Project Referee Form

Software Architectures – Fall 2022

Referee group ID	**G11**	Student group ID	**G12**

Referees' names	1- HamidReza Sadeghi	2-	3-
Students' names	1- Saman Keshvari	2- Nafiseh Ahmadi	3- Pooya Sohufi
Review dates	1 Bah 1401	1 Bah 1401	1 Bah 1401
Review times	12 - 13	12 - 13	12 - 13

Evaluation criteria	Maximum score	Received score	Notes (Required)
Implementation quality (Implemented tool works correctly on benchmark projects)	40	40	• Their Code was written in python Language • They have a dataset that contain 14.317 Java Project • 1300 Verbose File was selected from 14.317 Project that
Documentation quality	20	20	• Comprehensive documentation with excellent quality in simple and efficient understanding
Presentation quality (ability to answer referees' questions)	15	15	• Each judge asked 3 questions, The presenters answered these questions with complete mastery. • In general, they had an excellent presentation.
Adhering to Clean Code principles	15	15	• Considering the improvement of the article and the excellent performance of people in the development of the article in the form of theory and implementation, the work has been clean and comprehensive in both aspects.
Teamwork quality	10	10	• Congratulations for this strong and integrated working group.
Sum	100	100	

Referees score: (Will be filled by the TA team): S = 100 - |A-B| where A is TA score and B is referee score to the group