Reading After
Jul 26, 2023

Two Passages

in

35 mins

17.5 min / passage

Academic Topics

Animals and plants 20%

Natural science 30%

Social science 40%

Humanity 10%



Reading

Two Passages

in

35 mins

17.5 min / passage

Word Count / Passage

700 on Passage
550 on Questions
70 / min



Reading

Two Passages

in

35 mins

17.5 min / passage

Word Count / Passage

700 on Passage 550 on Questions

70 / min





Group9120

Reading

Two Passages

in

35 mins

17.5 min / passage

Questions

10 per passage Q1 to Q9 – 1 Pt Q10 – 2 Pts

11 Points on each passage 33 Points on reading in total



Keep asking yourself - what is this passage / paragraph talking about.

- 1. Prediction: use title and paragraph one as guidance
- 2. Skimming: capture the flow and main idea
- 3. Reading: follow the flow in each paragraph (use questions as tips)
- 4. Problem solving: go through each answer choice



Reading Sessions

Sentence

Flow of idea

Paragraph Structure

Prob solving

Mock Analysis

Types of passages

- l. Question & answers
- 2. Comparison
- 3. Effect & causes
- 4. Classification

TP01 - The origins of theater TP012 - Which Hand did they use? TP018 - The mystery of yawning

Questions

Answer 1 Description, pros & cons

Answer 2 Description, pros & cons

Answer 3 Description, pros & cons

While many other observers and thinkers had laid the groundwork for science, Thales (circa 624 B.C.E-ca 547 B.C.E.), the best known of the earliest Greek philosophers, made the first steps toward a new, more objective approach to finding out about the world. He posed a very basic question: "What is the world made of? " Many others had asked the same question before him, but Thales based his answer strictly on what he had observed and what he could reason out-not on imaginative stories about the gods or the supernatural. He proposed water as the single substance from which everything in the world was made and developed a model of the universe with Earth as a flat disk floating in water.

Like most of the great Greek philosophers, Thales had an influence on others around him. His two best-known followers, though there were undoubtedly others who attained less renown, were Anaximander and Anaximenes. Both were also from Miletus (located on the southern coast of present-day Turkey) and so, like Thales, were members of the Milesian School. Much more is known about Anaximander than about Anaximenes, probably because Anaximander, who was born sometime around 610 B.C.E, ambitiously attempted to write a comprehensive history of the universe. As would later happen between another teacher-student pair of philosophers, Plato and Aristotle, Anaximander disagreed with his teacher despite his respect for him. He doubted that the world and all its contents could be made of water and proposed instead a formless and unobservable substance he called "apeiron" that was the source of all matter.

Anaximander's most important contributions, though, were in other areas. Although he did not accept that water was the prime element, he did believe that all life originated in the sea, and he was thus one of the first to conceive of this important idea. Anaximander is credited with drawing up the first world map of the Greeks and also with recognizing that Earth's surface was curved. He believed, though, that the shape of Earth was that of a cylinder rather than the sphere that later Greek philosophers would conjecture. Anaximander, observing the motions of the heavens around the polestar, was probably the first of the Greek philosophers to picture the sky as sphere completely surrounding Earth-an idea that, elaborated upon later, would prevail until the advent of the Scientific Revolution in the seventeenth century.

Unfortunately, most of Anaximander's written history of the universe was lost, and only a few fragments survive today. Little is known about his other ideas. Unfortunately, too, most of the written work for Anaximenes, who may have been Anaximander's pupil, has also been lost. All we can say for certain about Anaximenes, who was probably born around 560 BCE, is that following in the tradition of Anaximander, he also disagreed with his mentor. The world, according to Anaximenes, was not composed of either water or apeiron, but air itself was the fundamental element of the universe. Compressed, it became water and earth, and when rarefied or thinned out, it heated up to become fire. Anaximenes may have also been the first to study rainbows and speculate upon their natural rather than supernatural cause.

With the door opened by Thales and the other early philosophers of Milestus, Greek thinkers began to speculate about the nature of the universe. This exciting burst of intellectual activity was for the most part purely creative. The Greeks, from Thales to Plato and Aristotle, were philosophers and not scientists in today's sense. It is possible for anyone to create "ideas" about the nature and structure of the universe, for instance, and many times these ideas can be so consistent and elaborately structured, or just so apparently obvious, that they can be persuasive to many people. A scientific theory about the universe, however, demands much more than the various observations and analogies that were woven together to form systems of reasoning, carefully constructed as they were, that would eventually culminate in Aristotle's model of the world and the universe. Without experimentation and objective, critical testing of their theories, the best these thinkers could hope to achieve was some internally consistent speculation that covered all the bases and satisfied the demands of reason.



While many other observers and thinkers had laid the groundwork for science, Thales (circa 624 B.C.E-ca 547 B.C.E.), the best known of the earliest Greek philosophers, made the first steps toward a new, more objective approach to finding out about the world. He posed a very basic question: "What is the world made of? " Many others had asked the same question before him, but Thales based his answer strictly on what he had observed and what he could reason out-not on imaginative stories about the gods or the supernatural. He proposed water as the single substance from which everything in the world was made and developed a model of the universe with Earth as a flat disk floating in water.

While many other observers and thinkers had laid the groundwork for science, Thales (circa 624 B.C.E-ca 547 B.C.E.), the best known of the earliest Greek philosophers, made the first steps toward a new, more objective approach to finding out about the world. He posed a very basic question: "What is the world made of?" Many others had asked the same question before him, but Thales based his answer strictly on what he had observed and what he could reason out - not on imaginative stories about the gods or the supernatural. He proposed water as the single substance from which everything in the world was made and developed a model of the universe with Earth as a flat disk floating in water.

While many other observers and thinkers had laid the groundwork for science, Thales (circa 624 B.C.E-ca 547 B.C.E.), the best known of the earliest Greek philosophers, made the first steps toward a new, more objective approach to finding out about the world. He posed a very basic question: "What is the world made of?"

Thales different objective approach → what is the world made of

Many others had asked the same question before him, **but**Thales based his answer strictly on what he had observed
and what he could reason out - **not** on imaginative stories
about the gods or the supernatural. He proposed water as
the single substance from which everything in the world
was made and developed a model of the universe with
Earth as a flat disk floating in water.

objectively, everything was made from water

While many other observers and thinkers had laid the groundwork for science, Thales (circa 624 B.C.E-ca 547 B.C.E.), the best known of the earliest Greek philosophers, made the first steps toward a new, more objective approach to finding out about the world. He posed a very basic question: "What is the world made of?"

Many others had asked the same question before him, **but**Thales based his answer strictly on what he had observed
and what he could reason out - **not** on imaginative stories
about the gods or the supernatural. He proposed water as
the single substance from which everything in the world
was made and developed a model of the universe with
Earth as a flat disk floating in water.

main idea:

unlike others, Thales objectively observed that the world came from water

TPO 37-1 – Thales and the Milesians

Paragraph 1

unlike others, Thales objectively observed that the world came from water

Like most of the great Greek philosophers, Thales had an influence on others around him. His two best-known followers, though there were undoubtedly others who attained less renown, were Anaximander an Anaximenes. Both were also from Miletus (located on the southern coast of present-day Turkey) and so, like Thales, were members of the Milesian School. Much more is known about Anaximander than about Anaximenes, probably because Anaximander, who was born sometime around 610 B.C.E, ambitiously attempted to write a comprehensive history of the universe. As would later happen between another teacher-student pair of philosophers, Plato and Aristotle, Anaximander disagreed with his teacher despite his respect for him. He doubted that the world and all its contents could be made of water and proposed instead a formless and unobservable substance he called "apeiron" that was the source of all matter.

Like most of the great Greek philosophers, Thales had an influence on others around him. His two best-known followers, though there were undoubtedly others who attained less renown, were Anaximander an Anaximenes. Both were also from Miletus (located on the southern coast of present-day Turkey) and so, like Thales, were members of the Milesian School, Much more is known about Anaximander than about Anaximenes, probably because Anaximander, who was born sometime around 610 B.C.E, ambitiously attempted to write a comprehensive history of the universe. As would later happen between another teacher-student pair of philosophers, Plato and Aristotle, Anaximander disagreed with his teacher despite his respect for him. He doubted that the world and all its contents could be made of water and proposed instead a formless and unobservable substance he called "apeiron" that was the source of all matter.

Like most of the great Greek philosophers, Thales had an influence on others around him. His two best-known followers, though there were undoubtedly others who attained less renown, were Anaximander and Anaximenes. Both were also from Miletus (located on the southern coast of present-day Turkey) and so, like Thales, were members of the Milesian School.

Thales two best-known followers: Anaximander and Anaximenes

Much more is known about Anaximander than about Anaximenes, probably because Anaximander, who was born sometime around 610 B.C.E, ambitiously attempted to write a comprehensive history of the universe.

Anaximander tried to write about the history of the universe

As would later happen between another teacher-student pair of philosophers, Plato and Aristotle, Anaximander disagreed with his teacher despite his respect for him. He doubted that the world and all its contents could be made of water and proposed instead a formless and unobservable substance he called "apeiron" that was the source of all matter.

Anaximander disagreed with Thales about water as the origin he proposed "apeiron" instead

Like most of the great Greek philosophers, Thales had an influence on others around him. His two best-known followers, though there were undoubtedly others who attained less renown, were Anaximander and Anaximenes. Both were also from Miletus (located on the southern coast of present-day Turkey) and so, like Thales, were members of the Milesian School.

Much more is known about Anaximander than about Anaximenes, probably because Anaximander, who was born sometime around 610 B.C.E, ambitiously attempted to write a comprehensive history of the universe.

As would later happen between another teacher-student pair of philosophers, Plato and Aristotle, Anaximander disagreed with his teacher despite his respect for him. He doubted that the world and all its contents could be made of water and proposed instead a formless and unobservable substance he called "apeiron" that was the source of all matter.

main idea:

Anaximander disagreed with Thales and thought apeiron was the source



TPO 37-1 – Thales and the Milesians

Paragraph 1

unlike others, Thales objectively observed that the world came from water

Paragraph 2

Anaximander disagreed with Thales and thought apeiron was the source

Anaximander's most important contributions, though, were in other areas. Although he did not accept that water was the prime element, he did believe that all life originated in the sea, and he was thus one of the first to conceive of this important idea. Anaximander is credited with drawing up the first world map of the Greeks and also with recognizing that Earth's surface was curved. He believed, though, that the shape of Earth was that of a cylinder rather than the sphere that later Greek philosophers would conjecture. Anaximander, observing the motions of the heavens around the polestar, was probably the first of the Greek philosophers to picture the sky as sphere completely surrounding Earth-an idea that, elaborated upon later, would prevail until the advent of the Scientific Revolution in the seventeenth century.

Anaximander's most important contributions, though, were in other areas.

Although he did not accept that water was the prime element, he did believe that all life originated in the sea, and he was thus one of the first to conceive of this important idea.

Anaximander is credited with drawing up the first world map of the Greeks and also with recognizing that Earth's surface was curved. He believed, though, that the shape of Earth was that of a cylinder rather than the sphere that later Greek philosophers would conjecture. Anaximander, observing the motions of the heavens around the polestar, was probably the first of the Greek philosophers to picture the sky as a sphere completely surrounding Earth - an idea that, elaborated upon later, would prevail until the advent of the Scientific Revolution in the seventeenth century.

Anaximander's most important contributions, though, were in other areas.

Although he did not accept that water was the prime element, he did believe that all life originated in the sea, and he was thus one of the first to conceive of this important idea.

Anaximander is credited with drawing up the first world map of the Greeks and also with recognizing that Earth's surface was curved. He believed, though, that the shape of Earth was that of a cylinder rather than the sphere that later Greek philosophers would conjecture. Anaximander, observing the motions of the heavens around the polestar, was probably the first of the Greek philosophers to picture the sky as a sphere completely surrounding Earth - an idea that, elaborated upon later, would prevail until the advent of the Scientific Revolution in the seventeenth century.

Anaximander's most important contributions:

1. life originated in the sea

- 2. drew first world map
- 3. recognized Earth's surface was curved

Anaximander's most important contributions, though, were in other areas.

Although he did not accept that water was the prime element, he did believe that all life originated in the sea, and he was thus one of the first to conceive of this important idea.

Anaximander is credited with drawing up the first world map of the Greeks and also with recognizing that Earth's surface was curved. He believed, though, that the shape of Earth was that of a cylinder rather than the sphere that later Greek philosophers would conjecture. Anaximander, observing the motions of the heavens around the polestar, was probably the first of the Greek philosophers to picture the sky as a sphere completely surrounding Earth - an idea that, elaborated upon later, would prevail until the advent of the Scientific Revolution in the seventeenth century.

main idea:

one of Anaximander's most important contributions: Earth's surface was curved

TPO 37-1 – Thales and the Milesians

Paragraph 1

unlike others, Thales objectively observed that the world came from water

Paragraph 2

Anaximander disagreed with Thales and thought apeiron was the source

Paragraph 3

one of Anaximander's most important contributions: Earth's surface was curved

Unfortunately, most of Anaximander's written history of the universe was lost, and only a few fragments survive today. Little is known about his other ideas. Unfortunately, too, most of the written work for Anaximenes, who may have been Anaximander's pupil, has also been lost. All we can say for certain about Anaximenes, who was probably born around 560 BCE, is that following in the tradition of Anaximander, he also disagreed with his mentor. The world, according to Anaximenes, was not composed of either water or apeiron, but air itself was the fundamental element of the universe. Compressed, it became water and earth, and when rarefied or thinned out, it heated up to become fire. Anaximenes may have also been the first to study rainbows and speculate upon their natural rather than supernatural cause.

Unfortunately, most of Anaximander's written history of the universe was lost, and only a few fragments survive today. Little is known about his other ideas. **Unfortunately, too**, most of the written work for Anaximenes, who may have been Anaximander's pupil, has also been lost.

All we can say for certain about Anaximenes, who was probably born around 560 BCE, is that following in the tradition of Anaximander, he also disagreed with his mentor.

The world, according to Anaximenes, was not composed of either water or apeiron, **but** air itself was the fundamental element of the universe. Compressed, it became water and earth, and when rarefied or thinned out, it heated up to become fire.

Anaximenes may have also been the first to study rainbows and speculate upon their natural rather than supernatural cause.

Unfortunately, most of Anaximander's written history of the universe was lost, and only a few fragments survive today. Little is known about his other ideas. **Unfortunately, too**, most of the written work for Anaximenes, who may have been Anaximander's pupil, has also been lost.

most of Anaximander and Anaximenes' work has been lost

All we can say for certain about Anaximenes, who was probably born around 560 BCE, is that following in the tradition of Anaximander, he also disagreed with his mentor.

but it's certain Anaximenes disagreed with Anaximander

The world, according to Anaximenes, was not composed of either water or apeiron, **but** air itself was the fundamental element of the universe. Compressed, it became water and earth, and when rarefied or thinned out, it heated up to become fire.

Anaximenes thought air was the source of the world

Anaximenes may have also been the first to study rainbows and speculate upon their natural rather than supernatural cause.

Anaximenes was first to study rainbow's natural cause

Unfortunately, most of Anaximander's written history of the universe was lost, and only a few fragments survive today. Little is known about his other ideas. **Unfortunately, too**, most of the written work for Anaximenes, who may have been Anaximander's pupil, has also been lost.

All we can say for certain about Anaximenes, who was probably born around 560 BCE, is that following in the tradition of Anaximander, he also disagreed with his mentor.

The world, according to Anaximenes, was not composed of either water or apeiron, **but** air itself was the fundamental element of the universe. Compressed, it became water and earth, and when rarefied or thinned out, it heated up to become fire.

Anaximenes may have also been the first to study rainbows and speculate upon their natural rather than supernatural cause.

main idea:

Anaximenes disagreed with Anaximander, and thought that air was the source

TPO 37-1 – Thales and the Milesians

Paragraph 1

unlike others, Thales objectively observed that the world came from water

Paragraph 2

Anaximander disagreed with Thales and thought apeiron was the source

Paragraph 3

one of Anaximander's most important contributions: Earth's surface was curved

Paragraph 4

Anaximenes disagreed with Anaximander and thought air was the source

With the door opened by Thales and the other early philosophers of Milestus, Greek thinkers began to speculate about the nature of the universe. This exciting burst of intellectual activity was for the most part purely creative. The Greeks, from Thales to Plato and Aristotle, were philosophers and not scientists in today's sense. It is possible for anyone to create "ideas" about the nature and structure of the universe, for instance, and many times these ideas can be so consistent and elaborately structured, or just so apparently obvious, that they can be persuasive to many people. A scientific theory about the universe, however, demands much more than the various observations and analogies that were woven together to form systems of reasoning, carefully constructed as they were, that would eventually culminate in Aristotle's model of the world and the universe. Without experimentation and objective, critical testing of their theories, the best these thinkers could hope to achieve was some internally consistent speculation that covered all the bases and satisfied the demands of reason.

This exciting burst of intellectual activity was for the most part purely creative. The Greeks, from Thales to Plato and Aristotle, were philosophers and **not** scientists in today's sense. It is possible for anyone to create "ideas" about the nature and structure of the universe, for instance, and many times these ideas can be so consistent and elaborately structured, or just so apparently obvious, that they can be persuasive to many people.

A scientific theory about the universe, however, demands much more than the various observations and analogies that were woven together to form systems of reasoning, carefully constructed as they were, that would eventually culminate in Aristotle's model of the world and the universe. Without experimentation and objective, critical testing of their theories, the best these thinkers could hope to achieve was some internally consistent speculation that covered all the bases and satisfied the demands of reason.



This exciting burst of intellectual activity was for the most part purely creative. The Greeks, from Thales to Plato and Aristotle, were philosophers and not scientists in today's sense. It is possible for anyone to create "ideas" about the nature and structure of the universe, for instance, and many times these ideas can be so consistent and elaborately structured, or just so apparently obvious, that they can be persuasive to many people.

A scientific theory about the universe, however, demands much more than the various observations and analogies that were woven together to form systems of reasoning, carefully constructed as they were, that would eventually culminate in Aristotle's model of the world and the universe. Without experimentation and objective, critical testing of their theories, the best these thinkers could hope to achieve was some internally consistent speculation that covered all the bases and satisfied the demands of reason.



Greeks thought about nature of the universe

This exciting burst of intellectual activity was for the most part purely creative. The Greeks, from Thales to Plato and Aristotle, were philosophers and **not** scientists in today's sense. It is possible for anyone to create "ideas" about the nature and structure of the universe, for instance, and many times these ideas can be so consistent and elaborately structured, or just so apparently obvious, that they can be persuasive to many people.

even though persuasive, ideas about the nature of the universe were was purely creative, as the Greeks were not scientists

A scientific theory about the universe, however, demands much more than the various observations and analogies that were woven together to form systems of reasoning, carefully constructed as they were, that would eventually culminate in Aristotle's model of the world and the universe. Without experimentation and objective, critical testing of their theories, the best these thinkers could hope to achieve was some internally consistent speculation that covered all the bases and satisfied the demands of reason.

a scientific theory need more than just philosophy; the Greeks' ideas were just good speculation

This exciting burst of intellectual activity was for the most part purely creative. The Greeks, from Thales to Plato and Aristotle, were philosophers and **not** scientists in today's sense. It is possible for anyone to create "ideas" about the nature and structure of the universe, for instance, and many times these ideas can be so consistent and elaborately structured, or just so apparently obvious, that they can be persuasive to many people.

A scientific theory about the universe, however, demands much more than the various observations and analogies that were woven together to form systems of reasoning, carefully constructed as they were, that would eventually culminate in Aristotle's model of the world and the universe. Without experimentation and objective, critical testing of their theories, the best these thinkers could hope to achieve was some internally consistent speculation that covered all the bases and satisfied the demands of reason.

main idea:

the Greeks' ideas about the nature of the universe were just good speculation



TPO 37-1 – Thales and the Milesians

Paragraph 1

unlike othes, Thales objectively observed that the world came from water

Paragraph 2

Anaximander disagreed with Thales and thought apeiron was the source

Paragraph 3

one of Anaximander's most important contributions: Earth's surface was curved

Paragraph 4

Anaximenes disagreed with Anaximander and thought air was the source

Paragraph 5

the Greek's ideas about the nature of the universe were just good speculation

While many other observers and thinkers had laid the groundwork for science, Thales (circa 624 B.C.E-ca 547 B.C.E.), the best known of the earliest Greek philosophers, made the first steps toward a new, more objective approach to finding out about the world. He posed a very basic question: "What is the world made of? " Many others had asked the same question before him, but Thales based his answer strictly on what he had observed and what he could reason out-not on imaginative stories about the gods or the supernatural. He proposed water as the single substance from which everything in the world was made and developed a model of the universe with Earth as a flat disk floating in water.

P5

With the door opened by Thales and the other early philosophers of Milestus, Greek thinkers began to speculate about the nature of the universe. This exciting burst of intellectual activity was for the most part purely creative. The Greeks, from Thales to Plato and Aristotle, were philosophers and not scientists in today's sense. It is possible for anyone to create "ideas" about the nature and structure of the universe, for instance, and many times these ideas can be so consistent and elaborately structured, or just so apparently obvious, that they can be persuasive to many people. A scientific theory about the universe, however, demands much more than the various observations and analogies that were woven together to form systems of reasoning, carefully constructed as they were, that would eventually culminate in Aristotle's model of the world and the universe. Without experimentation and objective, critical testing of their theories, the best these thinkers could hope to achieve was some internally consistent speculation that covered all the bases and satisfied the demands of reason.

TPO 37-1 – Thales and the Milesians

Paragraph 1

unlike others, Thales objectively observed that the world came from water

Paragraph 2

Anaximander disagreed with Thales and thought apeiron was the source

Paragraph 3

one of Anaximander's most important contributions: Earth's surface was curved

Paragraph 4

Anaximenes disagreed with Anaximander and thought air was the source

Paragraph 5

the Greek's ideas about the nature of the universe were just good speculation

Thales and other Milesians started to base conclusions on observation and reasoning instead of on imaginative stories; they influenced other Greeks, and they opened the door to discussions of the nature of the universe; under this new kind of objective approach, the Greeks came up with good speculations, but still not scientific theory₈₆

Reading Question 1 of 42

Why does the author discuss the question "What is the world made of?" posed by Thales?

- To help explain how Thales differed from earlier Greek thinkers
- To trace the origin of the question through ancient history
- To emphasize that the answer to the question proposed by Thales was the first correct solution
- To suggest why the question remained unanswered for so long

Reading Question 4 of 42

Paragraph 2 implies which of the following about Thales?

- His students tended to closely follow his views.
- He was born sometime around 600 BCE.
- Little is known about most of his followers.
- His influence was largely limited to the town of Miletus.

Reading Question 6 of 42

According to paragraph 3, some Greek philosophers who came after Anaximander did not share his belief that

- the world was made of something other than water
- all life originated in the sea
- the sky was a sphere surrounding Earth
- Earth was cylindrical in shape

Reading Question 8 of 42

Why does the author refer to "the Scientific Revolution in the seventeenth century"?

- To identify the time when Anaximander's theory was first considered seriously
- To introduce the evidence that helped to develop Anaximander's ideas
- To indicate how long one of Anaximander's ideas remained influential
- To give an example of the many unsuccessful attempts to discredit Anaximander's ideas

Reading Question 9 of 42

Which of the sentences below best expresses the essential information in the highlighted sentence in the passage? Incorrect choices change the meaning in important ways or leave out essential information.

- Like Anaximander, Anaximenes disagreed with his mentor.
- Traditionally, philosophers born after Anaximenes tended to disagree with their own mentors.
- Historians have been able to determine that Anaximenes was born in 560 B.C.E. and that he followed in the tradition of Anaximander.
- Even though Anaximenes was Anaximander's follower, he disagreed with his mentor.

► Unfortunately, most of Anaximander's written history of the universe was lost, and only a few fragments survive today. Little is known about his other ideas. Unfortunately, too, most of the written work of Anaximenes, who may have been Anaximander's pupil, has also been lost. All we can say for certain about Anaximenes, who was probably born around 560 BCE, is that following in the tradition of Anaximander, he also disagreed with his mentor. The world, according to Anaximenes, was not composed of either water or apeiron, but air itself was the fundamental element of the universe. Compressed, it became water and earth, and when rarefied or thinned out, it heated up to become fire. Anaximenes may have also been the first to study rainbows and speculate upon their natural rather than supernatural cause.

Reading Question 10 of 42

According to paragraph 4, Anaximenes believed that

- when water was heated up, it became apeiron
- Earth was made of compressed air
- the heat from a fire caused the air to become thinner
- rainbows had both natural and supernatural causes

Reading Question 11 of 42

Paragraph 5 suggests that, in speculating about the nature of the universe, Milesian thinkers

- made careful use of reasoning
- studied the universe scientifically
- tested their theories critically
- kept their theories simple

With the door opened by Thales and the other early philosophers of Miletus, Greek thinkers began to speculate about the nature of the universe. This exciting burst of intellectual activity was for the most part purely creative.

Greeks, from Thales to Plato and Aristotle, were philosophers and not scientists in today's sense. It is possible for anyone to create "ideas" about the nature and structure of the universe, for instance, and many times these ideas can be so consistent and elaborately structured, or just so apparently obvious, that they can be persuasive to many people. A scientific theory about the universe, however, demands much more than the

various observations and analogies that were woven together

were, that would eventually culminate in Aristotle's model of the

to form systems of reasoning, carefully constructed as they

objective, critical testing of their theories, the best these thinkers could hope to achieve was some internally consistent speculation that covered all the bases and satisfied the

demands of reason.

world and the universe. Without experimentation and

Look at the four squares[] that indicate where the following sentence could be added to the passage

Where would the sentence best fit?

In this respect it more closely resembled the thinking of artists and novelists than the thinking of scientists constrained by fact.

Click on a square [] to add the sentence to the passage. To select a different location, click on a different square.

The early Greek philosopher Thales and his followers tried to achieve a rational understanding of the nature of the universe. **Answer Choices** A.In the Milesian School established by Thales, teachers taught B.Among early Greek philosophers, there was sharp what they knew to their followers, who were then strongly disagreement even between teachers and students about the encouraged to correct any errors in reasoning. essential substance from which the world was made. C.Much of the written work of Anaximander and of Anaximenes D.Anaximander's work covered a broad range of issues that has been lost, including a major work on the history of the

E.The ideas about astronomy held by members of the Milesian School continued to be widely accepted by scientists even after the Scientific Revolution in the seventeenth century.

universe by Anaximenes.

influenced later Greek thinkers, including the origin of life, the history of the universe, and the shape of both Earth and the sky.

F.While Greek philosophers' theories about the world did not rely on appeals to supernatural forces, they also were not scientific, since they were not tested with experiments.