



## Full Paper Acceptance Letter

**PAPER ID:**KS008

**TITLE:** Comparative Study of Query Types on Query Refinement

**Author(s):** Zahra Taheri: University of Windsor, Canada; Ziad Kobti: University of Windsor, Canada; Hossein Fani: University of Windsor, Canada

To whom it may concerns,

We are pleased to inform that the above full paper has been accepted for presentation and publication at the:

2025 9th International Conference on Natural Language Processing and Information Retrieval (NLPIR 2025)

**Date:** December 14-16, 2025

**Venue:** Fukuoka, Japan

**Website:** [www.nlpir.net](http://www.nlpir.net)

This paper has been reviewed and approved by the Technical Program Committee. We warmly invite the authors to attend the conference and present this work at the scheduled sessions.

Publication: Springer book series: Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, indexed by Ei Compendex and Scopus.

**Register Here:** <https://www.zmeeting.org/register/nlpir2025>

**Deadline:** September 05, 2025

Please follow the instructions to complete registration:

1. Format paper according to the template: <https://nlpir.net/Latex-template.zip>
2. Register and finish payment via the above link.
3. Upload final paper to the registration system.

If there are any questions, please feel free to contact us:

**Email:** [nlpir@asr.org](mailto:nlpir@asr.org)

We look forward to welcoming you to Fukuoka, Japan and to your active participation in NLPIR 2025!

Sincerely,  
NLPIR 2025 Organizing Committee



# Review Form of NLPir 2025

<http://www.nlpir.net/>

**Paper ID:** KS008

**Paper Title:** Comparative Study of Query Types on Query Refinement

	Please rate the following: (5 excellent, 1 poor)	5	4	3	2	1
●	Relevance to the conference	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Contribution to academic debate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Structure of the paper	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Standard of English	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Appropriateness of the research/study method	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Use and number of keywords/key phrases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Discussion and conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Reference list, adequate and correctly cited	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## RECOMMENDATION

- ☐ Publish unaltered
- ☒ Publish in minor, required changes
- ☐ Publish after major required changes
- ☐ Review again after major changes
- ☐ Reject (a major rewrite is required; encourage resubmission)
- ☐ Reject (paper is seriously flawed; do not encourage resubmission.)

## COMMENTS

Specific reviewer comments to be passed to the author/s. *Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.*

The paper is well justified, and the experiment is detailed with precision. The only suggestion I have is to reorganize slightly the Experiment and Results sections so that some of the results can be compared, in broad strokes and succinctly, to the previous research in query refinement. This might transform the Results section into something more similar to a Discussion section.

The reference is recommended cite in this paper:

Edgars Rencis, "Application of a Configurable Keywords-Based Query Language to the Healthcare Domain," Journal of Advances in Information Technology, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 142-147, May 2021. doi: 10.12720/jait.12.2.142-147