Friday, October 28, 2016

9:24 AM

We view basics of game theory only as an auxiliary tool to understand propositions with universal and existential quantifiers better:

A proposition of the form  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\exists y \in Y$ , P(x,y)

can be interpreted as a losing game:

For every choice x of the "1st player", the "2nd player" can find a suitable "respond" y. (Suitable means P(x,y) holds for this choice of y.)

A proposition of the form  $\exists x \in X, \forall y \in Y, Q(x,y)$ 

can be interpreted as a winning game:

The 1st player has a good choice x such that for any move (Choice of y) of the 2nd player, Q(x,y) is going to hold.

(You will not be asked about games.)

Now we review the  $\varepsilon$ -8 definition of limit. We interpret it in terms of a losing game.

Monday, October 31, 2016

2:10 AM

Definition. We say  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = L$  if

 $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$ ,  $(0 < |x - \alpha| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon)$ .

So this can be viewed as a losing game.

No matter how the 1st player challenges us by choosing E>0,

We as "2" players" can find a suitable 5>0 to meet

his challenge: for any x that is 8-close to a

we get that for is E-close to L.

Ex. Proxe that  $\lim_{x\to 2} x^2 = 4$ .

Proof. We have to show  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$ ,  $0 < |x-2| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 + 4| < \epsilon$ .

Ist player makes his move and gives us E>0. Now we,

as "2nd players" should think about "our move". We have

to find a suitable 8>0 such that

 $0 < |x-2| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 4| < \epsilon.$ 

To find a right move, we use backward argument:

 $|\chi^2 - 4| < \varepsilon \iff |\chi - 2||\chi + 2| < \varepsilon$ 

we'd like to reach to this conclusion under some control on

1x-21 (we are allowed to make this as small as we wish!)

Tuesday, November 1, 2016 12:28 PM

Let's start with an initial "estimate". Let's say we will definitely choose  $8 \le 1$ . (The choice of 1 is fairly flexible. Its main point is for us to be able toget an upper bound for |x+2|.)

That means we can assume |x-2| < 1. So 1 < x < 3and 3 < x + 2 < 5, which implies |x+2| < 5. Hence  $|x^2-4| < \epsilon \iff |x-2| |x+2| < \epsilon$   $|x-2| < \epsilon / 5 \land |x+2| < 5$ 

 $\leftarrow |x-2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \wedge |x-2| < 1$ 

 $\leftarrow |x-2| < \min(1, \frac{\xi}{5})$ 

Therefore  $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\epsilon}{5})$  is a suitable choice.

Ex. Prove  $\lim_{x\to 2} \sqrt{x} = \sqrt{2}$ .

Proof. We have to prove  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, 0 < |x-2| < \delta \implies |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{2}| < \epsilon.$ 

Again this means for a given E>0, we should find a suitable S>0

such that the above implication holds. Again we try to use

a backward argument.

Tuesday, November 1, 2016 12:42 PM

$$|\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{2}|<\varepsilon$$
  $\leftarrow$   $|x-2|<\varepsilon$   $|\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{2}|$ 

So this time we need a lower bound for the auxiliary function  $|\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{2}|$ . And the idea is that when x is fairly close to 2, we expect that  $\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{2}$  is fairly close to  $2\sqrt{2}$ . Hence we should be able to get a lower bound for  $|\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{2}|$ .

Let's again decide that we choose our move  $8 \le 1$ .

Hence 
$$|x-2| < 1 \Rightarrow 1 < x < 3$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 1<\ $\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{2}$ |.

Therefore  $|\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{2}| < \epsilon \iff |x-2| < \epsilon |\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{2}|$ 

$$\Leftarrow |x-2| < \epsilon \wedge 1 < |\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{2}|$$

$$\leftarrow |x-2| < \epsilon \wedge |x-2| < 1$$

$$\Leftarrow |x-2| < \min(1, \epsilon)$$
.

Thus  $8 = \min(1, \epsilon)$  is a suitable choice.