

Page 1

Scale for project [VM Abstract](#)

Git repository

Introduction

We ask you, for the good progress of this notation:

- To remain courteous, polite, respectful, constructive, during this exchange. The link of trust between the community 42 and you depend on it.

- To clearly indicate to the person (or group)

possible malfunctions.

- to accept that there may sometimes be differences of interpretation on the requests the subject or the scope of the features. Stay open minded to the vision of the other (is he right or wrong?), and write as honestly as possible.

Good defense to all!

Guidelines

REMEMBER THAT YOU NEED TO CORRECT THAT WHICH IS ON THE STUDENT'S DECLARATION TO RENDER.

This is to make a \ "git clone \" of the rendering repository, and correct what is there.

ratings

Define the type of error, which ended the correction.

Ok **Empty workIncomplete work**

No author file

Invalid compilation

StandardCheat Crash

vogsphere@vogsphere.42.fr: intra / 2015 / activities / abstract_vm / kpoirier

Page 2

Attachments

[Subject](#)

sections

preliminaries

Preliminary tests

First check the following:

- There is a rendering (in the deposit git)

- No cheating, students must be able to explain their code.

If an element does not conform to the subject, the notation stops. You are encouraged to continue to discuss the project, but the scale is not applied.

Functionality tests

Test 1

Test the following program:

```
push int32 (42)
```

```
push int32 (33)
```

```
add; pony
```

```
push float (44.55)
```

```
mul
```

Page 4

Test 6

Test the following program:

push int32 (42)

assert int32 (0)

exit

The program stops properly in error on the assert?

Test 7

Test the following program:

push int32 (42)

add

exit

The program stops in error on the missing operand?

Test 8

Test the following program:

push int8 (33);

push int8 (112);

push int8 (111);

push int8 (108);

push int8 (112);

print

pop

print

pop

print

pop

print

pop

print

pop

exit

The program runs and displays "plop!" ?

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Page 5

Custom test

Test a program of your invention. For example, do cross operations

types with very large and very small numbers (not overflow / underflow).

It works as you expected?

Custom hard test

Try to test a difficult program of your invention (a vicious kind of thing).

It works as you expected?

Implementation

inputs

The VM must be able to read its input from a file, or the standard input (with ;; delimit the end of the entry).

Battery

The VM contains a "stack". It may not be a `std::stack` unless it is justified rigorously (`std::stack` is not iterable, it can serve as a base class at best).

Polymorphic operands

Operands are manipulated polymorphically through `IOperand*`.

Otherwise, rendering is off topic. Click on the

flag \ "crash \", the notation is no longer applied, but you are encouraged to continue to discuss.

Operands factory

There must be an Operand factory that implements the following function:

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Page 6

`IOperand* SomeClass::createOperand(eOperandType type, const std::string& value);`

Precision management

The VM manages the accuracy in a non trivial way - no batteries of yews or other dirt. A enum is quite acceptable for example.

parser

The VM has a clean and complete parsing?

exceptions

The VM must use exceptions to handle certain errors.

Select the corresponding graduation:

- No exceptions: 0
- Scalar Exceptions (`string`, `char*`, `int`, ...): 1
- Presence of pre-made exceptions (only `std::exception` or other): 2
- Presence of custom exceptions inheriting from `std::exception`: 3

- Presence of custom exceptions inheriting from a more specialized class than `std :: exception`: 4

Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

bonus

Full verification

The VM is able to give all the errors of a file, and does not stop at the first error encountered (excluding interpretation).

Advanced parsing

The parsing is well structured, namely couple lexer / parser with well-defined roles such as that they are supposed to be in reality.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Yes

No.

Page 7

Other bonuses

Count in this part if there are other bonuses. You can rate up to 5 bonuses distincts.

Each bonus must be:

- A useful minimum (at your discretion)
- Well done and 100% functional

Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this correction

1. verbose mode
2. interactive
3. color
4. instruction (max, min, avg, pow)
5. bitwise instructions: AND / OR / XOR
6. log (verbose strings)