



## Comparison between python fit and Type977 predictions for heat pump SIN-8TU

## Parametric Heat Pump calculation

Dani Carbonell

dani.carbonell@spf.ch

2018/04/16 at: 11:19:53 h





Table 1: Fitted coefficients for the heat pump.

Coefficient	Description	
	1	[kW]
$P_{Q_1}$	1 <sup>st</sup> condenser polynomial coefficient	7.0833e+00
$P_{Q_2}$	$2^{st}$ condenser polynomial coefficient	7.6775e + 01
$P_{Q_3}$	$3^{st}$ condenser polynomial coefficient	2.3902e+01
$P_{Q_4}$	$4^{st}$ condenser polynomial coefficient	-9.7041e+01
$P_{Q_5}$	$5^{st}$ condenser polynomial coefficient	-1.5929e+01
$P_{Q_6}$	$6^{st}$ condenser polynomial coefficient	-1.1814e+02
$P_{COP_1}$	1 <sup>st</sup> COP polynomial coefficient	6.8119e+00
$P_{COP_2}$	$2^{st}$ COP polynomial coefficient	7.7473e + 01
$P_{COP_3}$	$3^{st}$ COP polynomial coefficient	-8.0631e+00
$P_{COP_4}$	$4^{st}$ COP polynomial coefficient	-3.1931e+02
$P_{COP_5}$	$5^{st}$ COP polynomial coefficient	-2.1597e+00
$P_{COP_6}$	6 <sup>st</sup> COP polynomial coefficient	-6.5328e + 01
$\dot{m}_{cond}$	$1400.00 \ [kg/h]$	
$\dot{m}_{evap}$	$4200.00 \ [kg/h]$	
$RMS_{COP}$	5.20e - 02	
$RMS_{Q_{cond}}$	1.69e - 02	
$RMS_{W_{comp}}$	2.62e - 02	
Fit model	Average Temperature	

Table 2: Differences between python fit and Trnsys predicitons. Number of analyzed data points :19

Type	error- $COP_{error}$	error- $W_{comp}$	error- $Q_{cond}$
	[-]	[W]	[W]
Sum	0.0002	0.0440	0.2606
Avg	0.0000	0.0023	0.0137
Max	0.0000	0.0060	0.0221