

# Reframing Music-Driven 2D Dance Pose Generation as Multi-Channel Image Generation

## Supplementary Material

### 001 1. Mask Construction Details (Reference Con- 002 ditioning)

003 In our setup, the VAE uses a temporal stride of 8 (i.e.,  
004  $T' = T/8$ ). Hence, one latent time column corresponds  
005 to 8 consecutive frames in the original sequence. We define  
006 a binary mask

$$007 M \in \{0, 1\}^{8 \times W' \times T'}$$

008 whose first dimension indexes the *frame-within-chunk*  
009 (phase). Each entry  $M[\phi, x, t]$  specifies whether the la-  
010 tent at phase  $\phi$  and latent time index  $t$  should be treated  
011 as *pose-aware* ( $M = 1$ ) or *shape-only* ( $M = 0$ ). Latents  
012 with  $M = 1$  correspond to replaced reference frames where  
013 the model conditions on the actual 2D pose, whereas latents  
014 with  $M = 0$  only provide shape-only context (e.g., shoulder  
015 width, limb lengths).

016 Given the number of replaced (pose-aware) reference  
017 frames  $N \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}$ , let

$$018 q = \lfloor N/8 \rfloor, \quad r = N \bmod 8.$$

019 The mask  $M$  is then constructed as

020 **(i) No replacement:**  $N = 0 \Rightarrow M = \mathbf{0}$  (all shape-only).

**(ii) Full latent columns (pose-aware):**  $M[:, :, 0:q] = 1$ .

**(iii) Partial column (if  $r > 0$ , pose-aware phases):**

$$M[0:r, :, q] = 1.$$

021 Intuitively, if  $N$  frames are replaced by pose-aware refer-  
022 ences, the first  $q$  latent time columns are fully pose-aware,  
023 while the remainder  $r$  indicates that, in the next column,  
024 only the first  $r$  phases (corresponding to the first  $r$  frames  
025 of that 8-frame chunk) are pose-aware and the remaining  
026 phases in that column remain shape-only. If  $N \geq T$ , we  
027 clamp  $q$  and  $r$  to valid ranges (i.e., not exceeding  $T'$  and 8,  
028 respectively). When  $N = 0$ ,  $M$  is all zeros and the model  
029 conditions purely on shape-only references across the entire  
030 sequence.

### 031 2. In-the-Wild-Train Dataset Construction

032 We collect  $\sim 30K$  in-the-wild dance videos from the web  
033 and apply the following preprocessing pipeline. First, we  
034 extract 2D poses for every frame using DW-Pose [3]. Then,  
035 we perform *shot-change filtering*: we compute inter-frame  
036 pose differences and treat frames whose difference exceeds  
037 a threshold as shot boundaries; only contiguous subsequ-  
038 ences of at least 256 frames are kept. We also apply

039 a frame-rate sanity check, discarding videos whose native  
040 FPS is below 20 or above 60. After these filtering steps, we  
041 obtain  $\approx 600$  hours and  $240k$  training segments.

### 042 3. 2D Pose-Space Metric Definitions

043 We adapt the commonly used FID, DIV, and BAS metrics to  
044 operate entirely in the 2D pose space. For FID, we compute  
045 the Fréchet Inception Distance [1] between the distribution  
046 of kinetic features [2] extracted from generated dances and  
047 that of the test-set dances. In the original formulation, these  
048 kinetic features are computed from 3D joint trajectories; in  
049 our setting, we recompute the same features from 2D key-  
050 points so that FID is evaluated purely in 2D pose space. For  
051 DIV, consistent with FACT and Bailando, we measure motion  
052 diversity as the average pairwise distance between gen-  
053 erated sequences in the kinetic-feature space. Again, the  
054 kinetic features are computed from 2D joint trajectories in-  
055 stead of 3D motions, yielding a diversity metric defined in  
056 2D pose space. The Beat Align Score (BAS) is defined as  
057 the average temporal distance between each music beat and  
058 its closest dancing beat, where dancing beats are detected  
059 from the 2D pose sequence.

### 060 4. Baseline Adaptation Details

061 We retrain EDGE, LodGE, and Bailando on our 2D  
062 pose datasets using their publicly available code and rec-  
063 ommended hyperparameters, making only the minimal  
064 changes described below. The original EDGE model pre-  
065 dicted 3D motion parameters supervised by a 3D motion  
066 loss, a 3D joint-position loss, a 3D joint-velocity loss and  
067 a contact-consistency loss. In our 2D setting, we keep the  
068 joint-position and joint-velocity objectives but define them  
069 on 2D keypoints, replacing the 3D joint-position loss with  
070 a 2D keypoint-position loss and the 3D joint-velocity loss  
071 with a 2D keypoint-velocity loss. The 3D motion loss and  
072 the contact-consistency loss are removed because they can-  
073 not be computed from 2D keypoints. LodGE is modified  
074 in the same way. We retain the joint- and velocity-based  
075 losses and apply them to 2D keypoint coordinates, and we  
076 remove the 3D motion loss and the contact loss that explic-  
077 itely depend on full 3D motion and contact patterns. For  
078 Bailando, which follows a multi-stage training pipeline, we  
079 modify two stages. In the VQ-VAE stage, we replace the  
080 original 3D keypoints with 2D keypoints as the reconstruc-  
081 tion target while keeping the architecture unchanged. In the  
082 actor-critic learning stage, we omit this component entirely,

083 because its reward function depends on 3D joint angles that  
 084 are unavailable in the 2D setting. Because none of the original  
 085 three implementations provides a dedicated mechanism  
 086 for handling invisible keypoints, we impute missing joints  
 087 by temporal interpolation from neighboring frames, and dis-  
 088 card sequences in which a large fraction of joints remains  
 089 invisible.

## 090 5. Supplementary Videos

091 We provide additional qualitative results in the attached  
 092 video folder accompanying this PDF. All videos are gen-  
 093 erated from either the leakage-free in-the-wild test set or  
 094 the AIST++2D benchmark using the same settings as in  
 095 the main paper, and are rendered either as skeleton anima-  
 096 tions or via the fixed pose-to-video renderer. Please watch  
 097 the videos **with audio enabled**. The dance quality is best  
 098 judged together with the music rhythm.

099 **S0 2Dvs3D-#.mp4.** Side-by-side comparisons between  
 100 our 2D in-the-wild model and representative 3D-based  
 101 music-to-dance methods under the data-regime setting in  
 102 Table 2. Each clip shows skeleton renderings on the  
 103 leakage-free test songs, illustrating the generalization gap  
 104 between 3D-trained models and our 2D-trained generator.

105 **S1 tempo-shift.mp4.** An example with an abrupt tempo  
 106 and energy change in the music. Our model promptly  
 107 changes motion amplitude and cadence at the change point,  
 108 whereas competing methods tend to continue low-energy or  
 109 overly uniform motions, as discussed in Sec. 4.1.

110 **S2 tempo-scaling-fast.mp4 and S2 tempo-scaling-**  
**111 slow.mp4.** Covers of the same song at different tempi.  
 112 These clips show that our generated dances naturally scale  
 113 step rate and per-beat motion extent with the audio tempo.

114 **S3 diversity-seeds.mp4.** Multiple dances generated for the  
 115 same song by sampling different diffusion noise seeds. The  
 116 sequences demonstrate stochastic diversity in step patterns,  
 117 amplitudes, and phrasing, while maintaining beat align-  
 118 ment.

119 **S4 camera-motion.mp4.** Examples with camera  
 120 zoom/push. Apparent subject scale changes in the  
 121 videos are mirrored smoothly in the generated pose without  
 122 breaking rhythm.

123 **S5 more-#.mp4.** Additional qualitative results on the  
 124 leakage-free test set, complementing the quantitative com-  
 125 parisons in Table 1.

126 **S6 hand-#.mp4.** Qualitative results for the hand-aware  
 127 variant (Ours-hand). We visualize both full-body and hand  
 128 skeletons together with the corresponding pose-to-video  
 129 renders.

- S7 ablation-1/2/3.mp4.** Ablation videos corresponding to  
 the studies in Sec. 4.2:
- **S7 ablation-1.mp4:** raw 2D coordinates vs. one-hot pose  
 representation (Table 4), highlighting reduced jitter and  
 improved stability for the one-hot variant. 130  
131  
132
  - **S7 ablation-2.mp4:** without-time-shared vs. time-shared  
 positional indexing (Table 5) on a slow→fast music con-  
 catenation; our indexing scheme switches choreography  
 at the splice, whereas the baseline persists in the slow  
 style. 133  
134  
135  
136  
137
  - **S7 ablation-3.mp4:** with vs. without reference condition-  
 ing (Table 6) on long sequences, showing that removing  
 reference conditioning leads to visible discontinuities at  
 segment boundaries, while our model produces smoother  
 transitions. 138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144

## 145 References

- [1] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bern-  
 hard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two  
 time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium.  
 In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017.  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150
- [2] Kensuke Onuma, Christos Faloutsos, and Jessica K. Hodgins.  
 Fmdistance: A fast and effective distance function for motion  
 capture data. In *Eurographics*, 2008. 151  
152  
153
- [3] Zhendong Yang, Ailing Zeng, Chun Yuan, and Yu Li. Effec-  
 tive whole-body pose estimation with two-stages distillation.  
 In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on  
 Computer Vision*, pages 4210–4220, 2023. 154  
155  
156  
157