

函数语言作业10

10205101530-赵晗瑜

Theorem `dist_exists_or` : $\forall (X:\text{Type}) (P\ Q : X \rightarrow \text{Prop}),$
 $(\exists x, P\ x \vee Q\ x) \leftrightarrow (\exists x, P\ x) \vee (\exists x, Q\ x).$

Proof.

(* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.

【ans】

```
Theorem dist_exists_or : forall (X:Type) (P Q : X -> Prop),
  (exists x, P x \/ Q x) <-> (exists x, P x) \/ (exists x, Q x).
Proof.
  (* FILL IN HERE *)
  intros x P Q. split.
  - intros [x [H | H]].
    + left. exists x. apply H.
    + right. exists x. apply H.
  - intros [[x Px] | [x Qx]].
    + exists x. left. apply Px.
    + exists x. right. apply Qx.
Qed.
```

【运行截图】

```
Theorem dist_exists_or : forall (X:Type) (P Q : X -> Prop),
  (exists x, P x \/ Q x) <-> (exists x, P x) \/ (exists x, Q x).
Proof.
  (* FILL IN HERE *)
  intros X P Q. split.
  - intros [x [H | H]].
    + left. exists x. apply H.
    + right. exists x. apply H.
  - intros [[x Px] | [x Qx]].
    + exists x. left. apply Px.
    + exists x. right. apply Qx.
Qed.
```

Inductively define a relation CE such that $(CE\ m\ n)$ holds iff m and n are two consecutive even numbers with m smaller than n .

Example `test_CE : CE 4 6`.

Proof. (* Fill in here *) Admitted.

【ans】

```
Inductive CE : nat -> nat -> Prop :=
| CE_base: CE 0 2
| CE_inductive (n m : nat) (H : CE n m): CE (S (S n)) (S (S m)).
Example test_CE: CE 4 6.
Proof.
  apply CE_inductive. apply CE_inductive. apply CE_base.
Qed.
```

【运行截图】

```
Inductive CE : nat -> nat -> Prop :=
| CE_base: CE 0 2
| CE_inductive (n m : nat) (H : CE n m): CE (S (S n)) (S (S m)).
Example test_CE: CE 4 6.
Proof.
  apply CE_inductive. apply CE_inductive. apply CE_base.
Qed.
```

Theorem `CE_SS : forall n m, CE (S (S n)) (S (S m)) -> CE n m`.

Proof. (* Fill in here *) Admitted.

【ans】

```
Lemma CE_inversion: forall (n m : nat),
  CE n m -> (n = 0 /\ m = 2) \/ (exists n' m', n = S (S n') /\ m =
S (S m') /\ (CE n' m')).
Proof.
  intros n m H.
  destruct H as [| n' m' H'].
  - left. split.
    + reflexivity.
    + reflexivity.
  - right.
    exists n'. exists m'.
```

```

    split.
  + reflexivity.
  + split.
    * reflexivity.
    * apply H'.
Qed.

Theorem CE_SS: forall n m,
  CE (S (S n)) (S (S m)) -> CE n m.
Proof.
  intros n m H.
  apply CE_inversion in H.
  destruct H as [H | H].
- destruct H as [H1 H2].
  discriminate H1.
- destruct H as [n'].
  destruct H as [m'].
  destruct H as [Hn [Hm HCE]].
  injection Hn as Hn.
  injection Hm as Hm.
  rewrite Hn.
  rewrite Hm.
  apply HCE.
Qed.

```

【运行截图】

```

Lemma CE_inversion: forall (n m : nat),
  CE n m -> (n = 0 /\ m = 2) \/ (exists n' m', n = S (S n') /\ m = S (S m') /\ (CE n' m')).
Proof.
  intros n m H.
  destruct H as [| n' m' H'].
  - left. split.
    + reflexivity.
    + reflexivity.
  - right.
    exists n'. exists m'.
    split.
    + reflexivity.
    + split.
      * reflexivity.
      * apply H'.
Qed.

Theorem CE_SS: forall n m,
  CE (S (S n)) (S (S m)) -> CE n m.
Proof.
  intros n m H.
  apply CE_inversion in H.
  destruct H as [H | H].
  - destruct H as [H1 H2].
    discriminate H1.
  - destruct H as [n'].
    destruct H as [m'].
    destruct H as [Hn [Hm HCE]].
    injection Hn as Hn.
    injection Hm as Hm.
    rewrite Hn.
    rewrite Hm.
    apply HCE.
Qed.

```

Theorem In_app_iff : $\forall A\ l\ l' (a:A),$

$\text{In } a\ (l++l') \leftrightarrow \text{In } a\ l \vee \text{In } a\ l'.$

Proof.

intros A l. induction l as [|a' l' IH].

(* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.

【ans】

Theorem In_app_iff : forall A l l' (a:A),

$\text{In } a\ (l++l') \leftrightarrow \text{In } a\ l \vee \text{In } a\ l'.$

Proof.

(* FILL IN HERE *)

intros A l l' a.

induction l as [|h t IHt].

- simpl. split.

+ intro H. right; apply H.

+ intros [[]|H]. apply H.

- simpl. split.

+ intros [H|H].

* left; left; apply H.

* apply IHt in H. destruct H as [H1|H2].
 { left; right; apply H1. }

```

    { right; apply H2. }
+ intros [[H|H]|H].
  * left; apply H.
  * right. rewrite IHt; left; apply H.
  * right; rewrite IHt; right; apply H.
Qed.

```

【运行截图】

```

(** **** Exercise: 2 stars, standard (In_app_iff) *)
Theorem In_app_iff : forall A l l' (a:A),
  In a (l++l') <-> In a l \/ In a l'.
Proof.
  (* FILL IN HERE *)
  intros A l l' a.
  induction l as [|h t IHt].
  - simpl. split.
    + intro H. right; apply H.
    + intros [[]|H]. apply H.
  - simpl. split.
    + intros [H|H].
      * left; left; apply H.
      * apply IHt in H. destruct H as [H1|H2].
        { left; right; apply H1. }
        { right; apply H2. }
    + intros [[H|H]|H].
      * left; apply H.
      * right. rewrite IHt; left; apply H.
      * right; rewrite IHt; right; apply H.
Qed.

```

Drawing inspiration from `In`, write a recursive function `All` stating that some property `P` holds of all elements of a list `l`. To make sure your definition is correct, prove the `All_In` lemma below. (Of course, your definition should *not* just restate the left-hand side of `All_In`.)

Fixpoint `All {T : Type} (P : T → Prop) (l : list T) : Prop`
 (* REPLACE THIS LINE WITH "`:= your_definition .`" *). Admitted.

Theorem `All_In` :
 $\forall T (P : T \rightarrow \text{Prop}) (l : \text{list } T),$
 $(\forall x, \text{In } x \ l \rightarrow P \ x) \leftrightarrow$
`All P l`.

Proof.
 (* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.

【ans】

```

Fixpoint All {T : Type} (P : T -> Prop) (l : list T) : Prop
  (* REPLACE THIS LINE WITH ":= _your_definition_ ." *)
:= match l with
| [] => True
| h :: t => P h /\ All P t
end.

Theorem All_In :
  forall T (P : T -> Prop) (l : list T),
    (forall x, In x l -> P x) <=>
      All P l.
Proof.
  (* FILL IN HERE *)
  intros T P l. induction l as [|x' l' IHl'].
  - simpl. split.
    + intros; apply I.
    + intros _ x F. inversion F.
  - simpl. split.
    + intro H. split.
      * apply H. left; reflexivity.
      * apply IHl'. intros x Hin. apply H. right; apply Hin.
    + intros [H1 H2]. intros x [H|H].
      * rewrite <- H; apply H1.
      * rewrite <- IHl' in H2. apply H2. apply H.
Qed.

```

【运行截图】

```

Fixpoint All {T : Type} (P : T -> Prop) (l : list T) : Prop
  (* REPLACE THIS LINE WITH ":= your definition ." *)
:= match l with
| [] => True
| h :: t => P h /\ All P t
end.

```

```

Theorem All_In :
  forall T (P : T -> Prop) (l : list T),
    (forall x, In x l -> P x) <->
    All P l.

```

```

Proof.
  (* FILL IN HERE *)
  intros T P l. induction l as [|x' l' IHl'].
  - simpl. split.
    + intros; apply I.
    + intros _ x F. inversion F.
  - simpl. split.
    + intro H. split.
      * apply H. left; reflexivity.
      * apply IHl'. intros x Hin. apply H. right; apply Hin.
    + intros [H1 H2]. intros x [H|H].
      * rewrite <- H; apply H1.
      * rewrite <- IHl' in H2. apply H2. apply H.

```

```

Qed.

```