HITACHI	FORM	
⊕ Hitachi Rail Honolulu JV	DETAILED IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM	

PART 1 – Change Management			
Change Reference:			
Change Title:			
Source of Change:	☐ HRH ☐ DTS	☐ Other (specify):	
Change Sponsor:			
Anticipated date of Implementation:			
Type of Change:	☐ Technical	☐ Operational	☐ Organizational
	☐ Other (specify):		
PART 2 – Description of Change			
TART 2 Description of change			
PART 3 – Benefits of Change			
PART 4 – Impacts of Change			
PART 4 – Impacts of Change Which staff are affected?	☐ HRH Operations	☐ HRH Maintenance	☐ HRH Support Staff
	☐ HRH Operations ☐ Other (Specify):	☐ HRH Maintenance	☐ HRH Support Staff
	☐ HRH Operations ☐ Other (Specify):	☐ HRH Maintenance	☐ HRH Support Staff
Which staff are affected?		☐ HRH Maintenance	☐ HRH Support Staff
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and		☐ HRH Maintenance	☐ HRH Support Staff
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and		☐ HRH Maintenance	☐ HRH Support Staff
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and		☐ HRH Maintenance	☐ HRH Support Staff
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation:	☐ Other (Specify):	☐ HRH Maintenance	☐ HRH Support Staff
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and	☐ Other (Specify):		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation:	☐ Other (Specify):		☐ HRH Support Staff ☐ Other (Specify):
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation:	☐ Other (Specify):		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts?	☐ Other (Specify):		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and	☐ Other (Specify):		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and	☐ Other (Specify):		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and	☐ Other (Specify):		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and mitigation:	☐ Other (Specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ HDOT Permission		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and	☐ Other (Specify):		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and mitigation: Is the Core Systems Contract Impacted? Details of effect and	☐ Other (Specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ HDOT Permission		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and mitigation: Is the Core Systems Contract Impacted?	☐ Other (Specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ HDOT Permission		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and mitigation: Is the Core Systems Contract Impacted? Details of effect and	☐ Other (Specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ HDOT Permission		
Which staff are affected? Details of effect and mitigation: Are there Regulatory Impacts? Details of effect and mitigation: Is the Core Systems Contract Impacted? Details of effect and	☐ Other (Specify): ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ HDOT Permission		

Document No.: HNL-09621 Revision No.: 00.00

HITACHI	FORM	
∰Hitachi Rail Honolulu JV	DETAILED IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM	

Is O&M Performance Impacted?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Details of effect and			
mitigation:			
Is the Configuration Impacted?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Don't know	
Details of impact and			
reference to Configuration			
Management Activity			
Is the Environment Impacted?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Details of effect and			
mitigation:			
PART 5 – Costs / Savings			
Capital Expenditure			
Operating Expenditure			
Risk / Contingency			
Other			
PART 6 – Detailed Safety Assessi	ment		
Confirmed Safety Category:	☐ Level 0 (No Safety Impact)	☐ Level 1 (Mi	nor)
	☐ Level 2 (Major)	☐ Significant	
Risk Scoping	Organizational Factors		
	☐ Workload	☐ Work hours	☐ Rosters & fatigue
	\square Support & Supervision	\square Reporting Lines	
	Rail Safety Factors		
	☐ Operational Procedures a	nd Methods of Work	
	\square Station / Train operations	☐ Timetables	☐ Interfaces
	☐ Maintenance Standards, P	Procedures and Methods of Wo	rk
	\square New and Altered Assets /	Equipment	
	Physical Factors		
	☐ Safety hazards	☐ Biological hazards	☐ Physical hazards
	☐ Ergonomic hazards	☐ Chemical hazards	
	Safety Management System		
	☐ Risk Profile	☐ SMS Documentation	☐ Safety Certificate
	☐ Safety Critical Posts	☐ Training & Competence	
	☐ Other (specify):		
	Any identified factors must b appendix to this assessment	e supported by risk assessmen	t and provided as an

Document No.: HNL-09621

Revision No.: 00.00

HITACHI	FORM	
∰Hitachi Rail Honolulu JV	DETAILED IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM	

Assumptions and Limitations	Any key assumptions either underpinning the risk assessment, safety validation as a whole or the implementation strategy must be highlighted, as must any limitations which materially affect the quality of safety validation carried out.
Disposition Statement	Where appropriate, a disposition statement should be prepared comparing existing control measures with those proposed. Where controls are withdrawn or reduced, the safety validation should demonstrate that the Sponsoring Manager believes that the risk will not be increased by the removal or reduction in control measures.
	For organizational changes where job roles change or are withdrawn, a safety disposition statement must be completed to show how roles and responsibilities are transferred from the current organization to the new one. Where it is proposed that the responsibility for a risk control measure will not be transferred to the new organization, the disposition statement should include the supporting rationale.

PART 7 – Action Plan	
Action Plan	 Each change request must include a plan for implementing the change. The level of detail and steps in the implementation plan will be proportionate to the complexity of the changes proposed and the associated risk. The following should be considered: Timescales for implementation, including transitional arrangements (e.g. temporary control measures, temporary allocation of responsibilities) where applicable. Amendment or updating of job descriptions, procedures, risk assessments and associated safety management system documentation. Identification of those affected by the change, including contractors, suppliers and interfacing organizations. Communication of the change including notifications by appropriate means (noticeboards, intranet, campaigns etc.) and briefing of affected post holders and/or stakeholder organizations.
Monitoring and Review	The change documentation must describe the processes and responsibilities for monitoring implementation and reviewing the effectiveness of the change at appropriate points following implementation.

Document No.: HNL-09621
Related Procedure: HNL-09619 UNCONTROLLED COPY WHEN PRINTED Revision No.: 00.00
Review Date: 3/27/2020

HITACHI	FORM	
∰Hitachi Rail Honolulu JV	DETAILED IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM	

PART 8 – Change Control Board	Decision	
CCB Meeting Date:		
CCB Decision:	☐ Accepted ☐ Accepted with Comments ☐ Rejected	
	Also refer to Action Log and Meeting minutes	
CCB Comments Including conditions, caveats,		
restrictions (and requirements		
for re-submission if applicable)		
Accepted:	Position	Signature
	Corporate Services and Contracts Manager	
	Head of HSE	
	nead of rise	
	Head of Operations	
	HR Manager	
	Head of Engineering and Maintenance	
Approved:		
	Head of H	ISE
	On behalf of the Change Control Board	
PART 9 – Change Closure		
Review Results:	On the hasis of evidence provided by the Chi	ange Spansor, the CCR should record an
Review Results.	On the basis of evidence provided by the Change Sponsor, the CCB should record an assessment of the effectiveness of the change including any lessons learnt following	
	implementation.	
Approved:		
	Head of F	I SE
	On hehalf of the Change Control Board	

Document No.: HNL-09621 Revision No.: 00.00