# 1 Research Goal

Our primary goal with the literature research is to find sources of information which complements our expertise.

While writing our proposal we identified five aspects where we lack sufficient expertise. These are in particular:

**Design of experiments**   As outlined in the research proposal we are going to develop our ideas in a simulation. Therefore it is efficient to design the experimental part in advance. This means that we are not going to use a trial-and-error approach but instead invest some time to think about possible outcomes and how to achieve these by altering different parameters in particular and develop a deeper understanding of their behavior.

**Gradient descent algorithms**   Since there exist a huge number of different numerical and analytical ways to solve a gradient descent problem it will save time to evaluate the best suitable algorithm prior to implementation to avoid unnecessary work.

**Efficient implementation of algorithms**   This is especially important since our algorithm is supposed to work in realtime. Therefore any delay induced by unefficient code is unacceptable.

**openCV best practices**   The analysis of the original footage is made by openCV. Since this library offers a wide variety of different approaches to isolate objecs in videofootage, it is evaluate the best option.

**Measure and comparison of quantifiable data**   The results in our research will be mainly represented by numbers. To create a better understanding of these numbers and how they interact it is a good approach to evaluate different methods of putting numbers in context to one another and which scales and graphs are the quasi standard across the research community.

# 2 Source of Information

For the research regarding *efficient implementation of algorithms and openCV best practices* it is the best option to look for recent papers since these topics are currently subjects where a certain number of people are working on. Therefore the following archives offer a good starting point to search for up-to-date papers:

1. IEEE online database

2. arXiv.org

3. ACM Digital Library

4. Google Scholar

The Topics *statistical experimental design and measure and comparison of quantifiable data* are already established in the scientific community. Therefore it is the best approach to consult the latest literature in these particular fields. In our case this can be done to a certain extent with the HIBS database and online services or with actual books in the library.

*The efficient implementation of algorithms* is less of a scientific problem but of a code-optimization. Hence reading blogs of skilled programmers or threads on *Stackoverflow* seems as the most reasonable approach.

# 3 Criteria for eligible sources

The general criteria for any sources are mostly common sense:

- credibility and plausibility

- a certain standard of quality

- integrity

These criteria might seem overly cautious in case the source is a book written by any member of the scientific community. However in the case of short paper or online blog the author of that particular source might not be as credible. Therefore a higher level of scrutiny with the informations provided is necessary.

Furthermore since the sources might be cited in a paper or dissertation they have to be scientificly quotable. This is expecially true to protect the resulting work against accusations of plagiarism.

As already pointed out in the previous section some topics are more subject to change due to present research activities and must therefore reflect the most recent state of research.

Another important aspect is especially the case with non-scientific online ressources as blogs and the previously mentioned *Stackoverflow*. Since these sources are also subject to change, it is important to keep track of the particular version of the ressource to prevent confusion in case the ressources changes.

# 4 Sources for our research

## 4.1 Design of experiments

To get a general sense of how statistical experimental design works these Books provide a good introduction into this topic: (Siebertz et al., 2017) and (Retzlaff et al., 1978). Both books are available at our local Library.

A more practical approach is outlined in the articles (Hoevelmann et al., 1993) and (Schweitzer and Baumgartner, 1992).

## 4.2 Gradient descent algorithms

Gradient descent methods are well explained in (Ruder, 2016) as can be seen.

## 4.3 Efficient implementation of algorithms

happy little lorem

## 4.4 openCV best practices

With the article (Janku et al., 2016) we can get an overview and comparison of different detection algorithms for the OpenCV framework.

The article (Gao et al., 2018) gives an insight on how to design a multi-object detection system for billiards in openCV, which perfectly fits our research case.

## 4.5 Measure and comparison of quantifiable data

happy little lorem

# References

J. Gao, Q. He, H. Gao, Z. Zhan, and Z. Wu. Design of an efficient multi-objective recognition approach for 8-ball billiards vision system. *Kuw3a9it J. Sci.*, (45), 2018. Available at: `https://journalskuwait.org/kjs/index.php/KJS/article/view/2083/234`.

F. Hoevelmann, H. Otten, and D. Pohl. Statistische versuchsplanung einmal anders. *QUALITAT UND ZUVERLASSIGKEIT*, 38:285–285, 1993.

P. Janku, K. Koplik, T. Dulik, and I. Szabo. Comparison of tracking algorithms implemented in opencv. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, (76), 2016. Available at: `https://www.matec-conferences.org/articles/matecconf/pdf/2016/39/matecconf_cscc2016_04031.pdf`.

G. Retzlaff, G. Rust, and J. Waibel. *Statistische Versuchsplanung: Planung naturwissenschaftlicher Experimente und ihre Auswertung mit statistischen Methoden*. Verlag Chemie, 1978.

S. Ruder. An overview of gradient descent optimization algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.04747*, 2016.

W. Schweitzer and C. Baumgartner. Off-line-qualitätskontrolle und statistische versuchsplanung. *Zeitschrift für Betriebswirtschaft*, (1):75–100, 1992.

K. Siebertz, D. van Bebber, and T. Hochkirchen. Statistische modellbildung. In *Statistische Versuchsplanung*, pages 87–137. Springer, 2017.