Quicksort Basic Plan · shuffle the array o partition so that, for some i - entry a Cj ] is in place - no larger entry to the left of j - no smaller entry to the right of y · sort each priece recurrively Partitioning I) · repeat with pointers i and j owns · scare i from left to right as long as a li]< a [b] · scow j from right to left as long as alj] > a[10] · exchange a [i] with a [j] 1) . when pointors own - exchange a [6]

e partitioning in place: using an exha array makes paltitioning lation (and table) bout not worth the cost · forminating the loop: testing if the pointors coop is a bot hickier than it might · staying in bounds: the (j = - b) test is redundant (why) but the (i = = hi) test is not o preserving kandomnes - shuffling is readed for porformance guarantee. · equal boys - when duplicates are present it is better to stop on keys egual to the partitioning iku 's key

duick fort: Lest case analy 83 hert: no. of compans is " Xlg X worst: no. of compares is ~ 1/2 N2 Lo if the transform shuffle places the ortray in order (sorted) o average case analysis Proposition: the average no of company CN to quick cost an ashay of N dishinct keys is [ ~ 2× lu + ] and the number of exchanges is [~ 1/3 X/ lu X] A CH-1 + Co

partitioning

partitioning

probability

multiply by N

$$N C_N = N(N+1) + 2(C_0 + C_1 + ... + C_{N-1})$$

In bho of the same equation for  $(N-1)$ 
 $N C_N - (N-1) C_{N-1} = 2N + 2C_{N-1}$ 
 $N (N-1) - (N-1) N = N^2 + N - (N^2 - N)$ 
 $N C_N = (N-1) C_{N-1} + 2 \cdot C_{N-1} + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N-1) C_{N-1} + 2 \cdot C_{N-1} + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 
 $N C_N = (N+1) C_N - 1 + 2N$ 

 $C_{N} = 2\left(N+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{N+1}\right)$  $\sim 2(x+1)$ C4 ~ 2 (N/1) lu 4 ~ 1.33 × 194/ · worst care: no. of comparis is guadratic ( noudone -> so not really) · av. case: 35% More compares than merge sort (farter in practice, less data move.) \* can limit the depth of martine by doing the Small subarray before the large asbarray [Quickgort] Is not stable !!!
Improvement invertion soit for time
sub arrays

· Lest droice of pivot item - median · extinuate frue median by taking median of sawyle · median-of-3 (random items) Selection - given an avray of X mumbers find the kth sma: Mestir mumber o (XI bo ) upper bound - sort e easy 11 upper bound for k = 1, 2, 3... o easy N lower bound is sclection as hard as sorting? Nlow or cau it be done linear (11)

Partition array so that · a [j] is in place · no larger entry to the left of o no muller entry to the right of = rejeat in one subarray, depending on finished when j equals to k = Hathermatical analy sis [prop. / quick select takes /imear time ou avorage Duplicate keys o merge sort - between 1/2 1/18 11 and N/gN o quick sort - quadratic time if we don't stop partitioning on equal keys . Hop sours on Heurs equal to the partitioning item

3-way partitioning · partition alway ento 3 parts (pw It 8t high privot partition item · entire between (It) and (at) are equal to the partition item · no longer entries to the left of lt , no smaller entries to the right of st · v = partitioning item a [low] . Scan i from left to right a) \a[i] \( \mu \) swap a[lt], a[i] indement lt, i b) lati] >v] swap atst), ati] c) a [i] == 5 / 4+i

o Sorting lower bound o quick sort with 3-way partitioning is europy optimal · linearithmic to linear in a broad class of applications Sy sew torb Astrays. Fort () - conuplex as - primitive types Mergesort - Objects \* Tukey's winther - median of the median of 3 samples (3 entries each) - , kilfer imput exists - no roudone shuffling