ The output variable  $y$  is assumed to be a flat vector.
- ▶ Problem transformation
  - ▶ Model the problem as a collection of single-label classification problems and solve each problem independently.
  - ▶ E.g., ML-KNN [Zhang and Zhou, 2007], CC [Read et al., 2011], IBLR [Cheng and Hüllermeier, 2009].
- ▶ Algorithm adaptation
  - ▶ Adapt single-label classification models to multilabel classification problems.
  - ▶ E.g., CORRLOG [Bian et al., 2012], MTL [Argyriou et al., 2008], ADABOOST.MH [Schapire and Singer, 1999, Esuli et al., 2008].
- ▶ These approaches do not model the dependency structure of microlabels.

# Output correlation structure

- ▶ Protein function, a protein have multiple function in biological system.

$x_0 =$



$G =$


- 1: Channels/Pores
- 2: Electrochemical Potential-driven Transporters
  - 2.A: Porters (uniporters, symporters, antiporters)
  - 2.B: Nonribosomally synthesized porters
  - 2.C: Ion-gradient-driven energizers
  - 2.D: Transcompartment Lipid Carrier
    - 2.D.1: The PIA/P/PS Counter Transporter (P/P-CT) Family
- 3: Primary Active Transporters
- 4: Group Translocators
- 5: Transmembrane Electron Carriers
- 6: Accessory Factors Involved in Transport
- 9: Incompletely Characterized Transport Systems

$$y = ( \underbrace{+1}_{\alpha\text{-channel}} , \underbrace{+1}_{\beta\text{-barrel}} , \underbrace{+1}_{\text{holins}} , \underbrace{-1}_{\text{vesicle fusion pores}} , \underbrace{-1}_{\text{Phosphotransfer-driven Group}} )$$


# Output correlation structure (cont.)

- Social network, information can spread through multiple users.

$x_0 =$



$G =$



$$y = (+1, -1, +1, -1, +1, -1, -1)$$

Ted Alice David Mark Alex Zoe Frank

# Structured output prediction approach

- ▶ The scheme is proposed in [Su, 2015].
- ▶ Models the dependency by an *output graph* defined on microlabels.
- ▶ Hierarchical classification
  - ▶ The output graph is a rooted tree defining different levels of granularities.
  - ▶ E.g., SSVM  
[Tsochantaridis et al., 2004, Tsochantaridis et al., 2005].
- ▶ Graph labeling
  - ▶ The output graph has a more general form (e.g., a tree, a chain).
  - ▶ E.g., CRF [Lafferty et al., 2001, Taskar et al., 2002], M<sup>3</sup>N  
[Taskar et al., 2004], MMCRF [Rousu et al., 2007, Su et al., 2010],  
SPIN [Su et al., 2014].
- ▶ These approaches assume the output graph is known *a priori*.
- ▶ More general, there is no pre-defined output graph!

# My contributions

- ▶ SOP models developed for observed output graph.
  - ▶ Extend MMCRF to general output graph structures [Su et al., 2010].
  - ▶ SPIN on DAG for network influence prediction [Su et al., 2014].
  - ▶ SOP model for transporter protein classification [Su et al., 2015].
- ▶ SOP models developed for unknown output graph.
  - ▶ MVE to combine multiple structured output predictors by ensemble [Su and Rousu, 2011].
  - ▶ AMM and MAM to aggregate the inference results from multiple structured output predictors [Su and Rousu, 2013, Su and Rousu, 2015].
  - ▶ RTA to perform joint learning and inference over a collection of random spanning tree predictors [Marchand et al., 2014].
  - ▶ Joint learning and inference with sparse structure selection [Cousins et al., 2015a, Cousins et al., 2015b].
- ▶ Dissertation **Multilabel classification through structured output learning** [Su, 2015].
- ▶ Codes are available from <http://github.com/hongyusu>.

# The rest of the talk

- ▶ Preliminaries
- ▶ Structured output prediction
  - ▶ Undirected graph
  - ▶ DAG
  - ▶ Special pattern
  - ▶ Unknown output graph
- ▶ Experimental evaluations
- ▶ Conclusions and future work

# Preliminaries

- ▶ Training examples come in pairs  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{X}$  is an arbitrary input space.
- ▶  $\mathcal{Y}$  is an output space of a collection of  $\ell$ -dimensional *multilabels*.

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

- ▶  $y_i$  is a *microlabel* and  $y_i \in \{1, \dots, r_i\}$ ,  $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- ▶ For example, multilabel binary classification  $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ .
- ▶ We are given a set of  $m$  training examples  $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ .
- ▶ Each example  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is mapped into a joint feature space  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ .
- ▶  $\mathbf{w}$  is the weight vector operates in the joint feature space.
- ▶ Define a linear score function  $F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle$ .
- ▶  $\mathbf{w}$  ensures that example  $\mathbf{x}_i$  with correct multilabel  $\mathbf{y}_i$  achieves higher score than with any other incorrect multilabel  $\mathbf{y}' \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

# Prediction


- ▶ The prediction  $y_w(x)$  of an input  $x$  is the multilabel  $y$  that maximizes the score function

$$y_w(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle w, \phi(x, y) \rangle. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Search space is exponential in size,  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^\ell$ .
- ▶ (1) is called *inference* problem which is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard for most output feature maps.
- ▶ Often, we want a feature map in which the inference can be solved with a polynomial algorithm, e.g., dynamic programming.

# Input/output feature maps

- ▶ We assume that the joint feature map  $\phi$  is a potential function on a Markov network (undirected graph)  $G = (E, V)$ .
- ▶ A vertex  $v_i \in V$  corresponds to a microlabel  $y_i$ , an edge  $(v_i, v_j) \in E$  corresponds to the pairwise correlation of the microlabel  $y_i$  and  $y_j$ .
- ▶  $G$  models potential pairwise correlations and is given *a priori*.




- ▶  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the input feature map, e.g., bag-of-words of a document.
- ▶  $\psi(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4|E|}$  is the output feature map which maps the multilabel  $\mathbf{y}$  into a collection of edges and labels

$$\varphi(\mathbf{y}) = (u_e)_{e \in E}, u_e \in \{-1, +1\}^2.$$

# An example of $\psi(\mathbf{y})$

- ▶ Markov network (undirected graph)  $G = (E, V)$



- ▶ Multilabel  $\mathbf{y}$

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (+1, -1, +1, +1)$$

- ▶ Output feature map  $\psi(\mathbf{y})$


$$\psi(\mathbf{y}) = (\underbrace{0, 0, 0}_{\text{---}}, \underbrace{0, 1, 0}_{\text{--+}}, \underbrace{0, 0, 1}_{\text{+-}}, \underbrace{1, ++, 0}_{\text{++}}, \underbrace{0, 0, 0}_{\text{---}}, \underbrace{0, 1, 0}_{\text{--+}}, \underbrace{0, 0, 1}_{\text{+-}}, \underbrace{0, ++, 0}_{\text{++}}, \underbrace{0, 0, 0}_{\text{---}}, \underbrace{0, 0, 0}_{\text{--+}}, \underbrace{0, 0, 1}_{\text{+-}}, \underbrace{1, ++, 0}_{\text{++}})$$

$(v_1, v_3)$                      $(v_1, v_2)$                      $(v_3, v_4)$

# Joint feature map $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

- ▶ The joint feature is the Kronecker product of  $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\psi(\mathbf{y})$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\phi_e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))_{e \in E} = (\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \otimes \psi_e(\mathbf{y}_e))_{e \in E}.$$




- ▶ The score function can be factorized by the output graph  $G$

$$F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle = \sum_{e \in E} \langle \mathbf{w}_e, \phi_e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_e) \rangle.$$

# Optimization problem

- Max-margin learning for  $\mathbf{w}$

$$\gamma(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i) = F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) - \max_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}/\mathbf{y}_i} F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y})$$



- The model is max-margin conditional random field MMCRF [Rousu et al., 2007, Su et al., 2010].
- The primal optimization problem is defined as

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, \xi_k} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{k=1}^m \xi_k \quad (2)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}_k) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}) \rangle \geq \ell(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{y}) - \xi_k, \\ \xi_k \geq 0, \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

- $\ell(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_k)$  scales the margin according to the multilabel  $\mathbf{y}$ .

# Marginal-dual optimization

- ▶ (2) is difficult as the number of the constraints is  $m \times |\mathcal{Y}|$ .
- ▶ The dual optimization problem is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha \geq 0} \quad & \alpha^\top \ell - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^\top K \alpha \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(k, y) \leq C, \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- ▶ (3) is also challenging due to the exponential number of dual variables.
- ▶ We use edge marginals to replace the dual variables [Taskar et al., 2004]

$$\mu(k, e, u_e) = \sum_y \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_e(y)=u_e\}} \alpha(k, y).$$

- ▶ The margin-dual optimization problem is

$$\max_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \mu^\top \ell - \frac{1}{2} \mu^\top K \mu. \tag{4}$$

- ▶ The number of marginal-dual variables is  $m \times 4|E|$ .

# Conditional gradient descent

- ▶ (4) is optimized by conditional gradient decent.
- ▶ In each iteration it optimizes  $\mu_k$  that corresponds to a single example while keeps others ( $\mu_j, j \neq k$ ) fixed

$$\max_{\mu_k \in \mathcal{M}} \quad \mu_k^\top \ell_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \mu_k^\top K \mu_j, \quad \forall k.$$

- ▶ Current gradient of  $\mu_k$  is given by  $g_i = \ell_i - \sum_j K \mu_j$ .
- ▶ Compute the maximal feasible solution  $\mu_k^*$  as an update direction


$$\mu_k^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_k \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_k^\top g_k = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_k \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_e \mu(k, e)^\top g(k, e). \quad (5)$$

- ▶ What is actually going on here?
- ▶ (5) is an instantiation of MAP problem

| Output graph | Inference problem    | Inference algorithm     |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Tree         | Polynomial           | DP [Rousu et al., 2007] |
| Graph        | $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard | LBP [Su et al., 2010]   |

- ▶ Perform the update via exact line search  $\mu_k \leftarrow \mu_k + \tau(\mu_k^* - \mu_k)$ .

# What is happening?



# Exact line search

- ▶ Line search gives the optimal feasible solution as a stationary point ( $\tau$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\tau} \quad & g(\mu_k + \tau \Delta \mu_k) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq \tau \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

- ▶  $\tau = 0$  corresponds to no update.
- ▶ Feasible maximum update is achieved at  $\tau = 1$ .
- ▶ The cost of computing (6) is significantly smaller than the cost of computing (5).

# Duality gap

- We use duality gap to measure the progress of the optimization.
- Primal and marginal-dual objective functions

$$f(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{k=1}^m (\ell_k - \langle \mathbf{w}, \Delta\phi(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}_k) \rangle)$$

$$g(\mu) = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_k \ell_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_k K^{\Delta\phi}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}_k; \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}_j) \mu_j$$

- $\max_{\mu} g(\mu) \leq \min_{\mathbf{w}} f(\mathbf{w})$ , gap is minimized at optimal.
- Duality gap at  $\mu^t$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{w}^t) - g(\mu^t) &= C \left( \ell - K^{\Delta\phi} \mu^t \right) - \mu^t \left( \ell - K^{\Delta\phi} \mu^t \right) \\ &= C^\top \nabla g(\mu^t) - \mu^{t\top} \nabla g(\mu^t) \end{aligned}$$

1. Estimate the marginal-dual objective by linear approximation  $\nabla g(\mu^t)$ .
2. Marginal-dual objective value at  $\mu^t$  is computed by  $\mu^{t\top} \nabla g(\mu^t)$ .
3. Primal objective value is estimate by  $C^\top \nabla g(\mu^t)$ .

# Short summary

- ▶ We have seen so far.


| Output graph | Inference problem    | Inference algorithm     |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Tree         | Polynomial           | DP [Rousu et al., 2007] |
| Graph        | $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard | LBP [Su et al., 2010]   |

- ▶ What if the solution  $y$  corresponds to some special structure?

# Pattern and Exponential reduction

Transporter protein classification [Su et al., 2015]

- ▶ 12456 protein,  $x_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 12456\}$ .
- ▶ 3145 transporter function classes,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{3145})$ .
- ▶ A hierarchical structured  $G$  defined on 3145 classes.




- ▶ **Task:** predict  $y_0$  given  $x_0$ .
- ▶ It seems:  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145}$ , DP on  $G$ .
- ▶ **Pattern:**
  - ▶ a valid annotation is a path from root to leave.
  - ▶ exponential reduction of search space  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145} \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}| \approx 2000$ .

# Pattern and Exponential reduction

Transporter protein classification [Su et al., 2015]

- ▶ 12456 protein,  $x_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 12456\}$ .
- ▶ 3145 transporter function classes,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{3145})$ .
- ▶ A hierarchical structured  $G$  defined on 3145 classes.




- ▶ **Task:** predict  $y_0$  given  $x_0$ .
- ▶ It seems:  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145}$ , DP on  $G$ .
- ▶ **Pattern:**
  - ▶ a valid annotation is a path from root to leave.
  - ▶ exponential reduction of search space  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145} \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}| \approx 2000$ .

# Pattern and Exponential reduction

## Transporter protein classification [Su et al., 2015]

- ▶ 12456 protein,  $x_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 12456\}$ .
- ▶ 3145 transporter function classes,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{3145})$ .
- ▶ A hierarchical structured  $G$  defined on 3145 classes.




- ▶ **Task:** predict  $y_0$  given  $x_0$ .
- ▶ It seems:  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145}$ , DP on  $G$ .
- ▶ **Pattern:**
  - ▶ a valid annotation is a path from root to leave.
  - ▶ exponential reduction of search space  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145} \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}| \approx 2000$ .

# Pattern and Exponential reduction

## Transporter protein classification [Su et al., 2015]

- ▶ 12456 protein,  $x_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 12456\}$ .
- ▶ 3145 transporter function classes,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{3145})$ .
- ▶ A hierarchical structured  $G$  defined on 3145 classes.




- ▶ **Task:** predict  $y_0$  given  $x_0$ .
- ▶ It seems:  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145}$ , DP on  $G$ .
- ▶ **Pattern:**
  - ▶ a valid annotation is a path from root to leave.
  - ▶ exponential reduction of search space  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145} \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}| \approx 2000$ .

# Pattern and Exponential reduction

Transporter protein classification [Su et al., 2015]

- ▶ 12456 protein,  $x_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 12456\}$ .
- ▶ 3145 transporter function classes,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{3145})$ .
- ▶ A hierarchical structured  $G$  defined on 3145 classes.




- ▶ **Task:** predict  $y_0$  given  $x_0$ .
- ▶ It seems:  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145}$ , DP on  $G$ .
- ▶ **Pattern:**
  - ▶ a valid annotation is a path from root to leave.
  - ▶ exponential reduction of search space  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145} \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}| \approx 2000$ .

# Pattern and Exponential reduction

Transporter protein classification [Su et al., 2015]

- ▶ 12456 protein,  $x_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 12456\}$ .
- ▶ 3145 transporter function classes,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{3145})$ .
- ▶ A hierarchical structured  $G$  defined on 3145 classes.




- ▶ **Task:** predict  $y_0$  given  $x_0$ .
- ▶ It seems:  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145}$ , DP on  $G$ .
- ▶ **Pattern:**
  - ▶ a valid annotation is a path from root to leave.
  - ▶ exponential reduction of search space  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145} \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}| \approx 2000$ .

# Pattern and Exponential reduction

Transporter protein classification [Su et al., 2015]

- ▶ 12456 protein,  $x_i, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 12456\}$ .
- ▶ 3145 transporter function classes,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{3145})$ .
- ▶ A hierarchical structured  $G$  defined on 3145 classes.



- ▶ **Task:** predict  $y_0$  given  $x_0$ .
- ▶ It seems:  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145}$ , DP on  $G$ .
- ▶ **Pattern:**
  - ▶ a valid annotation is a path from root to leave.
  - ▶ exponential reduction of search space  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2^{3145} \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}| \approx 2000$ .

# Actual model

- The primal optimization problem is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{w}, \xi_k} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{k=1}^m \xi_k \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}_k) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}) \rangle \geq \ell(\mathbf{y}_k, \mathbf{y}) - \xi_k, \\ & \xi_k \geq 0, \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \end{aligned}$$

- In stead of CGD, we do gradient descent for all examples in each iteration.
- Multi-view data: 30 set of features,  $\{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i)_k\}_{k=1}^{30}$ .
- Multiple kernel learning (MKL): ALIGN, ALIGNF.
- Experiments:

|        | 0/1 (full annotation) |      |      |          |      |      |
|--------|-----------------------|------|------|----------|------|------|
|        | Linear                |      |      | Gaussian |      |      |
|        | SVM                   | MMR  | SOP  | SVM      | MMR  | SOP  |
| UNIF   | 53.3                  | 12.0 | 66.8 | 23.2     | 68.1 | 69.7 |
| ALIGN  | 54.8                  | 12.4 | 63.3 | 16.0     | 71.9 | 74.2 |
| ALIGNF | 60.5                  | 17.5 | 64.3 | 32.9     | 68.4 | 71.2 |

Table : Prediction performance with kernels from MKL.

# Short summary

- ▶ We have seen so far.


| Output graph  | Inference problem                  | Inference algorithm                              |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Tree<br>Graph | Polynomial<br>$\mathcal{NP}$ -hard | DP [Rousu et al., 2007]<br>LBP [Su et al., 2010] |
| →Pattern      | Linear?                            | Reduction [Su et al., 2015]                      |

- ▶ What if the output graph is DAG ?

# Output graph is DAG

Predicting network response [Su et al., 2014]


A Twitter (follower-ship) network consists of five users.



# Output graph is DAG

Predicting network response [Su et al., 2014]


Alice tweets after World Cup final.



# Output graph is DAG

Predicting network response [Su et al., 2014]


Bob saw the tweet and retweets from Alice.



# Output graph is DAG

Predicting network response [Su et al., 2014]


Jacob retweets from Bob.



# Output graph is DAG

Predicting network response [Su et al., 2014]


Ben retweets from Jacob.



# Output graph is DAG


Predicting network response [Su et al., 2014]

David is not a fan.



# Network response problem

- ▶ Definition:
  - ▶ Given: a network  $G = (E, V)$ , and an action  $x$  performed on  $G$ .
  - ▶ Task: predict the subnetwork that responses to the action.
    - ▶ Which nodes  $v \in V$  perform the action?  
 $V_x = \{Alice, Bob, Jacob, Ben\}$
    - ▶ Which directed edges  $e \in E_x$  relay the action from one node to its neighbors?  $E_x = \{a, c, d\}$




- ▶ Applications: Information propagation, idea formation, disease spreads, adoption of new technologies.

# Direct output graph

- ▶ Model is defined on directed network.
  - ▶ Any undirected network can be seen as special case by replacing undirected edges with two directed ones.




- ▶ Notation of edge labels:



- ▶ *Input feature*: Encode  $x$  as  $\varphi(x)$  (e.g. bag-of-word of a tweet).
- ▶ *Output feature*: Encode  $G_y$  as  $\psi(y)$  (e.g. a set of edges and their labels)

$$\psi(y) = \left( \underbrace{1, 0, 0}_{++}, \underbrace{1, 0, 0}_{+-}, \underbrace{0, 1, 0}_{--}, \dots \right)$$

$a$        $b$        $c$



# Structure output prediction model

- ▶ Compatibility score for  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ :  $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle$ 
  - ▶  $\mathbf{w}$  is the feature weight to be learned.
  - ▶  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \otimes \psi(\mathbf{y})$  is joint feature map.
  - ▶ Intuition: given an action  $\mathbf{x}$ , the score of correct response graph  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  should be higher than any incorrect response graph  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}')$

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) > F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}', \mathbf{w}), \quad \forall \mathbf{y}' \in \mathcal{H}(G).$$

- ▶  $\mathbf{w}$  is learned by solving structured output learning problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{w}, \xi} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & F(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i; \mathbf{w}) > \max_{G'_{\mathbf{x}_i} \in \mathcal{H}(G)} (F(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}'_i, ) \\ & + \ell_G(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}'_i)) - \xi_i, \xi_i \geq 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \end{aligned}$$

# Inference problem

- ▶ To solve the optimization, we have to solve similar inference problem appeared both in training and in prediction.
- ▶ In prediction phase:
  - ▶ Given the feature weight  $\mathbf{w}$  and the complex network  $G$ .
  - ▶ To find out a DAG  $H^* = (V_H, E_H)$  that gives the maximal compatibility score for a given action  $\mathbf{x}$

$$H^*(\mathbf{x}) = \underset{H \in \mathcal{H}(G)}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{e \in E_H} s_{y_e}(e, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}). \quad (7)$$


## Lemma

*Finding the graph that maximizes Eq. (7) is an NP-hard problem.*

## Proof.

Reduction from MAX-CUT problem. □

# What is happening?



# Approximate inference via SDP relaxation

- ▶ We formulate the inference problem as *integer quadratic program* (IQP).
  - ▶ Introduce for each node  $u \in V$  a binary variable  $x_u \in \{-1, +1\}$ .
  - ▶ Introduce a special variable  $x_0 \in \{-1, +1\}$  to distinguish activated node.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{(u,v) \in E} [s_{pn}(u,v)(1 + x_0x_u - x_0x_v - x_ux_v) \\ & + s_{nn}(u,v)(1 - x_0x_u - x_0x_v + x_ux_v) \\ & + s_{pp}(u,v)(1 + x_0x_u + x_0x_v + x_ux_v)] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_0, x_u, x_v \in \{-1, +1\}, \text{ for all } u, v \in V, \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ IQP is relaxed into *quadratic program* (QP) and solved by *semidefinite programming relaxation* (SDP).
- ▶ Optimization guarantee  $E[Z] \geq (\alpha - \epsilon)Z_R$  with  $\alpha > 0.796$ ,  $Z$  is objective achieved by SDP,  $Z_R$  is objective of IQP.

# SPIN for context-sensitive prediction

- ▶ We assume action  $\varphi(x)$  is known (e.g. bag-of-word of a tweet).
- ▶ Task is to predict the response network given the action.
- ▶ *Predicted Subgraph Coverage* (PSC) is the relative size of correctly predicted subgraph in terms of node labels.
- ▶ The result is shown in the following table.

| Dataset      | Node Accuracy |             |             | Node $F_1$ Score |       |             | Edge Acc    |             | PSC         |       |             |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------|
|              | SVM           | MMCRF       | SPIN        | SVM              | MMCRF | SPIN        | SVM         | SPIN        | SVM         | MMCRF | SPIN        |
| memeS        | 73.4          | 68.0        | 72.2        | 39.0             | 39.8  | <b>47.1</b> | <b>62.7</b> | 45.6        | 23.4        | 25.3  | <b>33.6</b> |
| memeM        | <b>82.1</b>   | 79.0        | <b>81.5</b> | 29.1             | 30.1  | <b>38.0</b> | 61.1        | <b>68.8</b> | 18.6        | 18.8  | <b>28.3</b> |
| memeL        | <b>89.9</b>   | 88.3        | <b>89.8</b> | 26.7             | 27.1  | <b>35.0</b> | 45.5        | <b>80.0</b> | 17.7        | 18.9  | <b>27.6</b> |
| M700         | 91.9          | <b>94.1</b> | <b>92.1</b> | 13.8             | 7.3   | <b>14.2</b> | 26.3        | <b>93.0</b> | 29.4        | 23.9  | <b>34.4</b> |
| M1k          | 94.1          | <b>95.8</b> | <b>94.2</b> | <b>10.9</b>      | 3.5   | 9.3         | 26.6        | <b>94.7</b> | 33.7        | 16.6  | <b>35.2</b> |
| M2k          | <b>96.8</b>   | <b>97.6</b> | 96.7        | <b>6.2</b>       | 1.4   | 3.4         | 25.3        | <b>97.6</b> | <b>34.6</b> | 9.6   | 14.7        |
| L700         | 89.7          | <b>92.4</b> | <b>89.7</b> | <b>16.2</b>      | 9.4   | <b>17.3</b> | 26.5        | <b>90.4</b> | 9.5         | 6.7   | <b>12.5</b> |
| L1k          | 92.4          | <b>94.4</b> | 91.5        | <b>12.4</b>      | 6.4   | <b>13.9</b> | 26.4        | <b>92.3</b> | 6.1         | 4.4   | <b>8.4</b>  |
| L2k          | 92.5          | <b>94.5</b> | 91.9        | <b>12.3</b>      | 5.4   | <b>12.7</b> | 26.5        | <b>93.2</b> | 6.0         | 2.9   | <b>7.2</b>  |
| <b>Geom.</b> | 85.5          | 86.4        | <b>86.6</b> | 19.8             | 12.6  | <b>20.3</b> | 32.6        | <b>79.7</b> | 18.9        | 14.2  | <b>21.7</b> |

# SPIN for context-free prediction

- ▶ We assume action is unknown during prediction phase.
- ▶ Task is to predict directed edges (network skeleton) from a cascade of actions.
- ▶ The measure of success is *Precision@K*, where we ask for top-*K* percent edge predictions and compute the precision.
- ▶ The result is shown in the following table.

| Dataset      | Model   | T ( $10^3$ s) | Precision @ K |             |             |             |             |             |
|--------------|---------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|              |         |               | 10%           | 20%         | 30%         | 40%         | 50%         | 60%         |
| <b>memeS</b> | SPIN    | 5.50          | <b>82.9</b>   | <b>81.0</b> | <b>76.0</b> | <b>74.0</b> | <b>74.0</b> | <b>70.0</b> |
|              | ICM-EM  | <b>0.01</b>   | 60.3          | 63.5        | 65.1        | 62.0        | 62.0        | 61.5        |
|              | NETRATE | 5.83          | 76.2          | 73.8        | 70.4        | 68.7        | 68.7        | 66.8        |
| <b>memeM</b> | SPIN    | 5.52          | <b>82.7</b>   | <b>72.1</b> | <b>70.5</b> | <b>69.2</b> | <b>69.2</b> | <b>67.9</b> |
|              | ICM-EM  | <b>0.02</b>   | 56.3          | 55.3        | 56.8        | 57.4        | 57.4        | 56.3        |
|              | NETRATE | 13.93         | 61.2          | 64.6        | 62.9        | 62.5        | 62.5        | 62.4        |
| <b>memeL</b> | SPIN    | 4.75          | <b>82.2</b>   | <b>73.6</b> | <b>69.1</b> | <b>66.7</b> | <b>66.7</b> | <b>65.9</b> |
|              | ICM-EM  | <b>0.01</b>   | 52.1          | 55.7        | 54.2        | 56.5        | 56.5        | 56.7        |
|              | NETRATE | 12.63         | 56.5          | 57.8        | 60.0        | 59.3        | 59.3        | 59.4        |

# Short summary

- ▶ We have seen so far.

| Output graph | Inference problem    | Inference algorithm         |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Tree         | Polynomial           | DP [Rousu et al., 2007]     |
| Graph        | $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard | LBP [Su et al., 2010]       |
| Pattern      | Linear?              | Reduction [Su et al., 2015] |
| → DAG        | $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard | SDP [Su et al., 2014]       |

- ▶ What if the output graph is not observed?

# Research question

- ▶ The output graph  $G$  is hidden in many applications.
  - ▶ E.g., possible tags for a surveillance photo: “building”, “road”, “pedestrian”, and “vehicle”.
- ▶ Structured output learning when the output graph is not observed.
- ▶ In particular:
  - ▶ Dependency via a complete set of pairwise correlations.
  - ▶ Structured output learning with a complete graph.
  - ▶ Solve the  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard inference problem via a polynomial time approximation algorithm.
- ▶ In general, a structured prediction model which performs max-margin learning on a random collection of spanning trees sampled from the output graph.

# Complete graph as output graph

- ▶ We assume that the joint feature map  $\phi$  is a potential function on a Markov network (undirected graph)  $G = (E, V)$ .
- ▶  $G$  : complete graph with  $|V| = \ell$  nodes and  $|E| = \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}$  undirected edges.
- ▶  $G$  models all pairwise correlations.
- ▶  $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  is the input feature map, e.g., bag-of-words feature of an example  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- ▶  $\psi(\mathbf{y})$  is the output feature map which is a collection of edges and labels

$$\varphi(\mathbf{y}) = (u_e)_{e \in E}, u_e \in \{-1, +1\}^2.$$

- ▶ The joint feature is the Kronecker product of  $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\psi(\mathbf{y})$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\phi_e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))_{e \in E} = (\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \otimes \psi_e(\mathbf{y}_e))_{e \in E}.$$

- ▶ The score function can be factorized by the complete graph  $G$

$$F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle = \sum_{e \in E} \langle \mathbf{w}_e, \phi_e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_e) \rangle.$$

# Inference in terms of all spanning trees

- ▶ Solving the following inference problem on a complete graph is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard

$$\mathbf{y}_w(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{e \in E} \langle \mathbf{w}_e, \phi_e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_e) \rangle.$$

$$\phi_G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \{\phi_{G,e}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_e)\}_{e \in G}, \mathbf{w}_G = \{\mathbf{w}_{G,e}\}_{e \in G}, \|\phi_G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\| = \|\mathbf{w}_G\| = 1$$

- ▶ For a complete graph, there are  $\ell^{\ell-2}$  unique spanning trees.
- ▶  $\phi_T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \{\phi_e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}_{e \in T}$  is the projection of  $\phi_G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  on  $T \in S(G)$ .
- ▶  $\mathbf{w}_T = \{\mathbf{w}_{G,e}\}_{e \in T}$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{w}_G$  on  $T \in S(G)$ .
- ▶ We can write  $F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  as a conic combination of all spanning trees

$$F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T \langle \mathbf{w}_T, \phi_T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T^2 = 1, \quad \mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T < 1.$$

- ▶  $U(G)$  is the uniform distribution over  $\ell^{\ell-2}$  spanning trees.
- ▶ The number of spanning trees is exponentially dependent on the number of nodes  $\ell$ .

# A sample of $n$ spanning trees

- ▶ Instead of using all spanning trees, we can just use  $n$  spanning trees

$$F_T(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{T_i} \langle \mathbf{w}_{T_i}, \phi_{T_i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{T_i}^2 = 1, \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{T_i} < 1.$$

- ▶ When

$$n \geq \frac{\ell^2}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{8\sqrt{n}}{\delta} \right),$$

we have  $|F_T(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq \epsilon$ , with high probability.

- ▶ A sample of  $n \in \Theta(\ell^2/\epsilon^2)$  random spanning tree is sufficient to estimate the score function.
- ▶ Margin achieved by  $F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is also preserved by the sample of  $n$  random spanning trees  $F_T(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  [Marchand et al., 2014].

# Random spanning tree approximation RTA

- The optimization problem of RTA is defined as [Marchand et al., 2014]

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}_{T_i}, \xi_i} \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{w}_{T_i}\|^2 + C \sum_{k=1}^m \xi_k$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{w}_{T_i}, \phi_{T_t}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}_k) \rangle - \max_{\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{y}_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{w}_{T_i}, \phi_{T_i}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}) \rangle \geq 1 - \xi_k,$$

$$\xi_k \geq 0, \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

- The marginal-dual form is given by

$$\max_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mu_{T_i} \ell_{T_i} - \frac{1}{2} \mu_{T_i} K_{T_i}^{\Delta \phi} \mu_{T_i} \right)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{u_e} \mu_{T_i, e}(u_e) \leq C.$$

- Inside the summation, there is a structure output model with parameter  $\mu_{T_i}$  defined on a spanning tree  $T_i$ .
- The problem is how to jointly optimize structured output models defined on  $n$  spanning trees.

# Inference problem for a collection of trees

- ▶ The inference problem of RTA is defined as finding the multilabel  $\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})$  that maximizes the sum of scores over a collection of trees

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{T}}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{t=1}^n \langle \mathbf{w}_{T_t}, \phi_{T_t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle.$$

- ▶ The inference problem on each individual spanning tree can be solved efficiently in  $\Theta(\ell)$  by *dynamic programming*

$$\mathbf{y}_{T_t}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_{T_t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w}_{T_t}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle \mathbf{w}_{T_t}, \phi_{T_t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle.$$

- ▶ There is no guarantee that there exists a tree  $T_t \in \mathcal{T}$  in which the maximizer of  $F_{T_t}$  is the maximizer of  $F_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

# Fast inference for a collection of trees

- ▶ For each tree  $T_t$ , instead of computing the best multilabel  $\mathbf{y}_{T_t}$ , we compute  $K$ -best multilabels in  $\Theta(K\ell)$  time

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T_t, K} = \{\mathbf{y}_{T_t, 1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{T_t, K}\}.$$


- ▶ Performing the same computation on all trees gives a candidate list of  $n \times K$  multilabels ( $K$  best list) in  $\Theta(nK\ell)$  time

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T, K} = \mathcal{Y}_{T_1, K} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{Y}_{T_n, K}.$$

- ▶ We prove that with high probability the global best multilabel will exist in  $K$  best list.
- ▶ We have developed a condition to verify the global best multilabel from  $K$  best list in linear time  $\Theta(nK)$ .

# RTA inference algorithm

- ▶ 10 datasets,  $|\mathcal{T}| = \{5, 10, 40\}$ ,  $K = \{2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 40, 60\}$ .
- ▶ Y-axis is the percentage of examples with exact inference.
- ▶ X-axis is the value of  $K$  as the percentage of the number of microlabels.
- ▶  $K = 100\%|Y|$  corresponds to a complexity of  $\Theta(nl^2)$ .



# RTA on multilabel benchmark datasets

- ▶ Prediction performance on multilabel benchmark datasets.
- ▶ Measurement of success is microlabel accuracy and multilabel accuracy.
- ▶ The result is shown in the following table.

| DATASET     | MICROLABEL LOSS (%) |      |             |             |             | 0/1 LOSS (%) |             |             |             |             |
|-------------|---------------------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|             | SVM                 | MTL  | MMCRF       | MAM         | RTA         | SVM          | MTL         | MMCRF       | MAM         | RTA         |
| EMOTIONS    | 22.4                | 20.2 | 20.1        | 19.5        | <b>18.8</b> | 77.8         | 74.5        | 71.3        | 69.6        | <b>66.3</b> |
| YEAST       | 20.0                | 20.7 | 21.7        | 20.1        | <b>19.8</b> | 85.9         | 88.7        | 93.0        | 86.0        | <b>77.7</b> |
| SCENE       | 9.8                 | 11.6 | 18.4        | 17.0        | <b>8.8</b>  | 47.2         | 55.2        | 72.2        | 94.6        | <b>30.2</b> |
| ENRON       | 6.4                 | 6.5  | 6.2         | <b>5.0</b>  | 5.3         | 99.6         | 99.6        | 92.7        | 87.9        | <b>87.7</b> |
| CAL500      | <b>13.7</b>         | 13.8 | <b>13.7</b> | <b>13.7</b> | 13.8        | 100.0        | 100.0       | 100.0       | 100.0       | 100.0       |
| FINGERPRINT | <b>10.3</b>         | 17.3 | 10.5        | 10.5        | 10.7        | 99.0         | 100.0       | 99.6        | 99.6        | <b>96.7</b> |
| NCI60       | 15.3                | 16.0 | 14.6        | <b>14.3</b> | 14.9        | 56.9         | <b>53.0</b> | 63.1        | 60.0        | <b>52.9</b> |
| MEDICAL     | 2.6                 | 2.6  | <b>2.1</b>  | <b>2.1</b>  | <b>2.1</b>  | 91.8         | 91.8        | 63.8        | <b>63.1</b> | <b>58.8</b> |
| CIRCLE10    | 4.7                 | 6.3  | 2.6         | 2.5         | <b>0.6</b>  | 28.9         | 33.2        | 20.3        | 17.7        | <b>4.0</b>  |
| CIRCLE50    | 5.7                 | 6.2  | <b>1.5</b>  | 2.1         | 3.8         | 69.8         | 72.3        | <b>38.8</b> | 46.2        | 52.8        |

# Short summary

- ▶ We have seen so far.

| Output graph | Inference problem    | Inference algorithm   |
|--------------|----------------------|---|
| Tree         | Polynomial           | DP [Rousu et al., 2007]   |
| Graph        | $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard | LBP [Su et al., 2010]   |
| Pattern      | Linear?              | Reduction [Su et al., 2015]                                     |
| DAG          | $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard | SDP [Su et al., 2014]   |
| → unknown    | $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard | MVE AMM MAM [Su and Rousu, 2015]<br>RTA [Marchand et al., 2014] |


# Conclusions

- ▶ Structured output learning is family of methods for multilabel classification.
- ▶ The output graph is often assumed to be known *a priori*.
  - ▶ MMCRF assumes tree or general undirected graph as output graph.
  - ▶ SPIN assumes DAG as output graph.
  - ▶ Possible for exponential reduction of the search space.
- ▶ In addition, we focus on the problems where the output graph is unobserved.
  - ▶ MVE AMM MAM aggregates the inference results from base models.
  - ▶ RTA is a unified learning and inference framework.
    - ▶ Model all pairwise correlations with a complete graph.
    - ▶ Under margin assumption, the properties of a complete graph can be achieved by a collection of its spanning trees.
- ▶ All developed models are tested with real-world applications or benchmark datasets.
- ▶ Codes are available from <http://hongyusu.github.io>.

# Ongoing work

Optimization for RTA with Juho Rousu


- ▶ From K-best inference algorithm
- ▶ To a Newton method: a conic combination of multiple update directions



# Ongoing work

## Optimization for RTA with Juho Rousu

- ▶ From K-best inference algorithm
- ▶ To a Newton method: a conic combination of multiple update directions



# Ongoing work

$L_1$  norm RTA with John Shawe-Taylor, Mario Marchand

- ▶ From conic combination of a collection of random spanning trees

$$F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T \langle \mathbf{w}_T, \phi_T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle \quad \mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T^2 = 1, \quad \mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T < 1.$$

- ▶ To convex combination of a collection of random spanning trees

$$F(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T \langle \mathbf{w}_T, \phi_T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle \quad \mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T = 1, \quad \mathbf{E}_{T \in U(G)} a_T < 1.$$

- ▶ Optimization problem (tree selection!)

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{w}_{T_i}, \xi_i} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{w}_{T_i}\| \right)^2 + C \sum_{k=1}^m \xi_k \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{w}_{T_i}, \phi_{T_t}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}_k) \rangle - \max_{\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{y}_k} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{w}_{T_i}, \phi_{T_i}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}) \rangle \geq 1 - \xi_k, \\ & \xi_k \geq 0, \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \end{aligned}$$

# Bibliography

-  Argyriou, A., Evgeniou, T., and Pontil, M. (2008).  
Convex multi-task feature learning.  
*Machine Learning*, 73(3):243–272.
-  Bian, W., Xie, B., and Tao, D. (2012).  
Corrlog: Correlated logistic models for joint prediction of multiple labels.  
*Journal of Machine Learning Research - Proceedings Track*, pages 109–117.
-  Cheng, W. and Hüllermeier, E. (2009).  
Combining instance-based learning and logistic regression for multilabel classification.  
*Machine Learning*, 76(2-3):211–225.

# Bibliography (cont.)



Cousins, S., Shawe-Taylor, J., Marchand, M., Rousu, J., and Su, H. (2015a).

Large margin learning for prediction over unknown graph structures - a multiple kernel learning approach.

*Large-Scale Kernel Learning Workshop of ICML 2015.*



Cousins, S., Shawe-Taylor, J., Marchand, M., Rousu, J., and Su, H. (2015b).

Multiple kernel learning for prediction on unknown graph structures.

*Optimization for machine learning Workshop of NIPS 2015.*



Esuli, A., Fagni, T., and Sebastiani, F. (2008).

Boosting multi-label hierarchical text categorization.

*Information Retrieval*, 11(4):287–313.

# Bibliography (cont.)



Lafferty, J. D., McCallum, A., and Pereira, F. C. N. (2001).

Conditional random fields: Probabilistic models for segmenting and labeling sequence data.

In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML 2001)*, pages 282–289. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.



Marchand, M., Su, H., Morvant, E., Rousu, J., and Shawe-Taylor, J. (2014).

Multilabel structured output learning with random spanning trees of max-margin markov networks.

In *Advances in Neural Information Processing System NIPS2014*, page to appear.



Read, J., Pfahringer, B., Holmes, G., and Frank, E. (2011).

Classifier chains for multi-label classification.

*Machine Learning*, 85(3):333–359.

## Bibliography (cont.)

-  Rousu, J., Saunders, C., Szedmak, S., and Shawe-Taylor, J. (2007). Efficient algorithms for max-margin structured classification. *Predicting Structured Data*, pages 105–129.
-  Schapire, R. and Singer, Y. (1999). Improved boosting algorithms using confidence-rated predictions. *Machine Learning*, 37(3):297–336.
-  Su, H. (2015). *Multilabel Classification through Structured Output Learning - Methods and Applications*. PhD thesis, Department of Information and Computer Science, Aalto University.

# Bibliography (cont.)



Su, H., Gionis, A., and Rousu, J. (2014).

Structured prediction of network response.

In *Proceedings, 31th International Conference on Machine Learning ICML2014*, volume 32 of *Journal of Machine Learning Research WCP*, pages 442–450.



Su, H., Heinonen, M., and Rousu, J. (2010).

Structured output prediction of anti-cancer drug activity.

In *Proceedings, 5th IAPR International Conference on Pattern Recognition in Bioinformatics (PRIB2010)*, volume 6282 of *Lecture Note in Computer Science*, pages 38–49.



Su, H. and Rousu, J. (2011).

Multi-task drug bioactivity classification with graph labeling ensembles.

In *Proceedings, 6th IAPR International Conference on Pattern Recognition in Bioinformatics (PRIB2011)*, volume 7035 of *Lecture Note in Computer Science*, pages 157–167.

# Bibliography (cont.)

-  Su, H. and Rousu, J. (2013).  
 Multilabel classification through random graph ensembles.  
 In *Proceedings, 5th Asian Conference on Machine Learning (ACML2013)*, volume 29 of *Journal of Machine Learning Research WCP*, pages 404–418.
-  Su, H. and Rousu, J. (2015).  
 Multilabel classification through random graph ensembles.  
 *Machine Learning*, 99(2):231–256.
-  Su, H., Valentini, G., Szedmark, S., and Rousu, J. (2015).  
 Transporter protein classification through structured prediction and multiple kernel learning.  
 *Machine learning in computational biology and machine learning in system biology workshop of NIPS 2015*.

# Bibliography (cont.)



Taskar, B., Abbeel, P., and Koller, D. (2002).

Discriminative probabilistic models for relational data.

In *Proceedings of the Eighteenth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI 2002)*, pages 485–492, San Francisco, CA, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.



Taskar, B., Guestrin, C., and Koller, D. (2004).

Max-margin markov networks.

In Thrun, S., Saul, L., and Schölkopf, B., editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 16*, pages 25–32. MIT Press.



Tsochantaridis, I., Hofmann, T., Joachims, T., and Altun, Y. (2004).

Support vector machine learning for interdependent and structured output spaces.

In *Proceedings of the 21th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML 2004)*, pages 823–830. ACM.

# Bibliography (cont.)

-  Tsochantaridis, I., Joachims, T., Hofmann, T., and Altun, Y. (2005).  
 Large margin methods for structured and interdependent output variables.  
*Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6:1453–1484.
-  Tsoumakas, G., Katakis, I., and Vlahavas, I. (2010).  
 Mining multi-label data.  
 In Maimon, O. and Rokach, L., editors, *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery Handbook*, pages 667–685. Springer US.
-  Zhang, M. and Zhou, Z. (2007).  
 MI-knn: A lazy learning approach to multi-label learning.  
*Pattern Recognition*, 40:2007.