

Free Lunch in the LETF Market

Wolfgang Karl Härdle

Zhiwu Hong

Sergey Nasekin

Ladislav von Bortkiewicz Chair of Statistics
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

<http://lvb.wiwi.hu-berlin.de>

<http://irtg1792.hu-berlin.de>

<http://wise.xmu.edu.cn/english>



Go with market




Figure 1: Weekly returns of ETF (SPY) and stock market (S&P 500) (20150101-20151112)

Free Lunch in the LETF Market



Leverage up/down




Figure 2: Weekly returns of LETFs (SSO, UPRO, SDS, SPXU) and stock market (S&P 500) (20150101-20151112)

Free Lunch in the LETF Market



ETFs & LETFs

- Exchange-traded funds (**ETFs**): tracking returns on financial quantities and yielding the identical daily return,
e.g., SPDR S&P 500 ETF (SPY) tracks the S&P 500.
- Leveraged exchange-traded funds (**LETFs**): promising a fixed leverage ratio β w.r.t. a given underlying asset or index,
e.g.,

LETF	β
ProShares Ultra S&P500 (SSO)	2
ProShares UltraPro S&P 500 (UPRO)	3
ProShares UltraShort S&P500 (SDS)	-2
ProShares UltraPro Short S&P 500 (SPXU)	-3

Table 1: LETFs with different β ► Illustration



Implied volatility paradoxon




Figure 3: Implied volatility of (L)ETF options (SPY, SSO, UPRO, SDS, SPXU) with 21 days to maturity



Outline

1. Motivation ✓
2. Trading Strategy
3. Empirical Result
4. Conclusion

Price relationship of (L)ETFs

- For SPY ($\beta = 1$) and SSO ($\beta = 2$),

$$\log(L_{2T}) - \log(L_{20}) = 2 \{ \log(L_{1T}) - \log(L_{10}) \}, \quad (1)$$

where $t = 0$ is the date for designing the strategy and $t = T$ is the delivery date for the corresponding LETF options.

- Let $\alpha = \frac{\log(L_{20})}{\log(L_{10})}$, Equation (1) can be written as

$$L_{2T} = L_{10}^{\alpha-2} L_{1T}^2. \quad (2)$$



The strategy I

1. Long 1 share SPY at $t = 0$ until $t = T$.
2. Short 1 share call option on SPY with strike K_1 at $t = 0$ until the maturity T .
3. Short $L_{10}^{2-\alpha} K_1^{-1}$ shares SSO at $t = 0$ until $t = T$.
4. Long $L_{10}^{2-\alpha} K_1^{-1}$ shares call option on SSO with strike $K_2 = L_{10}^{\alpha-2} K_1^2$ at $t = 0$ until the maturity T .

The initial profit (cost if negative) of this strategy is

$$Pr_0 = -L_{10} + C_{10} + L_{10}^{2-\alpha} K_1^{-1} - L_{10}^{2-\alpha} K_1^{-1} C_{20}. \quad (3)$$



The portfolio value at time T

Position	Value at time T	
	$L_{1T} \leq K_1$	$L_{1T} > K_1$
1.	L_{1T}	L_{1T}
2.	0	$K_1 - L_{1T}$
3.	$-L_{1T}^2 K_1^{-1}$	$-L_{1T}^2 K_1^{-1}$
4.	0	$L_{1T}^2 K_1^{-1} - K_1$
Sum	$L_{1T} \left(1 - \frac{L_{1T}}{K_1}\right) \geq 0$	0

Table 2: Portfolio value at time T of trading strategy I



The strategy II

1. Short 1 share SPY at $t = 0$ until $t = T$.
2. Short 1 share put option on SPY with strike K_1 at $t = 0$ until the maturity T .
3. Long $L_{10}^{2-\alpha} K_1^{-1}$ shares SSO at $t = 0$ until $t = T$.
4. Long $L_{10}^{2-\alpha} K_1^{-1}$ shares put option on SSO with strike $K_2 = L_{10}^{\alpha-2} K_1^2$ at $t = 0$ until the maturity T .

The initial profit (cost if negative) of this strategy is

$$Pr_0 = L_{10} + P_{10} - L_{10}^2 K_1^{-1} - L_{10}^{2-\alpha} K_1^{-1} P_{20}. \quad (4)$$



The portfolio value at time T

Position	Value at time T	
	$L_{1T} < K_1$	$L_{1T} \geq K_1$
1.	$-L_{1T}$	$-L_{1T}$
2.	$L_{1T} - K_1$	0
3.	$L_{1T}^2 K_1^{-1}$	$L_{1T}^2 K_1^{-1}$
4.	$K_1 - L_{1T}^2 K_1^{-1}$	0
Sum	0	$L_{1T} \left(\frac{L_{1T}}{K_1} - 1 \right) \geq 0$

Table 3: Portfolio value at time T of trading strategy II



Data

- Objects: prices of SPY, SSO and the corresponding call and put options with various strikes and the maturity date being Jan. 15, 2016
- Sample period: Jan. 1, 2015-Nov. 12, 2015
- Data source: Datastream



Arbitrage opportunities

Figure 4: Arbitrage opportunities of strategy I (20150101-20151112)

Free Lunch in the LETF Market



Arbitrage opportunities

Figure 5: Arbitrage opportunities of strategy II (20150101-20151112)

Free Lunch in the LETF Market



Conclusion

- Implied volatility paradoxon of LETFs implies there exist arbitrage opportunities in the LETF market;
- We design some trading strategies by longing and shorting LETFs and LETF options to capture these opportunities;
- It shows our strategies can indeed bring free lunch in the LETF market.



Free Lunch in the LETF Market

Wolfgang Karl Härdle

Zhiwu Hong


Sergey Nasekin

Ladislaus von Bortkiewicz Chair of Statistics
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin




<http://lvb.wiwi.hu-berlin.de>

<http://irtg1792.hu-berlin.de>

<http://wise.xmu.edu.cn/english>



References

-  Leung, T., & Sircar, R. (2015). Implied volatility of leveraged ETF options. *Applied Mathematical Finance*, 22(2).
-  Fengler, M. R. (2006). *Semiparametric modeling of implied volatility*. Springer.
-  Franke, J., Härdle, W., & Hafner, C. (2015). *Statistics of Financial Markets: An Introduction*. Springer.



S&P 500 and (L)ETFs Return




Figure 6: Weekly return relationship of S&P 500 and (L)ETFs (SPY, SSO, UPRO, SDS, SPXU) (20150101-20151112) [▶ Back](#)

