1)

between input layer and hidden layer  $1=4 \times 5=20$  between hidden layer 1 and hidden layer  $2=5 \times 3=15$  between hidden layer 2 and output  $=3 \times 1=3$  20+15+3=38 38 trainable parameters.

2)

```
# TODO :: define the cross entorpy computation graph in tensorflow; expect 10-15 lines of code (Requirement : create your own graph with tf.Graph an run yo
# use placeholder to define variable instead of tf.Variable)

graph3 = tf.Graph()
with graph3.as_default():

pos1 = tf.constant(1, dtype=tf.float32)
neg1 = tf.constant(-1, dtype=tf.float32)
y = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, name = "y")
p = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, name = "p")

part1 = tf.multiply(y, tf.log(p))
part2 = tf.multiply(tf.subtract(pos1, y), tf.log(tf.subtract(pos1, p)))
tf.multiply(neg1, tf.add(part1, part2))
```

#### **Linear Regression**

#### **Using the Normal Equation**

<tf.Operation 'Momentum' type=AssignAdd>

3)

### Affine layer: foward

Complete the following code cell to implement the forward propagation for each layer, later you will call this function for each layer when you do forward propagation.

#### Affine layer: backward

Now implement the affine\_backward function and test your implementation using numeric gradient checking. The function will be called by each layer when you do backward propagation.

```
# TODO: Implement the backward pass for the two-layer net. Store the loss # # in the loss variable and gradients in the grads dictionary. Compute data # # loss using softmax, and make sure that grads[k] holds the gradients for # # self.params[k]. Don't forget to add L2 regularization! #
      # 4-8 lines of code expected
       loss, dscores = softmax_loss(scores, y)
loss += (self.reg*np.sum(self.params['theta1']**2) + self.reg*np.sum(self.params['theta2']**2)) / 2
      dx_2, grads['theta2'], grads['theta2_0'] = affine_backward(dscores, cache2) dx_1, <math>grads['theta1'], grads['theta1_0'] = affine_relu_backward(dx_2, cache1)
      grads['theta2'] += self.reg*self.params['theta2']
grads['theta1'] += self.reg*self.params['theta1']
  self.params \label{lem:params} $$ \left( \frac{1}{2} = p..random.normal(scale=weight_scale, size=(hidden_dim_1, hidden_dim_2)) \\ self.params \left( \frac{1}{2} = p..zeros(hidden_dim_2) \right) \\ = p..zeros(hidden_dim_2) \\ = p..ze
self.params['theta3'] = np.random.normal(scale=weight_scale, size=(hidden_dim_2, num_classes))
self.params['theta3_0'] = np.zeros(num_classes)
scores = None
           #Hint: unpack the weight parameters from self.params
# then calculate output of two layer network using functions defined before
# 3 lines of code expected
out1, cache1 = affine_relu_forward(X, self.params['theta1'], self.params['theta2_0'])
out2, cache2 = affine_relu_forward(out1, self.params['theta2'], self.params['theta2_0'])
scores = out3
```

#### Solver

Open the file solver.py and read through it to familiarize yourself with the API. After doing so, use a Solver instance to train a TwoLayerNet that achieves at least 50% accuracy on the validation set.

## Training A Three-Layer Neural Network on MINIST Dataset

You've seen how to train and evaluate your neural network model on CIFAR10 dataset, it's your turn to train a three-layer Neural Network on MINIST Dataset. Implement the model with Tensorflow or Keras. Tune the parameters to find the best hyperparameters for your model.

```
from keras.datasets import mnist
from keras.layers.core import Dense, Dropout, Activation
from keras.utils import np_utils
 (X_train, y_train), (X_test, y_test) = mnist.load_data()
print("X_train shape", X_train.shape)
print("y_train shape", y_train.shape)
print("x_test shape", X_test.shape)
print("y_test shape", y_test.shape)
X_train shape (60000, 28, 28)
y_train shape (60000,)
x_test shape (10000, 28, 28)
y_test shape (10000,)
X_train = X_train.reshape(60000, 784)
X_test = X_test.reshape(10000, 784)
X_train = X_train.astype('float32')
X_test = X_test.astype('float32')
X_train /= 255
X_test /= 255
print("Training matrix shape", x_train.shape)
print("Testing matrix shape", X_test.shape)
Training matrix shape (60000, 784)
Testing matrix shape (10000, 784)
nb_classes = 10
Y_train = np_utils.to_categorical(y_train, nb_classes)
Y_test = np_utils.to_categorical(y_test, nb_classes)
model = Sequential()
model.add(Dense(512, input_shape=(784,)))
model.add(Activation('relu'))
model.add(Dropout(0.2))
model.add(Dense(512))
model.add(Activation('relu'))
model.add(Dropout(0.2))
model.add(Dense(10))
model.add(Activation('softmax'))
model.summary()
Model: "sequential_5"
Laver (type)
                                             Output Shape
                                                                                      Param #
dense_13 (Dense)
                                                                                      401920
activation 10 (Activation)
                                             (None, 512)
dropout_8 (Dropout)
dense 14 (Dense)
                                             (None, 512)
                                                                                      262656
activation_11 (Activation)
                                             (None, 512)
dropout_9 (Dropout)
                                             (None, 512)
dense_15 (Dense)
                                             (None, 10)
                                                                                      5130
activation_12 (Activation)
                                             (None, 10)
                                                                                      0
Total params: 669,706
Trainable params: 669,706
Non-trainable params: 0
model.compile(loss='categorical_crossentropy', optimizer='adam', metrics=['accuracy'])
model.fit(X_train, Y_train, batch_size=128, epochs=8, verbose=1)
score = model.evaluate(X_test, Y_test)
print('Test score:', score[0])
print('Test accuracy:', score[1])
10000/10000 [=======
Test score: 0.10113688079406265
                                                       ======] - 0s 26us/step
Test accuracy: 0.9842000007629395
```

# Question: What did you discover with hyperparameter tuning?

The batch size is how many samples we use for one update to the model weights. Epochs is how many times we want to iterate on the whole training set. Generally with smaller batch, the more unstable the stochastic updates are. Using too high of epochs will cause the model to overfit.