

## Module 1 Problems

For each of problems 1, 2, and 3 below, make 1 of the following determinations:

- a) Procedure A is an algorithm
- b) Procedure A is NOT an algorithm
- c) It is not possible to decide if procedure A is an algorithm

If you determine that situation c) is appropriate, please indicate what additional information you would need to determine if procedure A is an algorithm or not.

If you determine that a) or b) is appropriate, please state your reasoning

```
1. A(x[])  
{  
    i=0;  
    while (true) {  
        x[i] = 0;  
        i = i+1;  
    }  
}
```

```
2. A(x[])  
{  
    i=0;  
    while (true) {  
        x[i] = 0;  
        i = i+1;  
        if (i >= 10000000000) break;  
    }  
}
```

```
3. A(x[])
```

```

{
    i=0;
    while (true) {
        x[i] = 0;
        i = i+1;
        external_procedure(x[i]);
    }
}

```

For the problems below, you may use pseudo code when asked for an algorithm. PLEASE NOTE:

{ solve\_my\_problem(Input) } is NOT correct pseudo code.

4. What is the computational complexity of the following program?

(Big Oh notation is sufficient).

Assume that  $X[i,j]$  references the  $(i,j)$  component of matrix  $X$ .

Also, assume that  $N$  is a parameter describing the size of  $X$  as  $X[N,N]$ .

```

for (i=1; i<=N; i++) {
    v[i] = 0;
    for (j=1; j<=N; j++) {
        v[i] += A[i,j]*b[j];
    }
}

```

Be sure to give your reasons for your complexity calculation.

5. What is the computational complexity of the following program?

(Big Oh notation is sufficient).

Assume that  $X[i,j]$  references the  $(i,j)$  component of matrix  $X$

Also, assume that  $N$  is a parameter describing the size of  $X$  as  $X[N,N]$

```

for (i=1; i<=N; i++) {
    v[i] = 0;
    for (j=i; j<=N; j++) {

```

```

        v[i] += A[i,j]*b[j];
    }
}

```

Be sure to give your reasons for your complexity calculation.

6. What is the computational complexity of the following program?  
(Big Oh notation is sufficient).

Assume that  $X[i,j]$  references the  $(i,j)$  component of matrix  $X$

Also, assume that  $N$  is a parameter describing the size of  $X$  as  $X[N,M]$   
 $M$  is a symbolic constant completely independent of  $N$ .

```

for (i=1;i<=N;i++) {
    v[i] = 0;
    for (j=i;j<=M;j++) {
        v[i] += A[i,j]*b[j];
    }
}

```

Be sure to give your reasons for your complexity calculation.

7. Find an efficient algorithm to compute  $x^m$ , for arbitrary non-negative integer  $m$ .

Hint: used divide and conquer.

Please include:

- 1) a proof sketch that your algorithm is correct,
- 2) the complexity of your algorithm, and how you determined the complexity.

8. Suppose you were given a list of numbers that were in ascending order. Some evil mastermind, however, has rearranged the list to "cycle around" from some middle point. Find an efficient algorithm to determine the original starting point from the cyclic list.

Example:

Original list: 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9

Your rearranged list: 5, 8, 9, 1, 3, 4

Your algorithm should identify the 4th element as the start of the original list.

Please include:

- 1) a proof sketch that your algorithm is correct,
- 2) the complexity of your algorithm, and how you determined the complexity.

1) The while-true loop will run indefinitely since there is no condition to terminate out of the loop. Because this procedure does not halt, it is not an algorithm. Answer is b).

2) The while-true has a condition statement when  $i=10000000000$ , the loop will break out.  $i$  is incremented by one each time, so  $i$  will eventually reach 10000000000. Because the procedure will halt at some point, it is an algorithm. Answer is a).

3) The while-true loop in this example will also run indefinitely. There is an `external_procedure` call in the loop, but the loop does not do anything with its return value (if the procedure has one). Whatever code that's inside of the `external_procedure` has no affect on anything outside of the scope. Because this procedure does not halt, it is not an algorithm. Answer is b).

However, you could say the `external_procedure` could call the `exit` function that could terminate the entire program. In that case, the algorithm does stop. Or the `external_procedure` could do something else, but not call the `exit` function, in which case the algorithm does not halt. It may depend on the operating system. Based on this, we can say the answer is c).

4) The outer and inner loop both go from 1 to  $N$ . The other code inside the loop take constant time. So the computational complexity is  $O(N^2)$ . We can show our work with a double summation. We consider  $v[i] = 0$  as 1 operation and  $v[i] += A[i, j] * b[j]$  as 3 operations.

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (1 + \sum_{j=1}^N 3) = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 + 3N) = N(1 + 3N) = N + 3N^2 = O(N^2)$$

5) The computational complexity should also be  $O(N^2)$  because of the nested for loops, even if the inner loop index start at  $j=i$  instead of  $j=1$ . We can show this by writing the summation.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N (1 + \sum_{j=i}^N 3) &= \sum_{i=1}^N (1 + 3(N - i + 1)) = \sum_{i=1}^N (3N - 3i + 4) = 3N^2 - \frac{3N(N+1)}{2} + 4N \\ &= \frac{(6N^2 - 3N^2 - 3N + 8N)}{2} = (\frac{3}{2}N^2 + \frac{5}{2}N) = O(N^2). \end{aligned}$$

6) The computational complexity is  $O(N)$ . Since  $M$  is a symbolic constant, the inner loop is essentially another constant, so only the parameter  $N$  in the outer loop affects the complexity. We note that when  $N$  is large, the inner loop will not run when  $i > M$ . We can show the complexity is  $O(N)$  by writing the summations and splitting it at  $M$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum_{i=1}^{N-M+1} 1) + \sum_{i=1}^M (1 + \sum_{j=i}^M 3) &= N - M + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^M (1 + 3(M - i + 1)) = N - M + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^M (3M - 3i + 4) \\ &= N - M + 1 + 3M^2 - \frac{3M(M+1)}{2} + 4M = N + C_1 = O(N) \end{aligned}$$

7)

```
def my_pow(x, m):
    if(m == 0):
        return 1
```

```
if(m == 1):
    return x
pow_half = my_pow(x*x, m//2)
elif(m % 2 == 0):
    return pow_half
else:
    return x * pow_half
```

1) (optional for HW 1)

2) The algorithm is  $O(\log_2(M))$ . Each recursive call is  $M/2$ , until it reaches the function's base cases. We can see this from a few simple cases. `my_pow(3, 16)`, the recursive function calls  $M = 8, 4, 2, 1$  and `my_pow(39, 19)`,  $M = 19, 9, 4, 2, 1$ , a log number of times. We can use an inductive argument for this. But more formally this algorithm is  $T(M) = T(M/2) + O(1)$  and solving this recurrence we get  $O(\log_2(M))$ .

8)

```
def find_start(li):
    lo = 0
    hi = len(li)-1
    mid = 0
    while(hi >= lo):
        mid = (hi+lo) // 2
        if(li[mid] > li[hi]):
            lo = mid+1
        elif(li[mid] < li[hi]):
            hi = mid
        else:
            return mid
```

1) (optional for HW 1)

2) The algorithm is  $O(\log_2(N))$ , where  $N$  is the length of the input list. The algorithm gets rid of half of the search space at each iteration by comparing middle index to the highest index. This is essentially same as binary search, which is  $O(\log_2(N))$ .