

# A Comparison of Classification Algorithms:

## Classification of celestial objects: stars, galaxies and quasars

| Classifiers  | Accuracy Score                     | Strengths   | Weaknesses  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>1. Logistic Regression</b><br><br><b>cross validation logistic regression</b><br><br><b>with regularization</b><br><br>important features:<br>psfMag_u, psfMag_g ,<br>petromag_g , gr, ri, ug | 0.97<br><br>0.968<br><br>0.9693333 | 1. Most interpretable machine learning algorithms<br><br>2. Regularized to avoid overfitting  | Underperform when there are multiple or non-linear decision boundaries  |
| <b>2. SVM classifier</b><br><br>Using<br><b>“OneVsRestClassifier”</b>  | 0.954                              | 1. Non-linear decision boundaries<br><br>2. Robust against overfitting, especially in high-dimensional space<br><br>3. Best classification performance (accuracy) on the training data. | 1. Don't scale well to larger datasets<br><br>2. Random forests are usually preferred over SVM's.   |
| <b>3. KMeans</b>   | -                                  | Fast, simple, and surprisingly flexible   | If the true underlying clusters in the data are not globular, then K-Means will produce poor clusters   |
| <b>4. KNN classifier</b>   | 0.904                              | 1. Robust to noisy training data<br>2. Effective for large training data  | 1. It is costly and lazy,<br>2. Requires full training data plus depends on the value of k<br>3. Has the issue of dimensionality because of the distance  |
| <b>5. Ensemble Classifications</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Random Forest Classifier</b></li> <li><b>XGB classifier</b></li> </ul>  | 0.97466667<br><br>0.9727           | 1. Perform well in practice<br>2. Robust to outliers,<br>3. scalable,<br>4. Naturally model non-linear decision boundaries<br>5. Overfitting is less                                    | 1. Analysing theoretically is difficult<br>2. Large number of decision trees can slow down the algorithm in making real-time predictions.<br><br>3. If the data consists of categorical variables with different number of levels, then |

|  |        |  |  |
|--|--------|--|--|
| Important Features:<br>Ug, iz, ri, psfFlux_u |        | 6.Fast but not in all cases<br>7.Most effective and versatile<br>8.More robust to noise.<br>9.Can be grown in parallel.<br>10.Runs efficiently on large databases.<br>11.Has higher accuracy | the algorithm gets biased in favour of those attributes  |
| <b>7. Decision Tree classifier</b>           | 0.9384 | 1.can handle missing values nicely<br>2.best suited when the target function has discrete output values  | 1.The more the number of decisions in a tree, less is the accuracy<br>2.do not fit well for continuous variables and result in instability and classification plateaus.<br>3.creating large decision trees that contain several branches is a complex and time-consuming task. |