

# Bayes' theorem for Gaussian variables

Xinghu Yao

September 22, 2018

## 1 Question

Given a marginal Gaussian distribution for  $\mathbf{x}$  and a conditional Gaussian distribution for  $\mathbf{y}$  given  $\mathbf{x}$  in the form

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}) \quad (1)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{L}^{-1}) \quad (2)$$

the marginal distribution of  $\mathbf{y}$  and the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{x}$  given  $\mathbf{y}$  are given by

$$p(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T) \quad (3)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\{\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}\}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = (\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A})^{-1} \quad (5)$$

## 2 Solution

First, it is easy (just follow the definition of matrix multiplication) to prove the following equation for the inverse of a partitioned matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} & -\mathbf{M}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1} \\ -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{M} & \mathbf{D}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where we have defined  $\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C})^{-1}$ .

We define  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})^T$  as the joint distribution over  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  and consider the log of  $\mathbf{z}$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p(\mathbf{z}) &= \ln p(\mathbf{x}) + \ln p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^T \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) + \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The second order terms in Eq. 7 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T(\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L} \\ -\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{z} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The linear terms in Eq. 7 can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Thus, the inverse matrix of covariance matrix can be written as

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{L} \\ -\mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{L} \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

And using the Eq. 6, we have

$$\text{cov}[\mathbf{z}] = \mathbf{R}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} & \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Similar to the former report, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}] = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{L}\mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Making use of Eq. 11 and Eq. 12, we can get the mean and covariance of the marginal distribution  $p(\mathbf{y})$ , which is

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{cov}[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T. \quad (14)$$

This means  $p(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T)$ . By using the last reports results, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}] = (\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A}^{-1}) \{ \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu} \} \quad (15)$$

$$\text{cov}[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A}^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

This means  $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | (\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A})^{-1} \{ \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu} \}, (\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A})^{-1})$ .