

# Methodology

See [this paper](#) for a detailed explanation of the methodology and research.

We calculate two metrics for access to public high schools:

The **Access Metric**  $A_j$  combines the demand and supply for high schools in a region. It represents high school capacity adjusted for distance, nearby demand, and competition between nearby schools. It is calculated using the 3-Step Floating Catchment Area (3SFCA) method for each census tract. Here's the process:

1. Measure the *education supply using each high school's location and capacity*.
2. Divide the *supply* by the number of children aged 15 to 17 years in the area (*demand*), resulting in a measure for *demand-adjusted supply*  $R_j$ .
3. Adjust for *distance* from the school to the students  $W_{ij}$  and *competition* from nearby schools  $G_{ij}$ .
4. Sum up all access levels from each census tract to all other schools within 16 km to get the overall access for a census tract.

$$A_i = \sum_{j \in \{Dist(i,j) < 16km\}} R_j W_{ij} G_{ij}$$

The **Quality-Access Metric**  $H_i$  is measured similarly to *Access Metric* but also accounts for the quality of each school. It is calculated as follows:

1. Calculate the access-weighted average quality of schools in the region (using the Primary Education Development Index - IDEB)  $Q_i$ .
2. Multiply the Access-Metric by the quality measure.

$$H_i = A_i Q_i$$

Both metrics are scaled to each state, reflecting how public high school policy and management is done at the state level in Brazil.

The final metrics are a composite of several aspects of access and do not have a direct translation to a countable value such as 'teachers per student.' It is best thought of as a *relative* indicator of access - it does not tell you how much access a neighborhood has, but rather which ones have the most access. *It makes assumptions about how people choose schools and value quality and distance costs.* As such, the absolute value of the metric can be quite sensitive to changes in these assumptions, but the relative comparisons, which are the main interest of this research, are robust.

**Map Representation:** The dots on the map represent the estimated locations of students, each dot represents a group of ten students. Demographic data such as population, income, and racial distribution are gathered from the 2010 Demographic Census. School information is collected from the 2020 School Census.