

## 1 Research Goal

Our primary goal with the literature research is to find sources of information which complements our expertise.

While writing our proposal we identified five aspects where we lack sufficient expertise. These are in particular:

**Design of experiments** As outlined in the research proposal we are going to develop our ideas in a simulation. Therefore it is efficient to design the experimental part in advance. This means that we are not going to use a trial-and-error approach but instead invest some time to think about possible outcomes and how to achieve these by altering different parameters in particular and develop a deeper understanding of their behavior.

**Gradient descent algorithms** Since there exist a huge number of different numerical and analytical ways to solve a gradient descent problem it will save time to evaluate the best suitable algorithm prior to implementation to avoid unnecessary work.

**Efficient implementation of algorithms** This is especially important since our algorithm is supposed to work in realtime. Therefore any delay induced by unefficient code is unacceptable.

**openCV best practices** The analysis of the original footage is made by openCV. Since this library offers a wide variety of different approaches to isolate objects in video footage, it is evaluate the best option.

**Measure and comparison of quantifiable data** The results in our research will be mainly represented by numbers. To create a better understanding of these numbers and how they interact it is a good approach to evaluate different methods of putting numbers in context to one another and which scales and graphs are the quasi standard across the research community.

## 2 Source of Information

For the research regarding *efficient implementation of algorithms and openCV best practices* it is the best option to look for recent papers since these topics are currently subjects where a certain number of people are working on. Therefore the following archives offer a good starting point to search for up-to-date papers:

1. IEEE online database
2. arXiv.org
3. ACM Digital Library
4. Google Scholar

The Topics *statistical experimental design and measure and comparison of quantifiable data* are already established in the scientific community. Therefore it is the best approach to consult the latest literature in these particular fields. In our case this can be done to a certain extent with the HIBS database and online services or with actual books in the library.

*The efficient implementation of algorithms* is less of a scientific problem but of a code-optimization. Hence reading blogs of skilled programmers or threads on *Stackoverflow* seems as the most reasonable approach.

### 3 Criteria for eligible sources

The general criteria for any sources are mostly common sense:

- credibility and plausibility
- a certain standard of quality
- integrity

These criteria might seem overly cautious in case the source is a book written by any member of the scientific community. However in the case of short paper or online blog the author of that particular source might not be as credible. Therefore a higher level of scrutiny with the informations provided is necessary.

Furthermore since the sources might be cited in a paper or dissertation they have to be scientifically quotable. This is especially true to protect the resulting work against accusations of plagiarism.

As already pointed out in the previous section some topics are more subject to change due to present research activities and must therefore reflect the most recent state of research.

Another important aspect is especially the case with non-scientific online ressources as blogs and the previously mentioned *Stackoverflow*. Since these sources are also subject to change, it is important to keep track of the particular version of the ressource to prevent confusion in case the ressource changes.

## 4 Sources for our research

### 4.1 Design of experiments

To get a general sense of how statistical experimental design works these Books provide a good introduction into this topic: (Siebertz et al., 2017b) and (Retzlaff et al., 1978). Both books are available at our local Library.

A more practical approach is outlined in the articles (Hoefelmann et al., 1993) and (Schweitzer and Baumgartner, 1992).

(Siebertz et al., 2017a) is a good source of examples to put everything in context.

### 4.2 Gradient descent algorithms

Although the gradient descent method is often used in Machine Learning to optimize parameters in neural networks, we can use it to solve our optimization problem. The articles (KETKAR, 2017) and (Marti, 2005) provides an introduction to the optimization problem with GD methods whereas (Marti, 2005) gives an overview with more advanced methods.

(Bishop, 2006) is a very famous book for more advanced topics. Since we've got a basic understanding of how to implement GD in our simulation, we might consider using some advanced techniques from this book. This might prove especially useful because the normal gradient descent method can only find local minimas.

### 4.3 Efficient implementation of algorithms

happy little lorem

### 4.4 openCV best practices

With the article (Janku et al., 2016) we can get an overview and comparison of different detection algorithms for the OpenCV framework.

The article (Gao et al., 2018) gives an insight on how to design a multi-object detection system for billiards in openCV, which perfectly fits our research case.

The conference paper (Gabel et al., 2019) uses neural networks in conjunction with openCV to detect balls. This approach aims for an efficient re-training of neural networks. This is useful for our research, because we can easily adopt the algorithm to different pool environments and do not have to manually adjust the ball detection.

The thesis (Schmidt, 2016) focuses on performance optimization for speed estimation of balls with openCV on mobile devices. This is useful for our research, because we want to achieve real-time tracking and eventually port the application to mobile devices.

## 4.5 Measure and comparison of quantifiable data

happy little lorem

## References

- C. M. Bishop. *Pattern recognition and machine learning*. springer, 2006.
- A. Gabel, T. Heuer, I. Schiering, and R. Gerndt. Jetson, where is the ball? using neural networks for ball detection at robocup 2017. In D. Holz, K. Genter, M. Saad, and O. von Stryk, editors, *RoboCup 2018: Robot World Cup XXII*, pages 181–192, Cham, 2019. Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-030-27544-0.
- J. Gao, Q. He, H. Gao, Z. Zhan, and Z. Wu. Design of an efficient multi-objective recognition approach for 8-ball billiards vision system. *Kuw3a9it J. Sci.*, (45), 2018. Available at: <https://journalskuwait.org/kjs/index.php/KJS/article/view/2083/234>.
- F. Hoewelmann, H. Otten, and D. Pohl. Statistische versuchsplanung einmal anders. *QUALITAT UND ZUVERLASSIGKEIT*, 38:285–285, 1993.
- P. Janku, K. Koplik, T. Dulik, and I. Szabo. Comparison of tracking algorithms implemented in opencv. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, (76), 2016. Available at: [https://www.matec-conferences.org/articles/mateconf/pdf/2016/39/mateconf\\_csc2016\\_04031.pdf](https://www.matec-conferences.org/articles/mateconf/pdf/2016/39/mateconf_csc2016_04031.pdf).
- N. KETKAR. Deep learning with python, a hands-on introduction, apress edition, 160p. 2017.
- K. Marti. *Stochastic optimization methods*, volume 2. Springer, 2005.
- G. Retzlaff, G. Rust, and J. Waibel. *Statistische Versuchsplanung: Planung naturwissenschaftlicher Experimente und ihre Auswertung mit statistischen Methoden*. Verlag Chemie, 1978.
- E. Schmidt. Measuring the speed of a floorball shot using trajectory detection and distance estimation with a smartphone camera : Using opencv and computer vision on an iphone to detect the speed of a floorball shot, 2016.
- W. Schweitzer and C. Baumgartner. Off-line-qualitätskontrolle und statistische versuchsplanung. *Zeitschrift für Betriebswirtschaft*, (1):75–100, 1992.
- K. Siebertz, D. van Bebber, and T. Hochkirchen. Doe beispiele. In *Statistische Versuchsplanung*, pages 159–177. Springer, 2017a.
- K. Siebertz, D. van Bebber, and T. Hochkirchen. Statistische modellbildung. In *Statistische Versuchsplanung*, pages 87–137. Springer, 2017b.