# Lambda Calculus + Binary Sessions (à la FuSe)

#### Hernán Melgratti

ICC University of Buenos Aires-Conicet

# Formal FuSe

Values	v, w ::=	$v$ , $w ::= \lambda x \cdot e \mid c \mid c^1 v \mid c^2 v \mid w \mid a^p$		
C <sup>n</sup>	n max	Sugared	Description	
()	0		unit	
pair	2	(v, w)	pair creation	
fst, snd	0		pair projection	
inl, inr	1		left/right injection	
cases	2		sum deconstructor	
fix	0		fixpoint combinator	
fork	1		forking a process	
create	0		session creation	
close	0		terminate session	
receive	0		input	
send	1		output	
branch	0		offer choice	
left	0		choose left	
right	0		choose right	

# Formal FuSe

```
Syntax
  Expression e := x
                                                                            variable
                       \lambda x.e
                                                                         abstraction
                       e_1 e_2
                                                                         application
                                                                                 let
                       let x = e_1 in e_2
                                                                           constant
                                                                           endpoint
  Process P, Q ::= \langle e \rangle
                                                                             thread
                       P \parallel Q
                                                                        composition
                       (\nu a)P
                                                                             session
                       error
                                                                      run-time error
  Constant
                c ::= () | pair | fst | snd | inl | inr | cases | fix
                   | fork | create | send | receive | branch | left | right
  Polarity
               p ::= + | - | *
```

# Formal FuSe

<sup>\*</sup> denotes invalid endpoint that should not be used. Moreover,  $\overline{\star} = \star$ .

# Formal FuSe

## **Evaluation Contexts**

► Contextual rules are handled by defining Evaluation Contexts

$$\mathscr{E} ::= [] | \mathscr{E} e | v \mathscr{E} | let x = \mathscr{E} in e$$

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\mathscr{E}[e]$  stands for the result of replacing the hole [] in  $\mathscr{E}$  with e

# **Semantics**

#### Reduction of processes(2)

$$\mathscr{E}_{ca} = \mathscr{E}\{a^*/a^+, a^*/a^-\}$$

# **Semantics**

## Reduction of processes(1)

$$P \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} Q$$

where

Labels 
$$\ell := \tau$$
 internal action  
| ca session a has been closed  
| map message exchange from  $a^p$  to  $a^{\overline{p}}$ 

Enpoints associated with a label ep( )

$$ep(\tau) = \{\}$$
  
 $ep(ca) = \{a^+, a^-\}$   
 $ep(map) = \{a^+, a^-\}$ 

# Semantics

#### Reduction of processes(3)

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\mathsf{r}-\mathsf{comm}] & \langle \mathscr{E}[\mathsf{send}\ a^p\ v] \rangle \parallel \langle \mathscr{E}'[\mathsf{receive}\ a^{\overline{p}}] \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{map}} \langle \mathscr{E}_{\mathsf{map}}[a^p] \rangle \parallel \langle \mathscr{E}'_{\mathsf{map}}[\mathsf{pair}\ v_{\mathsf{map}}\ a^{\overline{p}}] \rangle \\ \\ [\mathsf{r}-\mathsf{left}] & \langle \mathscr{E}[\mathsf{left}\ a^p] \rangle \parallel \langle \mathscr{E}'[\mathsf{branch}\ a^{\overline{p}}] \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{map}} \langle \mathscr{E}_{\mathsf{map}}[a^p] \rangle \parallel \langle \mathscr{E}'_{\mathsf{map}}[\mathsf{inl}\ a^{\overline{p}}] \rangle \\ \\ [\mathsf{r}-\mathsf{rigth}] & \langle \mathscr{E}[\mathsf{right}\ a^p] \rangle \parallel \langle \mathscr{E}'[\mathsf{branch}\ a^{\overline{p}}] \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathsf{map}} \langle \mathscr{E}_{\mathsf{map}}[a^p] \rangle \parallel \langle \mathscr{E}'_{\mathsf{map}}[\mathsf{inr}\ a^{\overline{p}}] \rangle \\ \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{map} = \mathcal{E}\{a^*/a^+, a^*/a^-\}\$$
  
 $v_{map} = v\{a^*/a^+, a^*/a^-\}$ 

## Semantics

## Reduction of processes(4)

$$\ell \backslash a = \begin{cases} \tau & \text{if } \ell = \text{map or } \ell = \text{ca} \\ \ell & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

≡ is an equivalence relation such that:

- ightharpoonup | is associative and commutative, with  $\langle () \rangle$  as the identity
- $\triangleright$   $(\nu a)\langle ()\rangle = \langle ()\rangle$
- $\triangleright (\nu a)P \parallel Q = (\nu a)(P \parallel Q) \text{ if } a \notin \text{fn}(Q)$

# **Typing**

#### Types

Equations are interpreted *coinductively*: Infinite terms instead of concrete syntax for infinite types

#### Instantiation of schemes

$$t \succ t \qquad \qquad \frac{\sigma \succ t}{\forall \alpha. \sigma \succ t \{s/\alpha\}}$$

#### Semantics

#### Reduction of processes(5)

$$[r - error] \langle \mathscr{E}[K \ a^*] \rangle \xrightarrow{\tau} error$$

K ::= close | send v | receive | left | right | branch

# **Typing**

### Type schemes of constants

```
(): unit
        pair : \forall \alpha, \beta. \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha \times \beta
           fst : \forall \alpha, \beta. \alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \alpha
           snd: \forall \alpha, \beta. \alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \beta
           \mathsf{inl}: \forall \alpha, \beta. \alpha \to \alpha + \beta
            inr: \forall \alpha, \beta.\beta \rightarrow \alpha + \beta
      cases: \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma.(\alpha + \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow \gamma
           fix: \forall \alpha, \beta.((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta
        fork : \forall \alpha.((\alpha \rightarrow ()) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \mathsf{unit})
   create : \forall A.() \rightarrow A \times \overline{A}
     close : end \rightarrow unit
        send: \forall \alpha, A.\alpha \rightarrow !\alpha.A \rightarrow A
receive : \forall \alpha, A. ? \alpha \cdot A \rightarrow \alpha \times A
        left: \forall A. B. A \oplus B \rightarrow A
     right: \forall A, B.A \oplus B \rightarrow B
  branch: \forall A, B.A \& B \rightarrow A + B
```

# Typing

#### Typing Typing rules for expressions $\Gamma \vdash e : t$ [t-const] [t-name] $\mathsf{typeof}(\mathbf{c}) \succ t$ $\sigma \succ t$ Γ ⊢ **c** : t $\Gamma$ , $u : \sigma \vdash u : t$ $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : t \to^{\iota} s \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : t$ $\Gamma, x : t \vdash e : s$ $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x \cdot e : t \rightarrow^{\iota} s$ $\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : s$ [t-let] $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : t_1 \qquad \Gamma, x : \mathsf{Close}(t_1, \Gamma) \vdash e_2 : t$ $\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathsf{in} \ e_2 : t$

# Close $(t, \Gamma) = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ where $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = fv(t) \setminus fv(\Gamma)$

# **Properties**

## Endpoint affine/linear process

Let  $\mathscr{A}$ ,  $\mathscr{L}$  be the largest predicates such that:

- ▶ if either  $\mathscr{A}(P)$  or  $\mathscr{L}(P)$  and  $P \equiv (\nu a_1 \dots a_n)(\mathscr{E}[K \ a^p] \parallel Q)$ , then  $p \in \{+, -\}$ ;
- ▶ if  $\mathcal{L}(P)$  and  $P \equiv (\nu a)Q$  and  $a^p \in \text{fv}(Q)$  and  $p \in \{+, -\}$ , then  $a^{\overline{p}} \in \text{fv}(Q)$
- ▶ if  $\mathcal{L}(P)$  and  $P \xrightarrow{\ell} Q$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ ;
- ▶ if  $\mathscr{A}(P)$  and  $P \xrightarrow{\ell} Q$ , then  $\mathscr{A}(Q)$ ;

# **Typing**

# Typing rules for processes $\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \Gamma \vdash P \\ \hline \\ \hline \begin{matrix} [t\text{-thread}] \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{unit} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \langle e \rangle \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} [t\text{-par}] \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash P_1 \end{matrix} & \Gamma \vdash P_2 \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash P_1 \parallel P_2 \end{matrix} \\ \hline \\ \hline \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} [t\text{-session}] \\ \hline \Gamma, a^* : T, a^- : \overline{T}, a^* : \forall A.A \vdash P \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash (\nu a)P \end{matrix} \\ \hline \end{array}$

# **Properties**

#### Balanced type environment

 $\Gamma$  is balanced if:

- ▶ for every  $a^p \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$  with  $p \in \{+, -\}$  we have  $a^{\overline{p}}, a^* \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$  and  $\Gamma(a^p) \perp \Gamma(a^{\overline{p}})$
- ▶ for every  $a^* \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$  we have  $\Gamma(a^p) = \forall A.A$

# Properties

# Subject reduction

▶ If  $\Gamma \vdash P$  with  $\Gamma$  balanced and  $\mathscr{A}(P)$  and  $P \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} Q$ , then there exists  $\Gamma'$  such that  $\Gamma \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma' \vdash Q$ .

## Semantics of types

# Properties

# Type safety

Let  $\Gamma \vdash P$  and  $\mathscr{A}$ . Then,

- ▶ If  $P \equiv (\nu a_1 \dots a_n)(\mathscr{E}[\mathsf{send}\ v\ w] \parallel Q)$ , then there exists  $\Gamma'$  such that  $\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash v : t$  and  $\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash w : !t \cdot T$ .
- ▶ If  $P \equiv (\nu a_1 \dots a_n)(\mathscr{E}[\mathsf{left} \ v \ ] \parallel Q)$  or  $P \equiv (\nu a_1 \dots a_n)(\mathscr{E}[\mathsf{right} \ v \ ] \parallel Q)$ , then there exists  $\Gamma'$  such that  $\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \nu : S \oplus T$