
GLOBAL METROMONITOR





New York UNITED STATES

METRO ECONOMIC TRENDS, 1993 TO 2010

GVA per capita (Income)







METRO PERFORMANCE RANKINGS

| Pre-recession | | | | | | Recession | | | | Recovery | | | |
|---------------|----------------|----------|------------|--------|----|--|-------------------|--------|----|----------------|-----------|--------|--|
| _ | (1993 to 2007) | | | | (| (Year of Minimum Growth, 2007 to 2009) | | | | (2009 to 2010) | | | |
| | | | Employment | Income | | | Employment | Income | | E | mployment | Income | |
| | 89 | Busan | -0.5% | 5.4% | 87 | Oporto | -3.3% | -2.8% | 76 | Oklahoma City | -1.0% | 2.4% | |
| | 90 | New York | 1.1% | 2.6% | 88 | New York | -3.3% | -2.9% | 77 | New York | -0.5% | 1.7% | |
| | 91 | Houston | 1.7% | 1.6% | 89 | Baltimore Baltimore | -4.6% | -1.2% | 78 | Miami | -0.4% | 1.6% | |



Source: Brookings and LSE analysis of data from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics, US Census Bureau, Oxford Economics, Moody's Economy.com, and Cambridge Econometrics. Some values are based on forecasted estimates; please see report for further details.

