# Written Assignment 8

Daniel Detore CS 135-B/LF

April 13, 2024

## 1

Using the division algorithm, we can gather that all integers can be represented by some multiple of 6 plus some integer  $0 \le q \le 5$ . We can denote this as 6k + q. If q is equal to 0, 2, or 4, the sum 6k + q will be even, and therefore it cannot represent the primes p or p + 2. If q is equal to 3 then 6k + q will be divisible by 3 and again, cannot represent p or p + 2. This leaves only the options q = 1 and q = 5. Again using the division algorithm we understand that the case where q = 5, 6k + 5, can be written as 6k - 1. As such, for any prime p = 6k - 1, we can also represent the prime p + 2 as 6k + 1.

# 2

### 2.a

To find the inverse of 20 mod 1343, we need to find  $20x \equiv 1 \pmod{1343}$ :

Line	Q	$\mathbf{R}$	X	У
1		20	1	0
2		1343	0	1
3	0	20	1	0
4	67	3	-67	1
5	6	2	403	-6
6	1	1	-470	7
7	2	0	1343	-20

Using line 6, we know that  $-470 \cdot 20 + 7 \cdot 1343$  and as such the multiplicative inverse for 20 mod 1343 is -470. If we need it to be positive, we can add 1343 to -470 and retrieve 873 because  $-470 \equiv 873 \pmod{1343}$ .

### **2.**b

Using multiplicative inverse 20 mod 1343 we can gather that one possible  $x = 7 \cdot 873 = 6111$  so  $20 \cdot 6111 \equiv 122220 \equiv 7 \pmod{1343}$ . Generally,  $x \equiv 122220 \pmod{1343}$ .

## 3

#### 3.a

Find  $\phi(100)$ .

We can find that  $100 = 2^2 \times 5^2$ . Therefore 100 has prime divisors 2 and 5. Fact from Lecture 20: For prime divisors of  $n p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k, \phi(n) = n(1 - 1/p_1)(1 - 1/p_2) \cdots (1 - 1/p_k)$  This gives us  $\phi(100) = 100(1 - 1/2)(1 - 1/5)$  which works out to 40.

### **3.**b

To make sure we can use Euler's Theorem, we can check that gcd(17,100) = 1. Since 17 is prime this statement is clearly true.

### 4

We are given the following:

$$x \equiv 8 \mod 11$$
  
 $x \equiv 5 \mod 17$   
 $x \equiv 16 \mod 29$   
 $x \equiv 24 \mod 31$ 

Along with the information that x has no more than 5 digits. Since none of 11, 17, 29, and 31 have any common factors, the computer scientist son might have realized that this is a setup for the Chinese Remainder Theorem. We'll start by gathering:

$$\begin{split} m &= 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 = 168113 \\ M_1 &= 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 = 15283 \\ M_2 &= 11 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 = 9889 \\ M_3 &= 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 31 = 5797 \\ M_4 &= 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 = 5423 \end{split}$$

Now we need to find the multiplicative inverses of

$$M_1 \equiv 15283 \pmod{11}$$
  
 $M_2 \equiv 9889 \pmod{17}$   
 $M_3 \equiv 5797 \pmod{29}$   
 $M_4 \equiv 5423 \pmod{31}$ .

For each  $M_k$  we'll call its multiplicative inverse  $y_k$ . Using the Euclidean algorithm, we can calculate that

$$y_1 = 3$$
$$y_2 = 10$$
$$y_3 = 19$$
$$y_4 = 15$$

Now we can find our solution by calculating

$$8 \cdot 15283 \cdot 3$$

$$+ 5 \cdot 9889 \cdot 10$$

$$+ 16 \cdot 5797 \cdot 19$$

$$+ 24 \cdot 5423 \cdot 15$$

$$= 4575810$$

and taking 4575810 mod 168113 which is equal to 36759. With a quick calculation we can find that 36759 matches all of the requirements to be congruent to x in all given cases. Since this number also has 5 digits, it must be the vault combination.

# 5

We are given positive integers a, b and an integer c where  $a \mid c, b \mid c$  and gcd(a, b) = 1. According to Bezout's theorem, for positive integers a and b, there are integers x and y where

$$gcd(a, b) = xa + yb$$

Since  $b \mid c$ , it follows that  $ab \mid ac$  and  $ac \mid xac$ . Since  $a \mid c$ , it follows that  $ab \mid cb$  and  $cb \mid ycb$ . By the division algorithm,  $ab \mid xac$  and  $ab \mid ycb$ . We can sum these multiples of ab and still have a multiple of ab, therefore  $ab \mid (xac + ycb) = c(xa + yb)$ . By Bezout's theorem,  $xa + yb = \gcd(a, b) = 1$  which is given. Now we know that  $ab \mid xac + ycb \implies ab \mid c(1) \implies ab \mid c$  which was to be proven.

# 6

Let's take  $a=2,\ b=2,\ {\rm and}\ c=2.\ \gcd(2,\ 2)=2>1,\ 2\mid 2\implies a\mid c\ {\rm and}\ b\mid c,$  therefore the premises are true. However,  $ab=2\times 2=4$  and  $4\nmid 2\implies ab\nmid c$ .