ME-781 Course Project: Interim Report

Housing Price Prediction using Metropolitan Cities' Real Estate data



Compiled by Group 17-

Kaustabh Patel 190100063

Lakshya Chaplot 19D100010

Niharika Maheshwary 190100141

Siri Verma 180050052

Problem Objective and Introduction



- We are a Mumbai based startup aspiring to lead in providing reliable and cheap housing and real
 estate prices across different metropolitan cities of India.
- Our speedy, efficient and cross-platform app will help deliver the most appropriate housing price to the user based on their customized filters.
- In the post-pandemic era of risky brokers, distrustful real estate offices and economic uncertainty, we plan to enter the B2C market for boosting the residential housing sales in India.
- Our current objective is to provide housing price predictions in major metropolitan cities of India,
 but plan on expanding our reach to other cities in the near future.

Problem Definition

Customer Requirement: Quick, easy, hassle-free way to deal with purchasing real estate in metropolitan cities which helps in determining housing prices for potential buyers and sellers.

Market Survey: 99acres (price estimator), Land Value Calculator (estimating price of the land), MagicBricks PropWorth (estimate the value of a property).

USP: Providing the user with a heat map to help them understand the prices and status of the property according to their needs and demands. It is easy to understand as well as user friendly to help citizens make the best decision with regards to property prices.

Protection of USP: Copywriting of entire code and novel solution developed along with patenting. Encryption of the code is another way to protect the USP

Barrier to Entry:

- Copyright and patent issues by other organizations and companies which lead to some roadblock
- Confidentiality clauses when trying to determine price points for various houses and properties

Operational Structure:

- Various criteria and demands uploaded by the user
- Prediction model uses different variables to get the best response
- From this model lets us know the price and status for different houses and properties in the selected vicinity with the demands mentioned

Technology Landscape Management

Patents:

- https://patents.google.com/patent/WO2010128924A1/en
- https://patents.justia.com/patent/7509261

Literature:

- https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.04868
- https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.02547
- https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050920316318
- https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3565512
- https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/IJHMA-11-2018-0095/full/html
- https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7603227

Open Libraries:

Numpy, pandas, keras, tensorflow, scikit-learn, matplotlib, glob

Project Plan-GANNT Chart

	Housing Magician																		
Group- 17 , ME781 Course Project, IIT-Bombay			Project Start:	Wed, 13-	-10-2021														
Housing price predictor app			Today:	Sun, 24-															
Honzing buce bredictor abb			Display Week:			Oct	4, 202	1	0,	ct 11,	2021	Oct	t 18, 2	O21		Oct 1	25, 20	121	N
		ı	Display Week.	U			-				# # # :		-		1#1#				# 1
Cu Na	TASK	ASSIGNED	BBOCBESS	CTART	END	NA T													
Sr.IVC	IASK	то	PROGRESS	START	END	IVI	VV I	F S	SM	I W	T F S	S M T	WT	FS	3 1	ИΤ	W I	FS	3 IVI
1	Problem Definition & Objective																		
1.1	Customer (end-user) requirement	Niharika	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
1.2	Market survey (for exisiting solns)	Niharika	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
1.3	Key Differentiator	Niharika	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
1.4	Unique Selling Point (USP)	Niharika	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
1.5	Protection of USP	Niharika	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
1.6	Barrier to Market Entry	Niharika	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
1.7	Business Case (setup cost and capital)	Niharika	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
2	Technology Lanscape Management																		
2.1	Patents	Kaustabh	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
2.2	Published literature	Kaustabh	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
2.3	Open libraries	Kaustabh	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
2.4	Proprietary libraries	Kaustabh	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
3	Project Planning																		
3.1	GANNT chart	Lakshya	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
3.2	RASIC chart	Lakshya	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21											-			
4	Conceptual Design	a	4000/	440104	00.0.1.04														
4.1	High level activity object diagrams	Siri Verma	100%	14-Oct-21	23-Oct-21					_	_					-			
4.2	Choice of language, OS, Data set ML model selection	Siri Verma	100% 0%	15-Oct-21	23-Oct-21														
5	Code Development-1	Lakshya	076													-			
5.1	Coding convention practices	Siri Verma	0%	24-Oct-21	30-Oct-21														
5.2	Basic user interface	Siri Verma	0%	24-Oct-21 24-Oct-21	31-Oct-21					++									
5.3	Output visualization	Lakshya	0%	24-Oct-21	1-Nov-21														
6	Code Development-2	,																	
6.1	Error handling	Lakshya	0%	24-Oct-21	17-Nov-21														
6.2	Auto document generation	Siri Verma	0%	24-Oct-21	18-Nov-21														
6.3	Code testing	Lakshya	0%	24-Oct-21	19-Nov-21														
7	Product Marketing																		
7.1	Brochure development	Niharika	0%	20-Nov-21	25-Nov-21														
7.2	Marketing presentation	Niharika	0%	21-Nov-21	26-Nov-21														
7.3	Marketing audio-video of 1min	Siri Verma	0%	22-Nov-21	27-Nov-21														
8	Product Demonstration																		
8.1	Demonstration presentation	Kaustabh	0%	21-Nov-21	27-Nov-21														
8.2	User manual	Lakshya	0%	22-Nov-21	28-Nov-21														

Project Plan-RASIC Chart

- •Responsible: The person who is ultimately responsible for delivering the project and/or task successfully.
- •Accountable: The person who has ultimate accountability and approval authority; they review and assure quality and are the person to whom "R" is accountable.
- •Supporting: The team or person(s) supporting the "real" work with resources, time or other material benefit. They are committed to its completion.
- •Informed: Those who provide input and must be informed of results or actions taken but are not involved in final decision-making.
- •Consulted: Those who provide valuable input into product design or establish quality review criteria. Their buy-in is important for successful implementation.

Project Members Project Members Project Deliverable (or Activity) Project Deliverable (or Acti									
1.1 Customer (end-user) requirement			Project Members						
1.2 Market survey (for exisitng solns) A I R I 1.3 Key Differentiator A C R I 1.4 Unique Selling Point (USP) I I R A 1.5 Protection of USP I I R A 1.6 Barrier to Market Entry I I R A 1.7 Business Case (setup cost and capital) C A R I 2.1 Patents R I A I 2.2 Published literature R I A I 2.2 Published literature R I A I 2.3 Open libraries R I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries R I A I 3.1 GANNT chart I R A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I		Project Deliverable	Kaustabh	Lakshya	Niharika	Siri Verma			
1.3 Key Differentiator A C R I 1.4 Unique Selling Point (USP) I I R A 1.5 Protection of USP I I R A 1.6 Barrier to Market Entry I I R A 1.7 Business Case (setup cost and capital) C A R I 2.1 Patents R I A I 2.1 Patents R I A I 2.2 Published literature R I A I 2.3 Open libraries R I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries R I A I 3.1 GANNT chart I R A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A I 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A <	1.1	Customer (end-user) requirement	Α	ı	R	ı			
1.4 Unique Selling Point (USP) I I B A 1.5 Protection of USP I I B A 1.6 Barrier to Market Entry I I B A 1.7 Business Case (setup cost and capital) C A B I 2.1 Patents B I A I 2.1 Patents B I A I 2.2 Published literature B I A I 2.2 Published literature B I A I 2.3 Open libraries B I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries B I A I 3.1 GANNT chart I B A C 3.2 RASIC chart C B A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I B 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML mod	1.2	Market survey (for exisitng solns)	Α	ı	R	ı			
1.5 Protection of USP I I R A 1.6 Barrier to Market Entry I I R A 1.7 Business Case (setup cost and capital) C A R I 2.1 Patents R I A I 2.1 Patents R I A I 2.2 Published literature R I A I 2.3 Open libraries R I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries R I I I 3.1 GANNT chart I R A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic u	1.3	Key Differentiator	A	С	R	ı			
1.6 Barrier to Market Entry I I R A 1.7 Business Case (setup cost and capital) C A R I 2.1 Patents R I A I 2.1 Patents R I A I 2.2 Published literature R I A I 2.3 Open libraries R I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries R I I I 3.1 GANNT chart I B A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I A 6.1 Erro	1.4	Unique Selling Point (USP)	ı	ı	R	Α			
1.7 Business Case (setup cost and capital) C A R I 2.1 Patents R I A I 2.2 Published literature R I A I 2.3 Open libraries R I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries R I I I 3.1 GANNT chart I R A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I R I R 6.3 Code testing I R I R <t< td=""><td>1.5</td><td>Protection of USP</td><td>ı</td><td>ı</td><td>R</td><td>Α</td></t<>	1.5	Protection of USP	ı	ı	R	Α			
2.1 Patents R I A I 2.2 Published literature R I A I 2.3 Open libraries R I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries R I I I 3.1 GANNT chart I R A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I R I R 6.3 Code testing I R I R	1.6	Barrier to Market Entry	I	ı	R	Α			
2.2 Published literature R I A I 2.3 Open libraries R I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries R I I I 3.1 GANNT chart I R A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I R I R 6.3 Code testing I R I R	1.7	Business Case (setup cost and capital)	С	Α	R	ı			
2.3 Open libraries R I A I 2.4 Proprietary libraries R I I I 3.1 GANNT chart I R A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	2.1	Patents	R	ı	Α	ı			
2.4 Proprietary libraries R I I I 3.1 GANNT chart I R A C 3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	2.2	Published literature	R	ı	Α	ı			
3.1 GANNT chart I B A C 3.2 RASIC chart C B A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I B 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C B 4.3 ML model selection C B I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A B 5.2 Basic user interface I A I B 5.3 Output visualization I B I A 6.1 Error handling I B I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I B 6.3 Code testing I B A I	2.3	Open libraries	R	ı	Α	ı			
3.2 RASIC chart C R A I 4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	2.4	Proprietary libraries	R	ı	I	ı			
4.1 High level activity object diagrams A I I R 4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	3.1	GANNT chart	ı	R	Α	С			
4.2 Choice of language, OS, Data set A I C R 4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	3.2	RASIC chart	С	R	Α	ı			
4.3 ML model selection C R I A 5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	4.1	High level activity object diagrams	Α	ı	ı	R			
5.1 Coding convention practices I C A R 5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	4.2	Choice of language, OS, Data set	Α	ı	С	R			
5.2 Basic user interface I A I R 5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	4.3	ML model selection	С	R	ı	Α			
5.3 Output visualization I R I A 6.1 Error handling I R I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I R 6.3 Code testing I R A I	5.1	Coding convention practices	ı	С	Α	R			
6.1 Error handling I B I A 6.2 Auto document generation I A I B 6.3 Code testing I B A I	5.2	Basic user interface	ı	Α	ı	R			
6.2 Auto document generation I A I B 6.3 Code testing I B A I	5.3	Output visualization	ı	R	ı	Α			
6.3 Code testing I R A I	6.1	Error handling	ı	R	ı	Α			
0.5 data testing	6.2	Auto document generation	ı	Α	ı	R			
7.1 Brochure development A I R I	6.3	Code testing	ı	R	Α	ı			
	7.1	Brochure development	Α	ı	R	ı			
7.2 Marketing presentation I I B A	7.2	Marketing presentation	ı	ı	R	Α			
7.3 Marketing audio-video of 1min	7.3	Marketing audio-video of 1min	ı	Α	ı	R			
8.1 Demonstration presentation B A C I	8.1	Demonstration presentation	R	Α	С	ı			
8.2 User manual I R I A	8.2	User manual	I	R	ı	Α			

Conceptual Design

ML Model Selection:

- We have modelled "Predicting Housing Prices" as a regression problem as it allows us to give more insights to the relation between the attributes and the house price in a better way.
- After applying the linear regression model and interpreting the data, we plan to use regularization models like Ridge and Lasso Regression, and other ML models like polynomial regression and KNN algorithms, to predict the output. We will compare and analyse the models based on their accuracy, and choose the best model to predict prices in our start-up project.

ML Dataset Selection:

- https://www.kaggle.com/anmolkumar/house-price-prediction-challenge
- https://www.kaggle.com/ruchi798/housing-prices-in-metropolitan-areas-of-india
- The data attributes considered to predict the price will include features of both the house/property as well as the features of the neighbourhood. Additional features like crime rates, water and electricity issues, and availability of schools, grocery marts and hospitals in the vicinity will also be added.
- Our project will work on data collected for the metropolitan cities Bangalore, Chennai, Delhi, Hyderabad,
 Kolkata and Mumbai.

Choice of Language: Python

Operating System: Windows 10

Conceptual Design

High Level Activity Object Diagram:

