

# Time Series Analysis

## Models for Non-Stationary Time Series

Hossein Moradi Rekabdarkolaee

South Dakota State University

**email:** [hossein.moradirekabdarkolaee@sdstate.edu](mailto:hossein.moradirekabdarkolaee@sdstate.edu).

**Office:** CAME Building, *Room: 240.*

# Non-Stationary Time Series

- Any time series without a constant mean over time is **non-stationary**.
- Models of the form

$$Y_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t, \quad E(\epsilon_t) = 0$$

where  $\mu_t$  is a nonconstant mean function and  $\epsilon_t$  is a zero-mean, and stationary series.

# Exploratory Data Analysis

- Check if a given time series is stationary:
  - $\mu_t$  is constant,
  - $\sigma_t^2$  is constant,
  - $\gamma_{s,t}$  is function of  $k = |t - s|$ .
- If not, try to make it stationary using some of the following methods:
  - De-trending,
  - Differencing,
  - Transformations.

# De-trending

- Plot time series and/or its moving average: if  $\mu_t$  seems changing, try to estimate  $\mu_t$  and subtract it from series

$$\underbrace{Y_t}_{\text{Observed TS}} = \underbrace{\mu_t}_{\text{Trend}} + \underbrace{\epsilon_t}_{\text{Stationary 0-mean TS}}, \quad \text{estimate trend } \hat{\mu}_t$$

- Then work with

$$\underbrace{\hat{\epsilon}_t}_{\text{de-trended TS}} = \underbrace{Y_t}_{\text{Observed TS}} - \hat{\mu}_t$$

- Since  $E[Y_t] = \mu_t$ , we have  $E[Y_t - \hat{\mu}_t] \approx 0$
- How do we estimate  $\mu_t$ ?
- For deterministic trend (i.e. function of  $t$ ), we can use regression to estimate  $\mu_t$ .

# Stationarity Through Differencing

- Alternative to fitting linear trend is differencing:

$$Y_t = a + bt + \epsilon_t, \quad \Rightarrow \quad (Y_t - Y_{t-1} = b + (\epsilon_t - \epsilon_{t-1}))$$

- Note that if  $\{\epsilon_t\}$  be stationary then  $\{\epsilon_t - \epsilon_{t-1}\}$  is also stationary.
- Differencing is more appropriate when  $\{\epsilon_t\}$  is random walk then  $\{Y_t\}$  is random walk with drift.
  - Use when  $\{Y_t\}$  seems to “hover” around linear trend
  - In this case, de-trending is not enough to make series stationary

# Stationarity Through Differencing

- Define first order difference operator  $\nabla$  as

$$\nabla Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1}$$

- We can extend the differencing to higher ( $d^{\text{th}}$ ) order differences.
- For example when  $d = 2$ , we have

$$\nabla^2 Y_t = Y_t - 2Y_{t-1} + Y_{t-2}$$

# Differencing vs De-trending

- One **advantage** of differencing over detrending to remove trend is that no parameters are estimated in taking differences.
- One **disadvantage** of differencing is that it does not provide an “estimate” of the error process  $\{\epsilon_t\}$
- If an estimate of the error process is crucial, detrending may be more appropriate.
- If the goal is only to coerce the data to stationarity, differencing may be preferred.

# Stationarity Through Differencing

- Consider again the AR(1) model

$$Y_t = \phi Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

- We have seen that assuming  $\epsilon_t$  is a true **innovation** (that is,  $\epsilon_t$  is uncorrelated with  $Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \dots$ ), we must have  $|\phi| < 1$ .
- How about if  $|\phi| > 1$  ?
- For instance, let  $\phi = 3$ , then we have

$$Y_t = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} 3^i \epsilon_{t-i} + 3^t Y_0$$



# Stationarity Through Differencing

- Consider a non-stationary AR(1) model with  $\phi = 1$  (Random walk)

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

- We can rewrite this as follows and make it a stationary process ( $\{\epsilon_t\}$ ).

$$\nabla Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1} = \epsilon_t$$

# Stationarity Through Differencing

- Now consider a different approach. Let

$$Y_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t^*$$

where  $\mu_t$  is either a deterministic or a stochastic series that changes slowly over time.

- Suppose  $\mu_t$  is approximately **constant over every two consecutive time points**.
- Then, we can estimate  $\mu_t$  at  $t$  by choosing  $\beta_0$  that minimized the following

$$\sum_{j=0}^1 (Y_{t-j} - \beta_0)^2 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_t = \frac{1}{2}(Y_t + Y_{t-1})$$

then **detrended** series at time  $t$  is

$$Y_t - \hat{\mu}_t = Y_t - \frac{1}{2}(Y_t + Y_{t-1}) = \frac{1}{2}(Y_t - Y_{t-1}) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla Y_t$$

# Stationarity Through Differencing

- A second set of assumptions might be that  $\mu_t$  is stochastic and changes slowly over time by a random walk model.
- That is

$$Y_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + \epsilon_t^* \quad \underbrace{\{\epsilon_t\} \& \{\epsilon_t^*\}}_{\text{independent}} \sim WN$$

then,

$$\nabla Y_t = \nabla \mu_t + \nabla \epsilon_t = \epsilon_t^* + \epsilon_t - \epsilon_{t-1}$$

which has the autocorrelation function of an MA(1) model with

$$\rho_1 = -\frac{1}{2 + \sigma_{\epsilon^*}^2 / \sigma_{\epsilon}^2}$$

- In either of these situations, we are led to the study of  $\nabla Y_t$  as a stationary process.

# ARIMA Models

- A time series  $\{Y_t\}$  is called an **integrated autoregressive moving average (ARIMA)** model if the  $d^{\text{th}}$  difference  $W_t = \nabla^d Y_t$  is a stationary ARMA process.
- If  $W_t$  follows an ARMA( $p, q$ ) model, then  $Y_t$  is an **ARIMA( $p, d, q$ )**.

$$W_t = \nabla^d Y_t \sim \text{ARMA}(p, q)$$

- $Y_t$  is non-stationary, however  $\nabla^d Y_t$  is stationary.
- **ARIMA( $p, 1, q$ ) process.**

$$W_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i W_{t-i} + \epsilon_t - \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j \epsilon_{t-j}$$
$$\Rightarrow Y_t - Y_{t-1} = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i (Y_{t-i} - Y_{t-1-i}) + \epsilon_t - \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j \epsilon_{t-j}$$

# ARIMA Models

- This can be rewritten as (difference equation form )

$$Y_t = (1 + \phi_1)Y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=2}^p (\phi_i - \phi_{i-1})Y_{t-i} - \phi_p Y_{t-p-1} + \epsilon_t - \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j \epsilon_{t-j}$$

- Notice that it appears to be an ARMA( $p + 1, q$ ) process, with the following characteristic equation

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - (1 + \phi_1)x - \sum_{i=2}^p (\phi_i - \phi_{i-1})x^i - \phi_p x^{p+1} \\ = (1 - \phi_1 x - \phi_2 x^2 - \dots - \phi_p x^p)(1 - x) \end{aligned}$$

- this clearly shows one of the roots is  $x = 1$ , which implies non-stationarity

# ARIMA( $p, 1, q$ ) Models

- Explicit representations of the observed series in terms of either  $W_t$  or the white noise series underlying  $W_t$  are more difficult than in the stationary case.
- Since nonstationary processes are not in statistical equilibrium, we cannot assume that they go infinitely into the past i.e. start at  $t = -\infty$ .
- However, we can and shall assume that they start at some time point  $t = -m$ . For convenience, we take  $Y_t = 0$  for  $t < -m$ .
- Let

$$Y_j - Y_{j-1} = W_j$$

- Summing both sides from  $j = -m$  to  $j = t$ , we get

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=-m}^t W_j$$

# ARIMA( $p, 2, q$ ) Models

- For ARIMA( $p, 1, q$ ) process we obtain

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=-m}^t W_j$$

- The ARIMA( $p, 2, q$ ) process can be dealt with similarly by summing twice from the following equation as follows representations

$$Y_j - Y_{j-1} = W_j$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \sum_{j=-m}^t \sum_{i=-m}^j W_i \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{t+m} (j+1) W_{t-j} \end{aligned}$$

# Integrated moving average (IMA( $d, q$ ))

- IMA(1, 1):  $Y_t = Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t - \theta\epsilon_{t-1}$
- Then, we have

$$Y_t - Y_{t-1} = W_t = \epsilon_t - \theta\epsilon_{t-1}$$

- After using  $Y_t = \sum_{j=-m}^t W_j$ , and a rearrangement, we have

$$Y_t = \epsilon_t + (1 - \theta)\epsilon_{t-1} + (1 - \theta)\epsilon_{t-2} + \dots + (1 - \theta)\epsilon_{-m} - \theta\epsilon_{-m-1}$$

- Notice that in contrast to our stationary ARMA models, **the weights on the white noise terms do not die out as we go into the past.**

$$\text{Var}(Y_t) = [1 + \theta^2 + (1 - \theta)^2(t + m)]\sigma^2$$

$$\text{Corr}(Y_t, Y_{t-k}) = \frac{1 - \theta + \theta^2 + (1 - \theta)^2(t + m)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y_t)\text{Var}(Y_{t-k})}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{t + m - k}{t + m}} \approx 1$$



# The IMA(2, 2) Model

- For IMA(2, 2) model, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 Y_t &= \epsilon_t - \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} - \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} \\ \Rightarrow Y_t &= 2Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t - \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} - \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2}\end{aligned}$$

- By using  $Y_t = \sum_{j=0}^{t+m} (j+1)W_{t-j}$  for IMA(2, 2), we can express  $Y_t$  in terms of  $\epsilon_t, \epsilon_{t-1}, \dots$ , as follows

$$\begin{aligned}Y_t &= \epsilon_t + \sum_{j=1}^{t+m} \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j} - [(t+m+1)\theta_1 + (t+m)\theta_2]\epsilon_{-m-1} \\ &\quad - (t+m+1)\theta_2 \epsilon_{-m-2}\end{aligned}$$

- where  $\psi_j = 1 + \theta_2 + (1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2)j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, t+m$ .
- Once more we see that the  $\psi$ -weights do not die out but form a linear function of  $j$ .

# The ARI(1, 1) Model

- **ARI(1, 1):**  $Y_t - Y_{t-1} = \phi(Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2}) + \epsilon_t$ .
- This can be written as follows

$$Y_t = (1 + \phi)Y_{t-1} - \phi Y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t, \quad |\phi| < 1$$

- Notice that it looks like a special AR(2) model.
- It can be shown that the  $\psi$ -weights can be obtained by

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - \phi_1 x - \phi_2 x^2 - \dots - \phi_p x^p)(1 + \psi_1 x + \psi_2 x^2 + \psi_3 x^3 + \dots) \\ = (1 - \theta_1 x - \theta_2 x^2 - \theta_3 x^3 - \dots - \theta_q x^q)\end{aligned}$$

- Solve by matching coefficients, we have

$$\psi_0 = 1, \quad \psi_1 = 1 + \phi$$

$$\psi_k = (1 + \phi)\psi_{k-1} - \phi\psi_{k-2} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2$$

- In this case an explicit solution to the recursion is given as

$$\psi_k = \frac{1 - \phi^{k+1}}{1 - \phi} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1$$

# Constant Terms in ARIMA Models

- A **nonzero constant mean**,  $\mu$ , in a stationary ARMA model  $\{W_t\}$  can be accommodated in either of two ways.

$$W_t - \mu = \phi_1(W_{t-1} - \mu) + \phi_2(W_{t-2} - \mu) + \dots + \phi_p(W_{t-p} - \mu) \\ + \epsilon_t - \theta_1\epsilon_{t-1} - \theta_2\epsilon_{t-2} - \theta_q\epsilon_{t-q}$$

- Alternatively,

$$W_t = \theta_0 + \phi_1 W_{t-1} + \phi_2 W_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p W_{t-p} \\ + \epsilon_t - \theta_1\epsilon_{t-1} - \theta_2\epsilon_{t-2} - \theta_q\epsilon_{t-q}$$

- Taking expected values on both sides of this,

$$\mu = \theta_0 + (\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_p)\mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu = \frac{\theta_0}{1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2 - \dots - \phi_p}$$

# Nonzero mean on the undifferenced series $Y_t$

- Consider the **IMA(1, 1)** case with a constant term:

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} + \theta_0 + \epsilon_t - \theta\epsilon_{t-1} \quad \text{or} \quad W_t = \theta_0 + \epsilon_t - \theta\epsilon_{t-1}$$

- After using  $Y_t = \sum_{j=-m}^t W_j$ , we have

$$Y_t = \epsilon_t + (1-\theta)\epsilon_{t-1} + (1-\theta)\epsilon_{t-2} + \dots + (1-\theta)\epsilon_{-m} - \theta\epsilon_{-m-1} + (t+m+1)\theta_0$$

- Comparing this with IMA(1, 1) without a constant term, we see that we have an added **linear deterministic time trend**  $(t+m+1)\theta_0$  with slope  $\theta_0$ .
- An equivalent representation of the process would then be

$$Y_t = Y'_t + \beta_0 + \beta_1 t$$

where  $Y'_t$  is an IMA(1, 1) series with  $E(\nabla Y'_t) = 0$  and  $E(\nabla Y_t) = \beta_1$ .

# Over-differencing

- Over-differencing can introduce **artificial dependencies** (unnecessary levels of dependency); e. g. , consider

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

then

$$\nabla Y_t = \epsilon_t$$

but

$$\nabla^2 Y_t = \epsilon_t - \epsilon_{t-1} \sim \text{MA}(1)$$

- The **variance** of the over-differenced process will be larger than that of the original process.
- Over-differencing also creates a non-invertible model.
- Noninvertible models also create serious problems when we attempt to estimate their parameters.

# Other Transformations

- **Logarithm transformation:** Differencing can be a useful transformation for achieving stationarity.
- The logarithm transformation is also a useful method in certain circumstances.
- Suppose  $Y_t > 0$  for all  $t$  such that

$$E(Y_t) = \mu_t \quad \sqrt{\text{Var}(Y_t)} = \mu_t \sigma$$

- Then, using log transformation, and utilizing the Taylor expansion of it around  $\mu_t$

$$\log(Y_t) \approx \log(\mu_t) + \frac{Y_t - \mu_t}{\mu_t}$$
$$\Rightarrow E[\log(Y_t)] = \log(\mu_t), \quad \text{Var}(Y_t) = \sigma^2$$

- If the standard deviation of  $Y_t$  is proportional to the level of  $Y_t$ , then  $\log(Y_t)$  will produce a series with approximately constant variance over time.

# Other Transformations

- **Log-Difference transformation:**
- For financial data, diff-log transform has nice interpretation as percentage change.
- Assume

$$Y_t = (1 + p_t) Y_{t-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_t = \frac{Y_t - Y_{t-1}}{Y_{t-1}}$$

- where  $100p_t$  is the **percentage change (possibly negative)** from  $Y_{t-1}$  to  $Y_t$ . Then

$$\nabla[\log(Y_t)] = \log(Y_t) - \log(Y_{t-1}) = \log\left(\frac{Y_t}{Y_{t-1}}\right) = \log(1 + p_t)$$

- For **relatively small**  $p_t$ , to a good approximation,  $\log(1 + p_t) \approx p_t$ . That is

$$p_t \approx \nabla[\log(Y_t)]$$

- Therefore,  $\nabla[\log(Y_t)]$  will be relatively stable and perhaps well-modeled by a stationary process.

# Power Transformations

- If time series has non-constant variance, a nonlinear transformation can sometimes help
- For positive series in particular, the **Box-Cox family of power transforms** can be useful:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^\lambda - 1}{\lambda}, & \text{for } \lambda \neq 0; \\ \log(x), & \text{for } \lambda = 0; \end{cases}$$

- Try different values of  $\lambda$ , and check which one seems to give best results.



# Power Transformations

- The power transformation applies **only to positive data values**.
- If some of the values are negative or zero, a positive constant may be added to all of the values to make them all positive before doing the power transformation.
- Software allows us to consider a range of lambda values and calculate a **log-likelihood value for each lambda** value based on a normal likelihood function.
- Software provides the 95% confidence interval for lambda.
- Note that nonlinear transforms **can also change the mean function**  $\mu_t$ .