

## Principio de sustitutividad

### Subtyping for Binary Sessions<sup>1</sup>

$$\sigma \leq \tau$$

- Lectura: “En todo contexto donde se espera una expresión de tipo  $\tau$ , puede utilizarse una de tipo  $\sigma$  en su lugar sin que ello genere un error”
- Esto se refleja con una nueva regla de tipado llamada Subsumption:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \quad \sigma \leq \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau} [\text{T-Subs}]$$

<sup>1</sup>Simon J. Gay, Malcolm Hole: Subtyping for session types in the pi calculus. *Acta Inf.* (2005)»

### Subtipado de tipos función

$$\frac{\sigma' \leq \sigma \quad \tau \leq \tau'}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \leq \sigma' \rightarrow \tau'} [\text{S-Func}]$$

- Observar que el sentido de  $\leq$  se da “vuelta” para el tipo del argumento de la función pero **no** para el tipo del resultado
- Se dice que el constructor de tipos función es contravariante en su primer argumento y covariante en el segundo.

### Subtipado de tipos función

$$\frac{\sigma' \leq \sigma \quad \tau \leq \tau'}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \leq \sigma' \rightarrow \tau'} [\text{S-Func}]$$

Si un contexto/programa  $P$  espera una expresión  $f$  de tipo  $\sigma' \rightarrow \tau'$  puede recibir otra de tipo  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  si dan las condiciones indicadas

- Toda aplicación de  $f$  se hace sobre un argumento de tipo  $\sigma'$
- El argumento se coercion a al tipo  $\sigma$
- Luego se aplica la función, cuyo tipo real  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$
- Finalmente se coercion el resultado a  $\tau'$ , el tipo del resultado que espera  $P$

## Agregando subsumption

$$\frac{x : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} [\text{T-Var}] \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \tau} [\text{T-App}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \sigma. M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} [\text{T-Abs}] \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \quad \sigma \leq \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau} [\text{T-Subs}]$$

- **Con** subsumption ya no son dirigidas por sintaxis.
- No es evidente cómo implementar un algoritmo de chequeo de tipos a partir de las reglas.

## “Cableando” subsumption dentro de las demás reglas

- Un análisis rápido determina que el único lugar donde se precisa subtipar es al aplicar una función a un argumento
- Esto sugiere la siguiente formulación donde

$$\frac{x : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} [\text{T-Var}] \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \rho \quad \rho \leq \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \tau} [\text{T-App}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \sigma. M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} [\text{T-Abs}]$$

◀ ◻ ▶ ◀ ☞ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ≡ 🔍 ↺

◀ ◻ ▶ ◀ ☞ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ≡ 🔍 ↺

## Typing with subtyping

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash P_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash P_2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \vdash P_1 | P_2} [\text{T-Par}] \quad \frac{\Gamma, x^+ : S, x^- : \bar{S} \vdash P}{\Gamma \vdash (\nu x : S) P} [\text{T-Res}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : t \quad \Gamma_2, x^p : S \vdash P \quad t \leq s}{\Gamma_1 + (\Gamma_2, x^p : !s.S) \vdash x^p !v.P} [\text{T-Out}] \quad \frac{\Gamma, x^p : S, y : t \vdash P \quad s \leq t}{\Gamma, x^p : ?s.S \vdash x^p ?(y:t).P} [\text{T-In}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x^p : S_j \vdash P \quad j \in I}{\Gamma, x^p : \oplus[l_i : S_i]_{i \in I} \vdash x^p \triangleleft l_j.P} [\text{T-Choice}] \quad \frac{I \subseteq J \quad \Gamma, x^p : S_i \vdash P_i \quad \forall i \in I}{\Gamma, x^p : \&[l_i : S_i]_{i \in I} \vdash x^p \triangleright [l_j : P_j]_{j \in J}} [\text{T-Branch}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ completed}}{\Gamma \vdash 0} [\text{T-Nil}]$$

◀ ◻ ▶ ◀ ☞ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ≡ 🔍 ↺

## Subtyping for non-recursive types

$$\text{end} \leq \text{end} \quad [\text{S-End}]$$

$$\frac{s \leq t \quad S \leq T}{?s.S \leq ?t.T} [\text{S-InS}] \quad \frac{t \leq s \quad S \leq T}{!s.S \leq !t.T} [\text{S-OutS}]$$

$$\frac{I \subseteq J \quad \forall i \in I. S_i \leq T_i}{\&[l_i : S_i]_{i \in I} \leq \&[l_j : T_j]_{j \in J}} [\text{S-Branch}] \quad \frac{J \subseteq I \quad \forall j \in J. S_j \leq T_j}{\oplus[l_i : S_i]_{i \in I} \leq \oplus[l_j : T_j]_{j \in J}} [\text{S-Choice}]$$

◀ ◻ ▶ ◀ ☞ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ≡ 🔍 ↺

## Infinite types

## Several ways of writing a type

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu X. !\text{int}.X \\ & !\text{int}.\mu Y.!\text{int}.Y \\ & !\text{int}.\text{!int}.\mu Y.\text{!int}.Y \\ & \mu X.!\text{int}.\text{!int}.X \\ & \mu X.\mu Y.!\text{int}.X \end{aligned}$$

## unfold( )

$$unfold(T) = \begin{cases} unfold(S\{\mu X.S/X\}) & \text{if } T = \mu X.S \\ T & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$unfold(T)$  terminates for all  $t$  (because types are contractive)



## Coinductive subtyping

### Example

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \mu X. !\text{int}.X \\ T &= !\text{float}.\mu Y.!\text{float}.Y \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $\mathcal{R} = \{(\text{int}, \text{float}), (T, S), (\mu Y. !\text{float}. Y, S)\}$  is type simulation.

Hence  $T \leq S$



## Type Simulation

$\mathbb{T}$  is the set of closed types, and assume the subtyping relation  $\prec$  on basic types.

## Type Simulation

A relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$  is a type simulation if  $(s, t) \in \mathcal{R}$  implies:

1. If  $unfold(s) = \text{end}$  then  $unfold(t) = \text{end}$ .
2. If  $unfold(s) = ?t_1 . S_1$  then  $unfold(t) = ?t_2 . S_2$  and  $(S_1, S_2) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ .
3. If  $unfold(s) = !t_1 . S_1$  then  $unfold(t) = !t_2 . S_2$  and  $(S_1, S_2) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(t_2, t_1) \in \mathcal{R}$ .
4. If  $unfold(s) = \&[\iota_i : S_i]_{i \in I}$  then  $unfold(t) = \&[\iota_j : T_j]_{j \in J}$  and  $I \subseteq J$  and  $(S_i, T_i) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $i \in I$ .
5. If  $unfold(s) = \oplus[\iota_i : S_i]_{i \in I}$  then  $unfold(t) = \oplus[\iota_j : T_j]_{j \in J}$  and  $J \subseteq I$  and  $(S_j, T_j) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $j \in J$ .
6. If  $unfold(s) = [s']$  then  $unfold(t) = [t']$  and  $(s', t') \in \mathcal{R}$ .
7. if  $s$  and  $t$  are basic types, then  $s \prec t$ .

## (Coinductive) Subtyping

The *coinductive subtyping relation*  $\leq_c$  is defined by  $S \leq_c T$  if and only if there exists a type simulation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $(S, T) \in \mathcal{R}$ .



## Coinductive duality

$\mathbb{S}$  is the set of closed session types

## Duality

A relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$  is a duality relation if  $(S, T) \in \mathcal{R}$  implies:

1. If  $\text{unfold}(S) = \text{end}$  then  $\text{unfold}(T) = \text{end}$ .
2. If  $\text{unfold}(S) = ?t_1 . S_1$  then  $\text{unfold}(T) = !t_2 . S_2$  and  $(S_1, S_2) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t_1 \leq_c t_2$  and  $t_2 \leq_c t_1$ .
3. If  $\text{unfold}(S) = !t_1 . S_1$  then  $\text{unfold}(T) = ?t_2 . S_2$  and  $(S_1, S_2) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t_1 \leq_c t_2$  and  $t_2 \leq_c t_1$ .
4. If  $\text{unfold}(S) = \&[\mathbb{I}_i : S_i]_{i \in I}$  then  $\text{unfold}(T) = \oplus[\mathbb{I}_i : T_i]_{i \in I}$  and  $(S_i, T_i) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $i \in I$ .
5. If  $\text{unfold}(S) = \oplus[\mathbb{I}_i : S_i]_{i \in I}$  then  $\text{unfold}(T) = \&[\mathbb{I}_i : T_i]_{i \in I}$  and  $(S_i, T_i) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $i \in I$ .

## (Coinductive) Duality

The *coinductive duality relation*  $\perp_c$  is defined by  $S \perp_c T$  if and only if there exists a duality relation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $(S, T) \in \mathcal{R}$ .



## Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash P_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash P_2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \vdash P_1 | P_2} [\text{T-Par}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x^+ : S, x^- : \bar{S} \vdash P}{\Gamma \vdash (\nu x : S) P} [\text{T-Res}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : \mathbf{t} \quad \Gamma_2, x^p : S \vdash P \quad \mathbf{t} \leq_c \mathbf{s}}{\Gamma_1 + (\Gamma_2, x^p : !\mathbf{s}.S) \vdash x^p !v.P} [\text{T-Out}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x^p : S, y : t \vdash P \quad \mathbf{s} \leq_c \mathbf{t}}{\Gamma, x^p : ?\mathbf{s}.S \vdash x^p ?(y:\mathbf{t}).P} [\text{T-In}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : \mathbf{t} \quad \Gamma_2, x : [\mathbf{s}] \vdash P \quad \mathbf{t} \leq_c \mathbf{s}}{\Gamma_1 + (\Gamma_2, x : [\mathbf{s}]) \vdash x^p !v.P} [\text{T-Out-Un}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : [\mathbf{s}], y : t \vdash P \quad \mathbf{s} \leq_c \mathbf{t}}{\Gamma, x : [\mathbf{s}] \vdash x ?(y:\mathbf{t}).P} [\text{T-In-Un}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x^p : S_j \vdash P \quad j \in I}{\Gamma, x^p : \oplus[\mathbf{l}_i : S_i]_{i \in I} \vdash x^p \triangleleft \mathbf{l}_j.P} [\text{T-Choice}] \quad \frac{\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{J} \quad \Gamma, x^p : S_i \vdash P_i \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{I}}{\Gamma, x^p : \&[\mathbf{l}_i : S_i]_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \vdash x^p \triangleright [\mathbf{l}_j : P_j]_{j \in \mathbf{J}}} [\text{T-Branch}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ completed}}{\Gamma \vdash 0} [\text{T-Nil}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P \quad \Gamma \text{ Unlimited}}{\Gamma \vdash !P} [\text{T-Rep}]$$