# Math 109 Homework 2

**Problem 1** (Eccles II.13, p.117). Prove that, for sets A, B, C and D,

- (i)  $A \times (B \cup C) = (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ ,
- (ii)  $(A \times B) \cap (C \times D) = (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$ .

**Solution.** (i) A proof that two sets are equal requires us to prove two set inclusions. In this case we can do them together as follows.

$$(x,y) \in A \times (B \cap C) \iff x \in A \text{ and } y \in (B \cap C)$$

$$\iff x \in A \text{ and } (y \in B \text{ or } y \in C)$$

$$\iff (x,y) \in (A \times B) \text{ or } (x,y) \in (A \times C)$$

$$\iff (x,y) \in (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$$

Thus 
$$(x,y) \in A \times (B \cup C) \iff (x,y) \in (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$$
.

(I did it myself)

(ii) A proof that two sets are equal requires us to prove two set inclusions. In this case we can do them together as follows.

$$(x,y) \in (A \times B) \cap (C \times D) \iff x \in A \text{ and } y \in B \text{ and } x \in C \text{ and } y \in D$$

$$\iff x \in A \text{ and } x \in C \text{ and } y \in B \text{ and } y \in D$$

$$\iff x \in (A \cap C) \text{ and } y \in (B \cap D)$$

$$\iff (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$$

Since x is the object of set A and set C, they have precisely the same elements  $x \in A \iff x \in B \text{ or } A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \subseteq A.$  The same logic happens for object y and set C and D. Thus,  $(x,y) \in (A \times B) \cap (C \times D) \iff (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$ 

(I did this myself)

**Problem 2** (Eccles II.19, p.118). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. Prove that there exists a function  $g: Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ g = I_Y$  if and only if f is a surjection. [g is called a *right inverse* of f.]

**Solution.** According to *Proposition 9.2.5*,  $f \circ g = I_y$  if and only if  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to X$  are inverses of each other. Let us show with 3 cases why f can only be a surjection.

### case 1:

Suppose f was an injection function, then according to Definition 9.1.1, no element of Y is assigned to more than one element of X. That means if function g was a surjective function, not more than one value of X of the pre-image can be assigned to Y. Thus the function f which is injection would be invalid under the "if and only if" condition.

#### case 2:

Suppose f was a bijection function, then according to Definition 9.1.1, every element of Y has to have precisely 1 pre-image, which is both an injection and surjection. Such a function would then elude the "if and only if" condition again because the function would not have worked if g was a surjection function; there might be more than one image of  $x_0$  which would not have been mapped. Thus the function f which is bijection would not produce the composite identity function under the "if and only if" condition.

## case 3:

Suppose f was a surjection, the composite identity function would occur because under Definition 9.1.1, a surjection function happens if and only if every element of Y has at least one pre-image. Therefore function f could map either multiple y images from  $x_0$  or one y image for every  $x_0$ .

Thus if and only if f is a surjection can there exists a function  $g: Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ g = I_Y$ .

(I did this myself except for 1st part of i), where I had some advice on the template for answering by Matthew.

**Problem 3** (Eccles II.20, p.118). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function and  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

(i) Prove that  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \implies \overrightarrow{f}(A_1) \subseteq \overrightarrow{f}(A_2)$ . Prove that the converse is not universally true. Give a simple condition on f which is equivalent to the converse.

- (ii) Prove that  $\overrightarrow{f}(A_1 \cap A_2) \subseteq \overrightarrow{f}(A_1) \cap \overrightarrow{f}(A_2)$ . Prove that equality is not universally true.
- (iii) Prove that  $\overrightarrow{f}(A_1 \cup A_2) = \overrightarrow{f}(A_1) \cup \overrightarrow{f}(A_2)$ .

**Solution.** (i) Using *definition 6.1.4*, we know that A is a subset of B when every element of A is an element of B.

Suppose  $x_0$  is an element of  $A_1$  such that  $x_0$  is an element of  $A_2$  because  $A_1$  is a subset of  $A_2$ . Then  $f(A_1)$  will be a subset of  $f(A_2)$  as the image of  $x_0$  for  $f(A_1)$  would also be the same image as in  $f(A_2)$ .

 $Therefore A_1 \subseteq A_2 \implies f(A_1) \subseteq f(A_1).$ 

The converse is not universally true, Even though  $f(A_1) \subseteq \overrightarrow{f}(A_2)$ . Given that the power set of X has a set of subsets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , we suppose  $A_1$  be the singleton set  $\{a_1\}$  and  $A_2$  be the singleton set  $\{a_2\}$ . We also suppose that  $f:\{a_2\} \to \{0,2\}$  and  $f:\{a_1\} \to \{0\}$ , to show that  $f:(A_1)$  is a subset of  $f:(A_2)$ . Upon inspection, we would see that the subsets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  would be different singleton set elements even though  $f:(A_1)$  is a subset of  $f:(A_2)$ .

Thus, even though  $f:(A_1)$  is a subset of  $f:(A_2)$ , the converse would not be universally true if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are singleton sets with different elements.

(ii) Suppose that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be singleton sets of the Power set of X and function be bijective. Therefore since  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ , the function will map the value to a value which is different than the two values from  $f(A_1) \cap \overrightarrow{f}(A_2)$ .

Thus under the impression that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be singleton sets of the Power set of X and function be bijective,  $f(A_1 \cap A_2)$  would not be the subset of  $f(A_1) \cap \overrightarrow{f}(A_2)$ . Therefore the equality is not universally true.  $\square$ 

(iii) Suppose that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be separate sets of the Power set of X. Using definition 6.2.2 and definition 8.1.1, we see that the function f takes elements from either  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  and maps them. It is equivalent to the right-hand side, which represents the values mapped by function on  $A_1 or A_2$ .

Thus both sides represent values mapped from the union of sets and are equivalent to each other.  $\Box$ 

(Ididthismyself)

**Problem 4** (Eccles IV.4, p.225). Prove that there is no rational number whose square is 98.

**Solution.** Suppose for contradict that there's rational number q such that  $q^2 = 98$ . Write q as a fraction in lowest terms:  $q = \frac{a}{b}$  such that a and b are integers such that (a, b) = 1. Now  $q^2 = 98 \implies \frac{a^2}{b^2} = 98 \implies a^2 = 98b^2 \implies a^2$  divisible by 98. It follows that a must be divisible by 98.

Thus we can write  $a = 98a_1$  for some  $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But then  $a^2 = 98b^2 \implies 98a_1^2 = 98b^2 \implies 98a_1^2 = b^2 \implies b^2$  is divisible by  $3 \implies b$  is divisible by 3, as above.

Hence 98 is a common factor of a and b so that  $(a, b) \neq 1$ , contradicting the choice of a and b and giving the required contradiction.

Hence there does not exist a rational number whose square is 98.  $\square$ 

Solve the linear diophantine equation

$$336m + 238n = 5558. (4.1)$$

Prove that there is a unique pair of positive integers m and n satisfying this equation and find this solution.

Solution.

$$336 = 238 \times 1 + 98$$
$$238 = 98 \times 2 + 42(-1)$$
$$98 = 42 \times 2 + 14(-2)$$
$$42 = 14 \times 3 + 0$$

(336,238) = 14, since 14 divides 5558, the equation has a solution.

$$336 = 336 \times 1 + 238 \times 0$$
$$238 = 336 \times 0 + 238 \times 1(-1)$$
$$98 = 336 \times 1 + 238 \times (-1)$$
$$42 = 336 \times \frac{37}{24} + 238 \times (-2)$$

This gives  $336 \times \frac{37}{24} + 238 \times (-2) = 42$  multiply the equation above by  $\frac{397}{3}$   $336 \times \frac{14689}{72} + 238 \times \frac{-794}{3} = 5558$ , hence  $m = \frac{14689}{72}$ ,  $n = \frac{-794}{3}$  are the unique pair of positive integers.

$$336m + 238n = 5558 \iff 336(m - \frac{14689}{72}) + 238(n + \frac{794}{3}) = 0$$

$$\iff (m - \frac{14689}{72}, n + \frac{794}{3}) = (24q, 17q)$$

$$\iff (m, n) = (\frac{14689}{72} + 24q, \frac{-794}{3} + 17q) for some q \in Z$$

(I did this myself.)

**Problem 5** (Supplementary Problem 4). Let a, b and c be integers such that a and b are coprime and c divides a + b. Prove that gcd(a, c) = gcd(b, c) = 1.

## Solution.