1 Basic notation

Definition 1.1 (independent increments) A stochastic process $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ has independent increments if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and any $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_n$, the increment $X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}, X_{t_3} - X_{t_2}, \cdots, X_{t_n} - X_{t_{n-1}}$ are independent.

Definition 1.2 (strictly stationary process) Let $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ be a stochastic process and let $F_X(x_{t_1+\tau},\ldots,x_{t_k+\tau})$ represent the distribution function of the joint distribution of $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ at times $t_1+\tau,\ldots,t_k+\tau$. Then, $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ is said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, for all k, and for all k, and for all k, and for all k, so that k is said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, for all k, and for all k, so that k is said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, for all k, and for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, for all k, and for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, for all k, and for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if, for all k, said to be strictly stationary if k is said to be strictly stationary if k

$$F_X(x_{t_1+\tau},\ldots,x_{t_k+\tau}) = F_X(x_{t_1},\ldots,x_{t_k}).$$

2 Poisson process

Definition 2.1 (Poisson process (I)) A stochastic process $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is said to be a *Poisson process* with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

- (i) $N_0 = 0$;
- (ii) $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ has independent increments: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and any $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_n$, the increment $N_{t_2} N_{t_1}, N_{t_3} N_{t_2}, \cdots, N_{t_n} N_{t_{n-1}}$ are independent;
- (iii) for any $0 \le s \le t$, $N_t N_s \sim Pois(\lambda(t-s))$, that is

$$P(N_t - N_s = k) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \frac{\lambda(t-s)^k}{k!} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Definition 2.2 (counting process) A counting process is a stochastic process $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ with values that are non-negative, integer, and non-decreasing:

- (i) $N_0 \geq 0$;
- (ii) N_t is an integer;
- (iii) If 0 < s < t, then $N_s < N_t$.

For any $0 \le s < t$, the counting process $N_t - N_s$ represents the number of events that occurred on (s, t].

Definition 2.3 (Poisson process (II)) A counting process $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is said to be a *Poisson process* with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

- (i) $N_0 = 0$;
- (ii) $(N_t)_{t>0}$ has independent increments;
- (iii) For all $t \geq 0$, $P(N_{t+h} N_t = 1) = \lambda h + o(h)$ when $h \rightarrow 0$;
- (iv) For all t > 0, $P(N_{t+h} N_t > 2) = o(h)$ when $h \to 0$;

Definition 2.4 (Poisson process (III)) A stochastic process $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is said to be a *Poisson process* with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

$$N_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{[T_n, T_{n+1})}(t),$$

where $T_n = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ and X_i i.i.d $\sim Exp(\lambda), X_i > 0$.

Proposition 2.1 Definition 2.1, 2.3, 2.4 are equivalent definitions of Poisson process.

Proof.

• Definition 2.1 \Longrightarrow Definition 2.3

Here we are only to show the implication of Definition 2.3(iii) and Definition 2.3(iv). Since $N_{t+h} - N_t \sim Pois(\lambda h)$, when $h \to 0$ we have

$$P(N_{t+h} - N_t = 1) = e^{-\lambda h} \lambda h = (1 - \lambda h + o(h)) \lambda h = \lambda h + o(h),$$

$$P(N_{t+h} - N_t \ge 2) = 1 - P(N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) - P(N_{t+h} - N_t = 1)$$

$$= 1 - e^{-\lambda h} - e^{-\lambda h} \lambda h$$

$$= 1 - (1 - \lambda h + o(h)) - (\lambda h + o(h))$$

$$= o(h).$$

• Definition 2.3 \implies Definition 2.1

Only Definition 2.1(iii) needs to be derived. Given the Laplace transform of the nonnegative random variables N_t and N_{t+h}

$$L_{N_t}(u) = \mathbb{E}[e^{-uN_t}], \quad L_{N_{t+h}}(u) = \mathbb{E}[e^{-uN_{t+h}}], \quad u \ge 0,$$

according to Definition 2.3(ii) we can obtain

$$L_{N_{t+h}}(u) = E[e^{-uN_{t+h}}]$$

$$= E[e^{-uN_t}e^{-u(N_{t+h}-N_t)}]$$

$$= E[e^{-uN_t}]E[e^{-u(N_{t+h}-N_t)}]$$

$$= L_{N_t}(u)E[e^{-u(N_{t+h}-N_t)}].$$

Note that

$$E[e^{-u(N_{t+h}-N_t)}]$$

$$= e^{0}P(N_{t+h} - N_t = 0) + e^{-u}P(N_{t+h} - N_t = 1) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} e^{-un}P(N_{t+h} - N_t = j)$$

$$= 1 - \lambda h + o(h) + e^{-u}(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h)$$

$$= 1 - \lambda h + e^{-u}\lambda h + o(h) \quad (h \to 0).$$

Denote $g(t+h) = L_{N_{t+h}}(u)$ and $g(t) = L_{N_t}(u)$ for some fixed u and then we get

$$\frac{g(t+h) - g(t)}{h} = \frac{g(t)(1 - \lambda h + e^{-u}\lambda h + o(h)) - g(t)}{h} = g(t)\lambda(e^{-u} - 1) + \frac{o(h)}{h}.$$

Letting $h \to 0$ yields the differential equation

$$g'(t) = g(t)\lambda(e^{-u} - 1).$$

The initial condition $g(0) = \mathrm{E}[e^{-uN_0}] = 1$ determines a special solution of the equation $g(t) = L_{N_t}(u) = e^{\lambda t(e^{-u}-1)}$, which coincides with the Laplace transform of Poisson distribution $Pois(\lambda t)$. Since Laplace transform uniquely determines the distribution, we can thus conclude $N_t \sim Pois(\lambda t)$. Given any $r \geq 0$, define a stochastic process $N_t' = N_{r+t} - N_r$ and we can check that $(N_t')_{t\geq 0}$ is also a counting process satisfying all the contitions in Definition 2.4. Hence we can also show that $N_t' \sim Pois(\lambda t)$, which is equivalent to Definition 2.1(iii).

• Definition 2.1 \Longrightarrow Definition 2.4 Let $T_n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : N_t = n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Note that given any $t \ge 0$, $N_t = n$ if and only if $T_n \le t < T_{n+1}$. Thus we have

$$N_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{[T_n, T_{n+1})}(t).$$

Let $X_1 = T_1, X_n = T_n - T_{n-1} (n \ge 2)$. Since $P(X_1 > t) = P(N_t = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}$, we see $X_1 \sim Exp(\lambda)$. When $n \ge 2$, since

$$\begin{split} & P(X_n > t | X_{n-1} = t_{n-1}, \cdots, X_1 = t_1) \\ & = P(T_n - T_{n-1} > t | T_{n-1} - T_{n-2} = t_{n-1}, \cdots, T_1 = t_1) \qquad (\text{let } s_n = t_n + \cdots + t_1) \\ & = P(T_n > s_{n-1} + t | T_{n-1} = s_{n-1}, \cdots, T_1 = s_1) \\ & = P(N_{s_{n-1}+t} = n - 1 | N_{s_{n-1}} = n - 1) \qquad (\text{memoryless property of } (N_t)) \\ & = P(N_{s_{n-1}+t} - N_{s_{n-1}} = 0 | N_s = n - 1) \\ & = P(N_{s_{n-1}+t} - N_{s_{n-1}} = 0) \\ & = e^{-\lambda t}, \end{split}$$

it is plain to show that $\{X_i\}$ is sequence of independent random variable. Furthermore, we have

$$P(X_n > t) = E[P(X_n > t | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1)] = e^{-\lambda t},$$

which implies X_i i.i.d $\sim Exp(\lambda)$,

• <u>Definition 2.4</u> \Longrightarrow <u>Definition 2.1</u> $T_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \text{ and } X_i \text{ i.i.d } \sim Exp(\lambda) \text{ implies } T_n \sim \Gamma(n, \lambda).$

$$N_{\star} - N$$

$$P(N_t - N_s = k) = P\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{[T_n, T_{n+1})}(t) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{[T_n, T_{n+1})}(s) = k\right) = P(0 < T_1, T_k \le t < T_{k+1})$$

Proposition 2.2 Let $(N_t)_{t>0}$ be a Poisson process.

1. $N_t \sim Pois(\lambda t)$, $E[N_t] = Var(N_t) = \lambda t$.

Appendix

Distribution	pmf P(X = k)	Support	Mean	Variance
Bernoulli $B(1,p)$	$p^k(1-p)^{1-k}$	{0,1}	p	p(1-p)
Binomial $B(n, p)$	$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$	$\{0,\cdots,n\}$	np	np(1-p)
Negative Binomial $NB(r, p)$	$\binom{k+r-1}{k}(1-p)^r p^k$	N	$\frac{pr}{1-p}$	$\frac{pr}{(1-p)^2}$
Poisson $Pois(\lambda)$	$\frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$	N	λ	λ
Geometric $Geo(p)$	$(1-p)^{k-1}p$	\mathbb{N}_+	$\frac{1}{p}$	$\frac{1-p}{p^2}$
Hypergeometric $H(N, K, n)$	$\frac{\binom{K}{k}\binom{N-K}{n-k}}{\binom{N}{n}}$	$\{0,\cdots,\min\left(n,K\right)\}$	$n\frac{K}{N}$	$n\frac{K}{N}\frac{N-K}{N}\frac{N-n}{N-1}$
Uniform (discrete) $DU(a, b)$	$\frac{1}{n}$	$\left\{a,a+1,\ldots,b\right\}$	$\frac{a+b}{2}$	$\frac{(b-a+1)^2 - 1}{12}$

Distribution	pdf	Mean	Variance
Degenerate δ_a	$I_{\{a\}}(x)$	a	0
Uniform (continuous) $U(a,b)$	$\frac{1}{b-a}I_{[a,b]}(x)$	$\frac{a+b}{2}$	$\frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$
Exponential $Exp(\lambda) = \Gamma(1, \lambda)$	$\lambda e^{-\lambda x} I_{[0,+\infty)}(x)$	λ^{-1}	λ^{-2}
Normal $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$	μ	σ^2
Gamma $\Gamma(\alpha,\beta)$	$\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta x} I_{(0, +\infty)}(x)$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$
Chi-squared $\chi_k^2 = \Gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$\frac{1}{2^{k/2}\Gamma(k/2)} x^{k/2-1} e^{-x/2} I_{(0,+\infty)}(x)$	k	2k
Student's t_{ν}	$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\nu\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)}\left(1+\frac{x^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$	0	$\frac{\nu}{\nu - 2} \text{ for } \nu > 2$

Distribution	Moment-generating function	Characteristic function		
Degenerate δ_a	e^{ta}	e^{ita}		
Bernoulli $B(1,p)$	$1 - p + pe^t$	$1 - p + pe^{it}$		
Geometric $Geo(p)$	$\frac{pe^t}{1 - (1 - p)e^t},$ $t < -\ln(1 - p)$	$\frac{pe^{it}}{1 - (1 - p)e^{it}}$		
Binomial $B(n, p)$	$(1 - p + pe^t)^n$	$(1 - p + pe^{it})^n$		
Negative Binomial $NB(r, p)$	$\frac{(1-p)^r}{(1-pe^t)^r}$	$\frac{(1-p)^r}{(1-pe^{it})^r}$		
Poisson $Pois(\lambda)$	$e^{\lambda(e^t-1)}$	$e^{\lambda(e^{it}-1)}$		
Uniform (continuous) $U(a, b)$	$\begin{cases} \frac{e^{tb} - e^{ta}}{t(b-a)} & \text{for } t \neq 0\\ 1 & \text{for } t = 0 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} \frac{e^{itb} - e^{ita}}{it(b-a)} & \text{for } t \neq 0\\ 1 & \text{for } t = 0 \end{cases}$		
Uniform (discrete) $DU(a, b)$	$\frac{e^{at} - e^{(b+1)t}}{(b-a+1)(1-e^t)}$	$\frac{e^{it\mu}}{(b-a+1)(1-e^{it})}$ $e^{it\mu}$		
Laplace $L(\mu, b)$	$\frac{e^{t\mu}}{1 - b^2 t^2}, \ t < 1/b$	$\frac{e^{it\mu}}{1+b^2t^2}$		
Normal $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$	$e^{t\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}$	$e^{it\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}$		
Chi-squared χ_k^2	$(1-2t)^{-\frac{k}{2}}$	$(1-2it)^{-\frac{k}{2}}$		
Noncentral chi-squared $\chi_k^2(\lambda)$	$e^{\lambda t/(1-2t)}(1-2t)^{-\frac{k}{2}}$	$e^{i\lambda t/(1-2it)}(1-2it)^{-\frac{k}{2}}$		
Gamma $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$	$\left(1 - \frac{t}{\beta}\right)^{-\alpha}, \ t < \beta$	$\left(1 - \frac{it}{\beta}\right)^{-\alpha}$		
Exponential $Exp(\lambda)$	$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}, t < \lambda$	$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$		
Multivariate normal $N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$	$e^{\mathbf{t}^T\left(oldsymbol{\mu}+rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{t} ight)}$	$e^{\mathbf{t}^T \left(ioldsymbol{\mu} - rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{t} ight)}$		
Cauchy $Cauchy(\mu, \theta)$	Does not exist	$e^{it\mu-\theta t }$		
Multivariate Cauchy $MultiCauchy(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$	Does not exist	$e^{i\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \sqrt{\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{t}}}$		