



Full Paper Acceptance Letter

PAPER ID:KS027

TITLE: Information Sources for Query Refinement: A Comprehensive Survey

Author(s): Zahra Taheri: University of Windsor, Canada; Mahdis Saeedi: University of Windsor, Canada; Ziad Kobti: University of Windsor, Canada; Hossein Fani: University of Windsor, Canada

To whom it may concerns,

We are pleased to inform that the above full paper has been accepted for presentation and publication at the:

2025 9th International Conference on Natural Language Processing and Information Retrieval (NLPIR 2025)

Date: December 14-16, 2025

Venue: Fukuoka, Japan

Website: www.nlpir.net

This paper has been reviewed and approved by the Technical Program Committee. We warmly invite the authors to attend the conference and present this work at the scheduled sessions.

Publication: Springer book series: Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, indexed by Ei Compendex and Scopus.

Register Here: <https://www.zmeeting.org/register/nlpir2025>

Deadline: September 05, 2025

Please follow the instructions to complete registration:

1. Format paper according to the template: <https://nlpir.net/Latex-template.zip>
2. Register and finish payment via the above link.
3. Upload final paper to the registration system.

If there are any questions, please feel free to contact us:

Email: nlpir@asr.org

We look forward to welcoming you to Fukuoka, Japan and to your active participation in NLPIR 2025!

Sincerely,
NLPIR 2025 Organizing Committee



Review Form of NLPir 2025

<http://www.nlpir.net/>

Paper ID: KS027

Paper Title: Information Sources for Query Refinement: A Comprehensive Survey

	Please rate the following: (5 excellent, 1 poor)	5	4	3	2	1
●	Relevance to the conference	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Contribution to academic debate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Structure of the paper	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Standard of English	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Appropriateness of the research/study method	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Use and number of keywords/key phrases	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Discussion and conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
●	Reference list, adequate and correctly cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

RECOMMENDATION

- ☐ Publish unaltered
- ☐ Publish in minor, required changes
- ☒ Publish after major required changes
- ☐ Review again after major changes
- ☐ Reject (a major rewrite is required; encourage resubmission)
- ☐ Reject (paper is seriously flawed; do not encourage resubmission.)

COMMENTS

Specific reviewer comments to be passed to the author/s. *Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.*

The paper provides a comprehensive survey about Information Sources for Query Refinement. The paper is well-organization and easy to follow. To improve the paper, the authors should consider the following suggestions:

- Providing citations/URLs for all resources mentioned
- Add tables or images to summarize characteristics of resources and offer recommendations for their use
- Conduct a trade-off analysis
- Provide more recently published references