# Regression Analysis I

# Nonlinear Regression

#### Hossein Moradi Rekabdarkolaee

South Dakota State University

email: hossein.moradirekabdarkolaee@sdstate.edu.

Office: Arch, Math, and Engineering Building, Room: 254.

# Multiple Linear Regression

 A multiple linear regression model can be written in matrix notation as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon},$$

where

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$oldsymbol{eta} = egin{bmatrix} eta_0 \ eta_1 \ dots \ eta_D \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{\epsilon} = egin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \ \epsilon_2 \ dots \ \epsilon_D \end{bmatrix}.$$

# Nonlinear Regression

- The basic assumption in linear regression model: model is linear in terms of  $\theta$ 's.
- Consider a model

$$y_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta) + \epsilon_i$$

where parameters  $\theta$  in the model is nonlinear.

- Example:
  - Exponential model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 \exp\{\beta_1 \mathbf{x}_i\} + \epsilon_i.$$

2

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \exp\{\beta_2 \mathbf{x}_i\} + \epsilon_i.$$

Ogeneralized logistic model:

$$y_i = \frac{\beta_0}{1 + \exp\{-\mathbf{x}_i^T \beta\}} + \epsilon_i.$$

The data structure is the same as linear regression.

# Nonlinear Regression

• LS involves finding  $\hat{\theta}$  which minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\theta})]^2.$$

• If we assume normality assumption for error, i.e.  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  for all i, the likelihood function is

$$L(\theta, \sigma^2; \mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\theta})]^2 \right\}$$

• ML involves maximizing  $L(\theta, \sigma^2, \mathbf{x}_i)$  which is equivalent to LS.

# Nonlinear Regression

- Since these are nonlinear functions, finding an analytical solution for them can be difficult and sometimes infeasible.
- There are iterative procedures that can be used to find LS and ML estimates for  $\theta$  including, but not restricted to,
  - IRWLS (we talked about this in detail).
  - Gauss-Newton procedure.
  - Marquardt procedure.

#### Gauss-Newton procedure

- The Gauss-Newton procedure uses Taylor series around an initial value.
- We choose a set of starting values  $\theta_0 = (\theta_{1,0}, \theta_{2,0}, \dots, \theta_{p,0})^T$ .
- The first order Taylor Series expansion of  $f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta)$  around  $\theta = \theta_0$ , only contains linear terms:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i;\theta) \approx [f(\mathbf{x}_i;\theta)]_{\theta=\theta_0} + \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_i,\theta)}{\partial \theta_1}\right)_{\theta=\theta_0} (\theta_1 - \theta_{1,0}) + \ldots + \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_i,\theta)}{\partial \theta_p}\right)_{\theta=\theta_0} (\theta_p - \theta_{p,0})$$

• Letting  $w_{ji} = \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta_j}\right)_{\theta = \theta_0}$  and  $\gamma_j = (\theta_j - \theta_{j,0})$  then we have:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta) - f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta_0) \approx \gamma_1 w_{1i} + \ldots + \gamma_p w_{pi}.$$

• Thus,  $\gamma_j$  plays the regression coefficient role and  $w_{ji}$  are regressors and we have a linear regression structure.

### Gauss-Newton procedure

- This procedure is as follows:
  - ① Use OLS to regress  $y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta_0)$  versus  $w_{ji}$  to obtain  $\{\hat{\gamma}_{1,1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{p,1}\}.$
  - Since  $\gamma_j = \theta_j \theta_{j,0}$ , then  $\hat{\theta}_{j,1} = \hat{\gamma}_j + \theta_{j,0}$ . These are first iteration estimates of  $\theta$ .
  - **1** Use the  $\hat{\theta}_1$  as new starting value and repeat previous steps.
  - Continue this procedure until convergence.
- Any time we do nonlinear regression, we have collinearity problem. (Why??).
- At  $s^{th}$  stage, we have  $\hat{\theta}_s = \hat{\theta}_{s-1} + \hat{\gamma}_s$ .
- If  $\hat{\gamma}_s$  are poorly estimated, then the whole procedure can move to the wrong direction. (does this happen??)

### Gauss-Newton procedure

- One conservative alternative approach is to modify the general procedure
  - **①** Compute Gauss-Newton increment vector  $\hat{\gamma}_s$  for  $s^{th}$  iteration.
  - 2 Compute  $\hat{\theta}_s = \hat{\theta}_{s-1} + \hat{\gamma}_s$ .
  - 3 Compute SSE at each stage and if:
    - $SSE_s < SSE_{s-1}$  continue to the next iteration using  $\hat{\theta}_s$
    - $SSE_s > SSE_{s-1}$ , go back to step 2 and compute  $\hat{\theta}_s = \hat{\theta}_{s-1} + \frac{\hat{\gamma}_s}{2}$
  - Continue step (3) until either SSE goes down or increment has been halved 10 times.
  - Ontinue steps (1) to (4) until convergence.
- Most software use this approach.

# Marquardt procedure

- Since Collinearity usually exists in nonlinear regression, the alternative approach to Gauss-Newton is the Marquardt procedure which is very similar to Ridge regression.
- Collinearity causes  $W^TW$  to be ill-conditioned, i.e. large off-diagonal elements.
- The Marquardt procedure add a small positive constant (usually less than 2) to the diagonal elements of  $W^TW$ .
- This will reduce the size of  $\hat{\gamma}_s$  and its variance.
- At each iteration of the Marquardt procedure

$$\hat{\gamma}_s = (\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W} + k \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{z}; \ \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} - f(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta).$$

• We have to choose an optimal value for k



#### Marquardt procedure

- Since at each iteration, we want SSE to decrease, we require that  $SSE(\hat{\theta}_s) < SSE(\hat{\theta}_{s-1})$ .
- Since

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\mathcal{S}} = (\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W} + k \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{z},$$

Thus

$$\frac{var(\hat{\gamma}_s)}{\sigma^2} = (W^T W + k\mathbf{I})^{-1} W^T W (W^T W + k\mathbf{I})^{-1}$$

- Using eigenvalue decomposition approach, there exists an orthogonal matrix V such that  $V^TW^TWV = \Lambda$  and  $V^T(W^TW + \mathbf{I})V = \Lambda_k$  where  $\Lambda = diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p)$  and  $\Lambda_k = diag(\lambda_1 + k, \ldots, \lambda_p + k)$ .
- Then  $W^TW = V \Lambda V^T$  and  $W^TW + I = V \Lambda_k V^T$ .



# Marquardt procedure

Thus

$$\frac{var(\hat{\gamma}_s)}{\sigma^2} = (V\Lambda_k^{-1}V^T)V\Lambda V^T(V\Lambda_k^{-1}V^T) = V\Lambda_k^{-1}\Lambda\Lambda_k^{-1}V^T.$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{var(\hat{\gamma}_{i,s})}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\lambda_i}{(\lambda_i + k)^2}.$$

- Since in the nonlinear scenario, we do not have the intercept, we do not need to center the covariates z.
- However, we meed to scale so that the constant k is added to scale-free values.

Consider

$$z_i = y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta) = \mathbf{w}_i^T \gamma + \epsilon_i; \ \mathbf{w}_i = \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

- In order to do inference, we need to find the information matrix.
- Assuming normality of the errors, the likelihood is

$$L(\theta, \sigma^2; \mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\theta})]^2\right\}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial In[L(\theta, \sigma^2; \mathbf{x}_i)]}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\theta})] \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\theta})] \mathbf{w}_i$$

And

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{T}} \left( \frac{\partial ln[L(\theta, \sigma^{2}; \mathbf{x}_{i})]}{\partial \theta} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{T}} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y_{i} - f(\mathbf{x}_{i}; \hat{\theta})] \mathbf{w}_{i} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ y_{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}{\partial \theta^{T}} - f(\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}; \hat{\theta}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}{\partial \theta^{T}} - \mathbf{w}_{i} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_{i}; \hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta^{T}} \right\}$$

where  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}{\partial \theta^T}$  is the Hessian Matrix.

Thus, the information matrix is

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}(\theta) &= -E\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^T} \left(\frac{\partial ln[L(\theta, \sigma^2; \mathbf{x}_i)]}{\partial \theta}\right)\right\} \\ &= -E\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{y_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}{\partial \theta^T} - f(\partial \mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\theta}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}{\partial \theta^T} - \mathbf{w}_i \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta^T}\right\}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E(y_i) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}{\partial \theta^T} + \sum_{i=1}^n f(\partial \mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\theta}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}{\partial \theta^T} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{w}_i^T\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{w}_i^T = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} W^T W. \end{split}$$

- Thus the asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\theta$  is  $\mathbf{I}^{-1}(\theta) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{W})^{-1}$ .
- Recall that in linear regression,  $var(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1}$  exactly, not just asymptotically.
- Now consider properties of the estimated response. For some specific x = x<sub>0</sub>, asymptotically

$$\frac{var[f(\mathbf{x}_0, \hat{\theta})]}{\sigma^2} = \mathbf{w}_0^T (W^T W)^{-1} \mathbf{w}_0$$

where 
$$\mathbf{w}_0 = \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_0, \hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_0, \hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta_{\rho}}\right)^T$$



• To test  $H_0: \theta = 0$  versus  $H_1 \neq 0$ , an asymptotic test statistic is

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\theta}^T(W^TW)\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\sigma}^2}}$$

which approximately follow a t distribution with n-p degrees of freedom.

• To test a single parameter  $H_0 = \theta_j = \theta_{j,0}$ , the approximate test statistic is

$$t = \frac{\hat{ heta}_j - heta_{j,0}}{s_{ heta_j}}$$

which has n - p degrees of freedom and  $s_{\theta_j}$  is the square root of j-thdiagonal element of  $\hat{\sigma}^2(W^TW)^{-1}$ .

• Approximate  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval on mean response at  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is

$$f(\mathbf{x}_0, \hat{\theta}) \pm t_{n-p,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\mathbf{w}_0^T (W^T W)^{-1} \mathbf{w}_0}$$

and for prediction of the individual response this interval is

$$f(\mathbf{x}_0, \hat{\theta}) \pm t_{n-p,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{w}_0^T (W^T W)^{-1} \mathbf{w}_0}$$

- For diagnostics, the Hat matrix is  $\mathbf{H} = W(W^T W)^{-1} W^T$  with diagonal elements  $h_{ii} = \mathbf{w}_i^T (W^T W)^{-1} \mathbf{W}_i$ .
- For outlier diagnostics, studentized residuals are  $r_i = \frac{y_i f(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\theta})}{\hat{\sigma}(1 h_{ii}}$ .
- For influence diagnostics a DFFITS-type statistic is  $r_i \left(\frac{h_{ii}}{1-h_{ii}}\right)^{1/2}$