

$$\sigma = (\exists x. \forall y. R(x, y)) \Rightarrow \forall y. \exists x. R(x, y)$$

QVQ:  $\sigma$  es válida. Para esto, refutemos  $\neg\sigma$  con el método de resolución.

$$\begin{aligned} \neg\sigma &= \neg((\exists x. \forall y. R(x, y)) \Rightarrow \forall y. \exists x. R(x, y)) \\ &= \neg(\neg(\exists x. \forall y. R(x, y)) \vee \forall y. \exists x. R(x, y)) \\ &= \neg(\neg(\exists x. \forall y. R(x, y)) \wedge \neg(\forall y. \exists x. R(x, y))) \\ &= (\exists x. \forall y. R(x, y)) \wedge \exists y. \neg\exists x. R(x, y) \\ &= (\exists x. \forall y. R(x, y)) \wedge \exists y. \forall x. \neg R(x, y) \\ &= (\exists x. \forall y. R(x, y)) \wedge \exists z. \forall w. \neg R(w, z) \\ &= \exists x. (\forall y. R(x, y) \wedge \exists z. \forall w. \neg R(w, z)) \\ &= \exists x. \forall y. (R(x, y) \wedge \exists z. \forall w. \neg R(w, z)) \\ &= \exists x. \forall y. \exists z. (R(x, y) \wedge \forall w. \neg R(w, z)) \\ &= \exists x. \forall y. \exists z. \forall w. (R(x, y) \wedge \neg R(w, z)) \\ &= \forall y. \exists z. \forall w. (R(c, y) \wedge \neg R(w, z)) \\ &= \forall y. \forall w. (R(c, y) \wedge \neg R(w, f(y))) \\ &= \forall y. \forall w. R(c, y) \wedge \forall y. \forall w. \neg R(w, f(y)) \\ &= \underbrace{\{R(c, y)\}}_1, \underbrace{\{\neg R(w, f(y))\}}_2 = C \end{aligned}$$

Por 1 y 2:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{mgu} \{R(c, y_1) \doteq R(w, f(y_2))\} \\ &= \text{mgu} \{c \doteq w, y_1 \doteq f(y_2)\} \quad \text{decompose} \\ &= \text{mgu} \{y_1 \doteq f(y_2)\} \quad \text{elim } \{c \doteq w\} \\ &= \text{mgu} \{\} \quad \text{elim } \{y_1 \doteq f(y_2)\} \\ S &= \{y_1 \doteq f(y_2), c \doteq w\} \\ \Sigma &= S(\{\} ) = \{\} \end{aligned}$$

$$C \vdash \perp \Rightarrow \neg\sigma \vdash \perp \Rightarrow \vdash \sigma \quad \therefore \sigma \text{ es válida}$$