

# 1. DIVISIBILITY

**Definition 1.1.** The *integers* are the set of numbers of the form

$$\{\dots, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$$

So 3356 is an integer but  $1/2$  is not. Note that we can add, subtract and multiply two integers. For example

$$2 + 3 = 5, \quad 6 - 8 = -2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \cdot 3 = 6.$$

But we cannot *always* divide. For example we cannot divide 2 into 1 (and get an integer).

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $m$  and  $n$  be two integers.

We say  $m$  **divides**  $n$ , denoted  $m \mid n$ , if there is an integer  $k$  such that  $n = km$ .

For example, 2 divides 6 as  $6 = 3 \cdot 2$  (explicitly,  $n = 6$ ,  $m = 3$  and  $k = 2$ ). 3 also divides 6 as  $6 = 2 \cdot 3$  (explicitly,  $n = 6$ ,  $m = 2$  and  $k = 3$ ). But 5 does not divide 7. How would we prove this?

Suppose that 5 does divide 7. Then we could find an integer  $k$  such that  $7 = k5 = 5k$ . What can we say about  $k$ ?  $k > 0$ , that is,  $k$  is positive, as 5 and 7 are positive. If  $k = 1$  then  $k5 = 5 \neq 7$ , too small. If  $k \geq 2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} 5k &\geq 5 \cdot 2 \\ &= 10 \\ &> 7, \end{aligned}$$

too large. Note that we have exhausted all possible choices for  $k$ , since either  $k \leq 0$ , or  $k = 1$ , or  $k > 1$ . Thus there is no integer  $k$  such that  $5k = 7$  and so 5 does not divide 7.

We record some basic properties of divisibility:

**Lemma 1.3.** Every integer is divisible by 1.

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be an integer. Then  $n = n \cdot 1$ , so that 1 divides  $n$ . □

**Lemma 1.4.** Every integer divides 0.

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be an integer. Then  $0 = 0 \cdot n$ , so that  $n$  divides 0. □

Here is a slightly more interesting result:

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers.

If  $a$  divides  $b$  and  $b$  is non-zero then  $|a| \leq |b|$ .

*Proof.* By assumption we may find an integer  $k$  such that  $b = ka$ .

**Claim 1.6.**  $k \neq 0$ .

*Proof of (1.6).* Suppose not, suppose that  $k = 0$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} b &= ka \\ &= 0a \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our assumption that  $b$  is non-zero. Thus  $k \neq 0$ .  $\square$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |b| &= |ka| \\ &= |k||a| \\ &\geq 1 \cdot |a| \\ &= |a|. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Note that the proof of (1.5) hides something important. How do we know in the first place that we need to check  $k \neq 0$ ?

Before we write down a clean proof of (1.5), we try a few things on a piece of scratch paper. The obvious thing to do is start with the equality  $b = ka$  and take the absolute value, as in the last step of the proof. We are happy with this, until we realise that we don't know

$$|k||a| \geq |a|,$$

unless we know  $k \neq 0$ . So then we realise we need to check  $k \neq 0$ .

But when we write down the proof, we hide all the details of how we constructed the proof in the first place.