# High-Dimensional Probability and the Neural Tangent Kernel

Your Name\*

January 20, 2025

#### Abstract

We analyze the convergence and generalization of a coupling based normalizing flow model.

## 1 Preliminaries

## 1.1 Hypothesis set

Define  $\mathcal{F} = \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d\}$  as the set of all Non-Volume Preserving Coupling-Based Normalizing Flows. And define the Realization Function  $F : \mathbb{R}^P \to \mathcal{F}$ . Now we have for an  $f_{\theta} := F(\theta)$  the following structure:

Denote the permutation by a matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  (an orthonormal permutation matrix), so that

$$\tilde{x} = Qx$$
.

We then split  $\tilde{x}$  into two parts,

$$\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$$
 with  $\tilde{x}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}, \tilde{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-d'}$ .

An affine coupling transform  $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \mapsto (\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{y}_1 = \tilde{x}_1, \qquad \tilde{y}_2 = \tilde{x}_2 \odot \exp[s_{\theta_1}(\tilde{x}_1)] + t_{\theta_2}(\tilde{x}_1),$$

where

$$s_{\theta_1}: \mathbb{R}^{d'} \to \mathbb{R}^{d-d'}, \quad t_{\theta_2}: \mathbb{R}^{d'} \to \mathbb{R}^{d-d'}.$$

are fully connected neural networks parameterized each by a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{P}$ . Thus, the overall transformation is

$$T_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(x) = \left(\tilde{x}_1, \ \tilde{x}_2 \odot \exp[s_{\theta_1}(\tilde{x}_1)] + t_{\theta_2}(\tilde{x}_1)\right)^{\top}$$
 where  $\tilde{x} = Px$ .

A coupling based normalizing flow model of depth L is therefore comprised by:

$$f_{\theta}(x) = T^{(L)} \circ T^{(L-1)} \circ \cdots \circ T^{(1)}(x)$$

**Jacobian Determinant.** Because P is a permutation matrix with  $|\det P| = 1$ , its contribution to the Jacobian determinant is 1. The remaining (affine coupling) block is

$$\frac{\partial(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)}{\partial(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)} \; = \; \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ * & \mathrm{diag}(\exp[s_\theta(\tilde{x}_1)]) \end{pmatrix},$$

so the absolute Jacobian determinant is

$$\left|\det \nabla_x f_{\theta}(x)\right| = \prod_{j=1}^{d-d'} \exp\left[s_{\theta,j}(\tilde{x}_1)\right] = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-d'} s_{\theta,j}(\tilde{x}_1)\right).$$

<sup>\*</sup>Your Affiliation, email@example.com

**Negative Log-Likelihood.** We choose a standard normal base distribution  $p_z(z) = \mathcal{N}(z \mid$ (0,I) on  $\mathbb{R}^D$ . Then for  $y=f_{\theta}(x)$ , the model's density is

$$p_{\theta}(x) = p_z(y) |\det \nabla_x f_{\theta}(x)|.$$

Hence the negative log-likelihood is

$$-\log p_{\theta}(x) = -\log p_{z}(y) - \log |\det \nabla_{x} f_{\theta}(x)|.$$

If  $p_z$  is standard Gaussian,

$$-\log p_z(y) = \frac{1}{2}||y||^2 + \frac{D}{2}\log(2\pi)$$
 (ignoring constants in  $\theta$ ).

Using the above determinant,

$$-\log |\det \nabla_x f_{\theta}(x)| = -\sum_{j=1}^{D-d} s_{\theta,j}(\tilde{x}_1).$$

Thus the single-sample loss becomes

$$\ell(\theta; x) = -\log p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||f_{\theta}(x)||^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{D-d} s_{\theta,j}(\tilde{x}_1) + \text{const.},$$

and the training objective for a dataset  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ -\log p_z(f_{\theta}(x_i)) - \log |\det \nabla f_{\theta}(x_i)| \right].$$

Also denote the probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$ , such that  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has the unknown distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d \sim N(0, I_d)$ . Also define the empirical distribution  $\mu_N$  for our dataset  $S = \{X^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$ , as  $\mu_N(A) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{X_i \in A}$ . Define the semi-norm and bi-linear form below:

$$||f||_{\mu_n} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_n}[f], \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\mu_n} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_n}[f^\top g]$$

Define the **Jacobean Functional**  $J: \mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  as:

$$J(f,x) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(x) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_d}(x) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(x) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_d}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_1}(x) & \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_2}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_1}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla f_1(x)^\top \\ \nabla f_2(x)^\top \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_d(x)^\top \end{pmatrix} = \nabla f(x)^\top$$

, and the functions  $J_{\mu_n}(f) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N J(f, X_i)$  and  $J(f) := h \implies h(x) = J(f, x)$ 

#### 2 Generative NTK

Let the normalizing flow be defined as

$$\mathbf{z} = f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}),$$

with the base density  $p_Z(\mathbf{z})$ . By the change-of-variables formula, the model density is given by

$$\hat{p}_X(\mathbf{x}) = p_Z(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})) |\det J(f_{\theta}, \mathbf{x})|.$$

and minimizing the KL-divergence between  $\mu = p_X$  and  $\hat{p}_X$ , will be equivalent to minimizing the expectation of the negative log-likelihood of  $\hat{p}_X$  with respect to  $p_X$  and is approximated by the empirical risk as below:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; f) = -\log \hat{p}_X(\mathbf{x}) = -\log p_Z(f(\mathbf{x})) - \log \left| \det J(f, \mathbf{x}) \right|$$
$$R(f) := \mathbb{E}_{\mu}[\mathcal{L}(X; f)]$$
$$\hat{R}_S(f) := \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S} \mathcal{L}(x; f)$$

, meaning that our Training Cost Functional can be defined as:

$$C^{\mu_N}(f) = \| -\log p_Z(f) - \log \left| \det J(f) \right| \|_{\mu_N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N -\log p_Z(f(X_i)) - \log \left| \det J(f, X_i) \right|$$

, which is  $\hat{R}_S$ , for our S. Now we take the (functional) derivative of this with respect to a function  $f_{\theta}$ , denoted by:

$$\partial_f^{\mu_N} C|_{f_{\theta}} = \|f - \left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(J(f)^{-1} \frac{\partial J(f)}{\partial f_1}\right) \cdots \operatorname{Tr}\left(J(f)^{-1} \frac{\partial J(f)}{\partial f_d}\right)\right]^{\top}\|_{\mu_n}$$

This is a point in  $\mathcal{F}^*$  and so is a function of  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and we can denote it by  $\phi_{\theta} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

## 2.1 Deriving the Kernel

So denoting  $f_{\theta} := F(\theta)$  the parameters change as below in gradient descent:

$$\frac{d\theta_p}{dt} = -\eta \partial_{\theta_p} C \circ F(\theta) = -\eta \left. \partial_f^{\mu_N} C \right|_{f_{\theta}} (\partial_{\theta_p} F(\theta)) = -\eta \left. \phi_{\theta} (\partial_{\theta_p} F(\theta)) \right|_{f_{\theta}}$$

, giving us the gradient form:

$$\nabla_{\theta} C \circ F(\theta) = [\phi_{\theta}(\partial_{\theta_1} F(\theta)) \cdots \phi_{\theta}(\partial_{\theta_P} F(\theta))]^{\top}, \quad \frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\eta \ \nabla_{\theta} C \circ F(\theta)$$

Defining the Neural Tangent Kernel  $\mathbf{H}(\theta)$  We want to solve the differential equation below:

$$\partial_t f_{\theta(t)} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N$$

From the blog:

$$\frac{df(\mathbf{x}';\theta)}{dt} = \frac{df(\mathbf{x}';\theta)}{d\theta} \frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underbrace{\nabla_{\theta} f(\mathbf{x};\theta)^{\top} \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)};\theta)}_{\text{Neural Tangent Kernel}} \nabla_{f} \ell(f,y^{(i)})$$

$$\frac{df(\mathbf{x}';\theta)}{dt} = \frac{df(\mathbf{x}';\theta)}{d\theta} \frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\frac{\eta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathbf{x}';\theta)^{\top} \nabla_{\theta} f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)};\theta) \nabla_{f} \ell(f,y^{(i)})$$

## 3 Random Process View

It is well-known that Neural Networks in the infinite-width output a Gaussian process at their Gaussian initialization.

But what happens in training to a Neural Network. In training the cost function follows a Gaussian process, indexed by the space  $\mathbb{R}^P$  of parameters. we can create some empirical processes according to the empirical risk and statistical risk indexed by the hypothesis  $\mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^P$ .

We have three probability measures so far:  $N(0, I), \mu, \mu_N$ .

#### Definition 3.1. Learning Processes

Take the functions  $C: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $R:=C \circ F: \mathbb{R}^P \to \mathbb{R}$ , we can use the laws  $\mu$  and  $\mu_N$  such that:

- Function Processes: The random variables f(X) or  $F(\theta)(X)$ , respectively indexed on  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^P$ , with  $X \sim \mu$  or  $\mu_N$ .
- Empirical Processes: The functions  $\mathbb{G}_N(f) := \sqrt{N}(\mu_N \mu)f$  and  $\mathbb{G}^{\theta}_N(\theta) := \sqrt{N}(\mu_N \mu)F(\theta)$ , respectively indexed on  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^P$ , with  $X \sim \mu$  or  $\mu_N$ .
- Training Processes: The functions C(f) and  $C \circ F(f)$ , when  $S = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \mu^N$  or maybe even  $S \sim \mu_N^N$  can be useful.

We shall analyze properties of this random process. For example the ultimate goad of machine learning would be characterized as  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\theta} R(\theta)]$ , which is the statistical risk for the objective learning concept. Our empirical risk is also characterized by  $\mathbb{E}\sup_{\theta} \hat{R}(\theta)$ 

What is the covariance function of these processes? is it NTK? Can we apply the results of the books on Random Processes to these?

## 4 Sub-Gaussian Investigation

### References

## A Additional Proofs

Additional technical proofs, auxiliary lemmas, or numerical experiments may be included here.