Calculating Coefficients for Fourier Expansions of Siegel Modular Forms

Beau Horenberger and Kirk Bonney

University of Idaho

March 27, 2019



Plan of Attack

- Modular Forms
 - Modular Forms and Periodicity
 - Generalizing Modular Forms
- Siegel Modular Forms and their Coefficients
 - Properties of Siegel Modular Forms
 - Binary Quadratic Forms and Fourier Expansions
- Our Work
 - Divisibility and Binary Quadratic Forms
 - Equivalence and Higher Levels
- 4 Conclusion



Modular Forms

- Generalize periodicity f(x + kp) = f(x), where p is the period, $k \in \mathbb{R}$
- Modular forms are functions on complex numbers with a positive imaginary part.
- $\forall z \in H$ and for all matrices in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, modular forms satisfy,

$$f\left(\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}\right) = (cz + d)^k f(z)$$

 A convenient consequence: the periodicity allows for Fourier Expansions!

Fourier Expansions for Modular Forms

• What does a Fourier expansion look like?

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0} c(n)e^{2\pi i n \tau}$$

- This is just sine and cosine waves added together
- Our object of interest is c(n).
 - They reveal a lot about how $f(\tau)$ "looks"
 - We have lots of these documented on websites like LMFDB

Generalizing Modular Forms

- Siegel modular forms are functions on complex symmetric matrices with positive imaginary parts
- It also satisfies some behavior, but where modular forms are periodic on a subset of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, Siegel modular forms are periodic on a subset of the **symplectic group**:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{g}(N) = \\ \left\{ \gamma \in GL_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| \gamma^{T} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l_{g} \\ -l_{g} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l_{g} \\ -l_{g} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma \equiv l_{2g} \mod N \right\}$$

- We call g the **genus**
- This sets us up to describe periodicity on Siegel modular forms

Convenient transition

- Our work focuses on Siegel paramodular forms
 - This just means a Siegel modular form of genus 2.
- Since we have periodicity on some subset of $\Gamma_g(N)$ (trust us, we do), we have a Fourier expansion for our Siegel paramodular forms
- And now, we are interested in learning about these expansions and their Fourier coefficients

Coefficients and Binary Quadratic Forms

Our new Fourier expansion looks like:

$$F(Z) = \sum_{S \in A(N)^+} a(S)e^{2\pi i tr(SZ)}$$

- Our coefficients, a(S), are a different this time: they're indexed on $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in A(N)^+$, matrices where $N|a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, a > 0, and $ac b^2 > 0$
- It is common to think of these as **binary quadratic forms**, equations of the form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$

Calculating New Coefficients

• We have: sets of coefficients a(n) and their respective indexes $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in A(N)^+$

• We have: five formulas which produce new coefficients for subsets of our current coefficients. Example 1:

$$a_{\chi}(S) = p^{1-k}\chi(2\beta) \sum_{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} \chi(b) a \left(S \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -bp^{-1} \\ p \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Determining Which Coefficients Have Solutions

- There are many matrix operations occurring here
- We know our matrices have integer values
- It turned out a minority of observed samples have solutions for our example!

An Example Claim

Claim:

Let S be a binary quadratic form such that $S = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}$ with discriminant D such that $p^4|x$ and $p \nmid 2(bx + py)/p^2$,

$$S' = (S\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -bp^{-1} \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}]^{-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} x & (bx + py)/p^2 \\ (bx + py)/p^2 & (b^2x + 2bpy + p^2z)/p^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

. Then S' is integer-valued $\implies p^2||D$ and $p \nmid z$

Equivalence and Generally Making Things Harder

- Not all Siegel modular forms are so easy!
- Once we know which coefficients map to new coefficients, we must also be sure they are unique
- This depends on the level of the Siegel modular form, but the problem is analogous to the number theory problem of equivalence of binary quadratic forms
- For more information, see David Cox's "Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$ "

Thanks for your patience!

Thank you for your time! We hope you enjoyed!