### PMATH 351 - REAL ANALYSIS

### FANTASTIC THEOREMS AND HOW TO PROVE THEM

Jose Luis Avilez
Faculty of Mathematics
University of Waterloo

## Chapter 1

# Cardinality and the Real Numbers

Office hours are on Tuesdays from 3-4pm and Wednesdays from 3:30 to 4:30 in MC5306.

**Definition 1.1.** Two sets, A, B have the same **cardinality** if there is a bijection between them. In this case we write |A| = |B|. We say that  $|A| \le |B|$  if there is an injection from A to B.

**Theorem 1.2.** Cardinality is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Reflexivity is achieved through the identity map; the symmetric property is achieved by f and  $f^{-1}$ ; transitivity is achieved by the fact that if f and g are bijections then gf is a bijection.

**Example 1.3. Some infinite sets.** We have that  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ; thus, the embedding mapping is an injection and we get, for free, that  $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |\mathbb{Z}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}| \leq |\mathbb{R}|$ . Enumerations exist to show that the natural, integer, and rational numbers have the same cardinality.

**Definition 1.4.** We say that A is **countably infinite** if  $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$ . We say that A is **countable** if it is either finite or countably infinite. A set that is not countable is said to be **uncountable**.

**Example 1.5.** The set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countably infinite. We achieve such an enumeration by walking across the lattice diagonally, thus  $|\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = \mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 1.6.** A similar method as above (walking on  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ ) leads to the fact that  $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Q}|$ 

**Theorem 1.7.** Any countable union of countable sets is countable. That is,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$$

is countable if each set  $A_i$  is countable.

*Proof.* We can list each set  $A_i = \{a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots\}$ . We use a similar argument as above. We have

$$U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \{a_{ij} | i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Thus, there is a bijection from the union U to  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and the union is countable.

**Theorem 1.8.** Every infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  is countably infinite.

*Proof.* Let B be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . By the well-ordering principle, B has a least element, say  $b_1$ . Naturally,  $B \setminus \{b_1\}$  is infinite and has a least element, say  $b_2$ . By repeating this procedure, we find a bijection between B and  $\mathbb{N}$ . More formally, suppose  $b \in B$ . Consider  $\{n \in B : n \leq b\}$ , which is a finite set with cardinality, say k. Then we would have arrived at b after k steps; that is,  $b = b_k$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** If A is infinite and  $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$  then  $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $j: A \to \mathbb{N}$  be an injection. Let  $B = j(A) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that  $j: A \to B$  is an bijection and |A| = |B| and B is infinite. By Theorem 1.8, it follows that B is countably infinite and, thus, so is A.

**Theorem 1.10.** The set of real numbers  $A = \{x : 0 \le x < 1\}$  is uncountable.

Proof. Cantor's argument. Arguing by contradiction, suppose A is countable, say  $[0,1) = \{r_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Denote the decimal expansion of  $r_i = 0.r_{i1}r_{i2}...$  with  $r_{ij} \in \{0,1,...,9\}$ . Define  $a = 0.a_1a_2a_3...$  where  $a_k = 1$  if  $r_{kk} \geq 5$  and  $a_k = 8$  if  $r_{kk} < 5$ . Note that a has a unique representation because it does not end in a tail of zeroes or nines. Not that a cannot equal any of the  $r_i$ s, since  $a_k \neq r_{kk}$  for any k. Since  $[0,1) \neq \{r_i\}$ , a contradiction. Thus A is uncountable.

**Theorem 1.11.**  $\mathbb{R}$  *is uncountable.* 

*Proof.* Follows trivially from Theorem 1.10 using the embedding map  $f:[0,1)\to\mathbb{R}$  and reaching a contradiction.

**Definition 1.12.** We say that  $|\mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0$  and  $|\mathbb{R}| = \aleph_1$ .

**Theorem 1.13.** The Continuum Hypothesis is undecidable. That is, the question, is there a set A such that  $|\mathbb{N}| < |A| < |\mathbb{R}|$ , is unanswerable given the standard axioms of set theory.

**Definition 1.14.** Given a set A, the power set of A,  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , is the set of all subsets of A.

**Theorem 1.15.** For a finite set A with |A| = n,  $|\mathcal{P}(A)| = 2^n$ .

Proof. Trivial.

**Theorem 1.16.** Cantor's Theorem. For any set A,  $|A| \leq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$  and  $|A| \neq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ .

*Proof.* Define an injection by sending an element to its singleton set. Now, arguing by contradiction, suppose we have a bijection  $g: A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Let  $B = \{a \in A : a \in g(a)\} \subseteq A$ . Since  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Hence  $\exists x \in A$  such that g(x) = B. Now we ask whether  $x \in B$ . Suppose yes; that is  $x \in B$ . Then  $x \notin g(x)$  and  $x \notin B$ , a contradiction. Now, suppose  $x \notin B$ , then by the definition of B, x must be in B, a contradiction.

Either way, we have just shown that there cannot exist such a bijection g. Thus,  $|A| \neq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ .

**Definition 1.17.** We use the notation  $2^A = \{f : A \to \{0,1\}\}.$ 

Theorem 1.18.  $|2^A| = |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ 

*Proof.* Define  $g: \mathcal{P}(A) \to 2^A$  as  $B \mapsto 1_B$  where  $1_B(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin B$  and  $1_B(x) = 1$  if  $x \in B$ . It remains to prove that g is a bijection.

Theorem 1.19.  $|A| < |2^A|$ 

*Proof.* It follows from Theorems 1.16 and 1.18.

**Theorem 1.20.** Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem. If  $|A| \leq |B|$  and  $|B| \leq |A|$ , then |A| = |B|.

*Proof.* The strategy is to determine whether we can arrange a  $D^c = g(f(D)^c)$ . Let  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to A$  be bijections. Define  $Q: \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A)$  as  $E \mapsto [g(f(E)^c)]^c$ . We would like to argue that Q has a fixed point. We claim that if  $E \subseteq F \subseteq A$  then  $Q(E) \subseteq Q(F)$ . Clearly,  $E \subseteq F$  implies that  $f(E) \subseteq f(F)$  and  $f(F)^c \subseteq f(E)^c$ . From here, we note that  $Q(F) = g(f(F)^c) \subseteq g(f(E)^c) = Q(E)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{D} = \{E \subseteq A : E \subseteq Q(E)\}$  and put

$$D = \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{D}} E \subseteq A$$

If  $E \in \mathcal{D}$  them  $E \subseteq D$ . By the claim,  $Q(E) \subseteq Q(D)$ . If  $E \in \mathcal{D}$  then  $E \subseteq Q(E) \subseteq (D)$ , since  $E \subseteq D$ . So

$$\bigcup_{E\mathcal{D}} E \subseteq Q(D)$$

which means that  $Q(D) \subseteq Q(Q(D))$  and  $Q(D) \in \mathcal{D}$ , which implies that  $Q(D) \subseteq D$  and D = Q(D) (that is, D is our desired fixed point). This argument is simply some symbolic manipulation using an order-preserving argument.

Now, we have that  $D = Q(D) = (g(f(D)^c))^c$ ; i.e.  $D^c = g(f(D)^c)$ . Define  $h: A \to B$  as

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in D\\ g^{-1}(x) & x \in D^c \end{cases}$$

where  $g^{-1}$  is the restriction of  $g: f(D)^c \to g(f(D)^c)$ . By construction, h is both injective and surjective, and thus a bijection from A to B. Hence |A| = |B|.

**Example 1.21.** If  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3$  and  $|A_1| = |A_3|$ , then  $|A_1| = |A_2| = |A_3|$ . This follows trivially since the embedding maps provide injections in the forward direction and the equal cardinality of  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  provides the existence of a bijection from  $A_3$  to  $A_1$ . Then the composition of the embedding of  $A_2$  to  $A_3$  with the bijection is an injection from  $A_2$  to  $A_1$ .

**Example 1.22.**  $|(0,1)| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . Since these are nested sets, the embeddings serve as forward injections. We can construct an explicit bijection  $h : \mathbb{R} \to (0,1)$  as

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\arctan(x) + \frac{1}{2}$$

and we are done.

**Example 1.23.**  $|\mathbb{R}| = |2^{\mathbb{N}}|$ . Our strategy is to show that  $|[0,1)| = |2^{\mathbb{N}}|$ . Take  $r \in [0,1)$  and write  $r = 0.r_1r_2r_3...$  where  $r_j \in \{0,1\}$ , that is its binary representation. Note that the only non-unique representations are tails of 1s and tails of 0s. Define  $f_r : \mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}$  as  $f_r(n) = r_n$  (the *n*-th digit in its binary representation. Define  $i : [0,1) \to 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  as  $r \mapsto f_r$ . This is an injection since two distinct numbers will have distinct binary representations. Thus  $|[0,1)| \le |2^{\mathbb{N}}|$ .

Now, for the other direction, define the map  $f \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto 0.0f(1)0f(2)0f(3) \dots \in [0,1)$ . This is injective because non-unique representations end with a tail of 1s or 0s, but this function will never map to the representation with the tail of ones. Thus, by the Schroeder-Bernstein theorem, the result follows.

**Definition 1.24.** We say that a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to L if and only if, for all  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a natural number  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_k-L|<\epsilon$  for all k>n.

**Definition 1.25. Completeness axiom.** We say that a set is **complete** if every bounded increasing sequence converges.

**Theorem 1.26.** The completeness axiom is equivalent to:

- 1. Every Cauchy sequence converges.
- 2. The least upper bound property.

Proof. Exercise.

**Definition 1.27.** We say that the **real numbers**,  $\mathbb{R}$  is an ordered field that contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  and satisfies the completeness axiom.

**Theorem 1.28.** Archimedean Principle. Given any  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a natural number N such that N > r.

*Proof.* Arguing by contradiction, suppose this is not true; that is, there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $N \leq r$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . We put  $x_n = n$ . Since it is bounded (by r), it must converge to, say,  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$ . Then, there exists an N such that  $|x_n - L| < \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Then,

$$1 = |x_N - x_{N+1}| \le |x_N - L| + |L - x_{N+1}| < \frac{2}{3}$$

This is a contradiction, hence, the Archimedean Principle holds.

**Example 1.29.** For every x < y with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a rational number  $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x < \frac{p}{q} < y$ . We say that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is **dense** in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 1.30.** Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is an **upper bound** for S if for every  $x \in S$ ,  $x \le r$ . If a set has an upper bound then we say it is **bounded above**.

**Definition 1.31.** We say that  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is the **least upper bound** of a set S if, for all y < r, y is not an upper bound. We say that  $r = \sup S$  or r = lubS. Note that r is unique. In a similar manner we can define a **greatest upper bound**.

**Theorem 1.32.** Some true facts about least upper bounds:

- 1. If  $b \in S$  and b is an upper bound then  $b = \sup S$ .
- 2. If  $\{x_k\}$  is an increasing and bounded sequence and  $S = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$  then  $\sup S = \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k$ .
- 3.  $B = \sup S$  if and only if B is an upper bound for S and  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $x \in S$  such that  $x > B \epsilon$ .

Proof. Exercise.

**Theorem 1.33.** Completeness Theorem. If S is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above, then  $\sup S$  exists.

*Proof.* The strategy is to construct a bounded increasing sequence that will converge and argue that the limit of that sequence will in fact be the supremum.

We relax notation and say that  $x \geq S$  if  $z \geq x$  for all  $x \in S$ . Pick  $y \in S$  (we can do this since  $S \neq \emptyset$ ). Let  $x_0 = y - 1$ . Now, pick  $N_0$  to be the least integer such that  $x_0 + N_0 \geq S$ . Note that  $N_0 > 0$  and it must exist, because S is bounded above.

Now, put  $x_1 = x_0 + N_0 - 1 \ge x_0$ . Thus,  $x_0 + N_0 - 1 \not\ge S$ . Thus, there exists  $s_1 \in S$  such that  $s_1 > x_1$ . Note that  $x_1 + 1 = x_0 + N_0 \ge S$ .

Choose the least integer  $N_1$  such that  $x_1 + \frac{N_1}{2} \ge S$ . Clearly  $N_1 \ne 0$ , so  $N_1 \ge 1$ . Since  $x_1 + 1 \ge S$ , then  $N_1 \le 2$ . That is,  $N_1$  is either one or two!

Put  $x_2 = x_1 + \frac{N_1 - 1}{2} \ge x_1$ . By the definition of  $N_1$ , there exists an  $s_2 \in S$  with  $s_2 > x_2$ . Simultaneously,  $x_2 + frac12 = x_1 + \frac{N_1}{2} \ge S$ .

Inductively, define  $x_n = x_{n-1} + \frac{N_{n-1}-1}{n}$  where  $N_{n-1}$  is the least integer such that  $x_{n-1} + \frac{N_{n-1}}{n} \ge S$ . Then, there exists  $s_n \in S$  such that  $x_n > s_n$  and  $x_n + \frac{1}{n} \ge S$ . At the next step,  $N_n \ge 1$  implies that  $x_n \le x_{n+1}$ .

Thus, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is increasing and bounded above by any upper bound for S. Hence, by the completeness axiom  $x_n \to L \in \mathbb{R}$ . We claim that  $L = \sup S$ . First, we note that L is an upper bound for S. Next, suppose there exists  $s \in S$  such that s > L; then, there exists an N such that  $s > L + \frac{1}{N} \ge x_n + \frac{1}{N}$ . The remainder of the proof is an exercise.

**Definition 1.34.** We say that a sequence is **Cauchy** if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in N$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N$  we have  $|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 1.35.** The following are true facts about Cauchy sequences:

- 1. A Cauchy sequence is bounded
- 2. Any convergent sequence is Cauchy
- 3. Completeness property. Cauchy sequences converge.

*Proof.* The first two properties follow from the definition. The third is quite profound and requires some work. We refer to the MATH 147 notes for a proof. The strategy is to prove that there are convergent subsequences with limit L and that the limit of the original Cauchy sequence must be L.

Alternatively, we may argue by the upcoming Theorem 1.40. Since Cauchy sequences are bounded, their limit superior exists (by the completeness property) and there is a subsequence convergent to it, say L. Since the sequence is Cauchy, it follows that it converges to L.

**Definition 1.36.** We define the terms **limit superior** and **limit inferior** of a bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . Let  $A_n = \inf\{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \ldots\}$ ; note that  $A_n$  is increasing and bounded, and thus converges to L. We say that

$$L = \lim_{n} A_n = \lim_{n} \inf x_n = \sup_{n} (\inf \{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\})$$

is the limit inferior. We can define the limit superior similarly as

$$\limsup x_n = \lim (\sup \{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \ldots\})$$

Note that  $\liminf x_n \leq \limsup x_n$  since each  $A_n \leq B_n$ .

**Example 1.37.** Define the following sequence,

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ -\frac{1}{n} & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\limsup x_n = 1$  and  $\liminf x_n = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.38.**  $L = \limsup x_n$  if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  then  $x_n < L + \epsilon$  for all but finitely many n and  $x_n > L - \epsilon$  for infinitely many n. Likewise,  $L = \liminf x_n$  if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  then  $x_n < L + \epsilon$  for infinitely many n and  $x_n > L - \epsilon$  for all but finitely many n.

**Theorem 1.39.** Every bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence that converges to  $\limsup x_n$  and a subsequence that converges to  $\liminf x_n$ .

*Proof.* For all k we can find  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $L - \frac{1}{k} < x_{n_k} < L + \frac{1}{k}$  where  $L = \limsup x_n$ . The terms  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converge to L and the result follows from the squeeze theorem.

**Theorem 1.40.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges if and only if  $\limsup x_n = \liminf x_n$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Longrightarrow)$  Duh.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Follows from the characterisation of Theorem 1.38.

**Theorem 1.41.** Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem. Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* Follows by the existence of the limit superior and limit inferior.

## Chapter 2

# Metric Spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A set X is called a **metric space** if there is a function  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  (called a **distance function** or **metric**) which satisfies the following properties:

- 1. d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y
- 2. d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- 3.  $d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y)$  for all  $x,y,z \in X$  (the triangle inequality)

We write (X, d) to denote the metric space X with metric d.

**Example 2.2.** In  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we have the Euclidean metric  $d(x,y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2}$ .

**Example 2.3.**  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_1)$  is a metric space where  $d_1(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$ .

**Example 2.4.**  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_{\infty})$  is a metric space where  $d_{\infty}(x, y) = \max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|)$ .

**Example 2.5.** If we look at the sets  $\{x \in X | d(x,0) < 1\}$ , then we have a circle with the standard norm, a diamond with the  $d_1$  metric, and a square with the  $d_{\infty}$  metric.

**Example 2.6.** Let X be any set. We define the discrete metric as

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, d) is a metric space.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} | \text{bounded sequences} \} = l^{\infty}$  and  $d_{\infty}(x,y) = \sup_n |x_n - y_n|$ . Then  $(X,d_{\infty})$  is a metric space. It is also a vector space. An interesting vector subspace is  $c_0$ , the set of all sequences which converge to zero.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} : \sum |x_n| < \infty\} = l^1$  and  $d_1(x,y) = \sum |x_n - y_n|$ . This is a metric space.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $X = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < \infty\} = l^2$  and  $d_2(x,y) = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^2}$ . This is a metric space which generalises the Euclidean metric.  $l^2$  is an inner product space with the inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum x_n y_n$ 

**Example 2.10.** For any real inner product space X with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , we can define a metric  $d(x,y) = \sqrt{\langle x - y, x - y \rangle}$ . The condition which requires some work is the triangle inequality. We can prove this using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality  $||\langle x, y \rangle|| \le ||x|| ||y||$ .

**Definition 2.11. Convergence.** Let (X,d) be a metric space and let  $x_n, x_0 \in X$ . We say that  $x_n \to X_0$  if  $d(x_n, x_0) \to 0$ .

Now we discuss some topology. Cool!

**Definition 2.12.** We say that the **ball** in (X, d) is the set  $B(x_o, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(x, x_0) < r\}$ . Some balls were observed in Example 2.5.

**Example 2.13.** The balls in the discrete metric are either the whole space X for r > 1 or the singleton  $\{x_0\}$  for  $r \le 1$ . Remark: analysis on this space is either great, because there is only one thing to do, or tragic, because there are very few things to do.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $U \subseteq X$ . We say that  $x_0 \in U$  is an **interior point** if there exists an r > 0 such that  $B(x_0, r) \subseteq U$ . We denote the set of interior points of U as Int(U).

**Definition 2.15.** We say that a set is **open** if every point in U is an interior point.

**Example 2.16.** In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the set  $\{(x,y): x \in (0,1)\}$  is open with respect to  $d_1, d_2, d_\infty$ . In fact (exercise), in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  a set which is open in one metric is open in the other two.

**Example 2.17.** X and the empty set are always open on any metric space.

**Example 2.18.** Balls are open sets. The proof of this fact follows from the intuition of what happens in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Theorem 2.19.** Any finite intersection of open sets is open.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove for two open sets  $U_1, U_2$ . Let  $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$ . Since  $U_j$  is open and  $x \in U_j$  then  $\exists r_j > 0$  such that  $B(x, r_j) \subseteq U_j$ . Take  $r = \min(r_1, r_2) > 0$ . Then

$$B(x,r) \subseteq B(x,r_1) \cap B(c,r_2) \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$$

which completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.20.** Any arbitrary union of open sets is open.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha}$ . Pick  $\alpha$  such that  $x \in U_{\alpha}$ . Since  $U_{\alpha}$  is open, there exists an r such that  $B(x,r) \subseteq U_{\alpha} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha}$ , and we are done.

**Theorem 2.21.** U is an open set if and only if U is the union of balls.

 $(\longleftarrow)$  Balls are open so their union is open.

 $(\Longrightarrow)$  For every  $x \in U$ , there exists  $r_x > 0$  such that  $B(x, r_x) \subseteq U$ . Then  $\bigcup_{x \in U} B(x, r_x) = U$ . Easy-piecey!

**Theorem 2.22.** Int(U) is the union of all open subsets of U.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \text{Int}(U)$ . Then, there exists an r > 0 such that  $B(x,r) \subseteq U$  and that ball is open so, x is contained in the right hand sand expression.

Conversely, if y is in the union of all open sets, then y is in an open set V contained in U. Then  $y \in B(y,r) \subseteq V \subseteq U$ . Thus  $y \in \text{Int}(U)$ .

**Definition 2.23.** A set U is said to be **closed** if  $U^c = X \setminus U$  is open.

**Example 2.24.** In X with the discrete metric, every set is closed since every set is open.

**Example 2.25.** In any metric space, X and  $\emptyset$  are closed.

**Example 2.26.** The singleton set in every metric space is closed.

**Theorem 2.27.** Any finite union of closed sets is closed.

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2$ . Then,  $(A_1 \cup A_2)^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c$ , where  $A_i^c$  is open and their intersection is open by Theorem 2.19. Thus  $(A_1 \cup A_2)^c$  is closed.

**Theorem 2.28.** Any intersection of closed sets is closed.

*Proof.* If  $A_i \in I$  are closed then, by De Morgan's Laws,

$$\left(\bigcap_{A_i \in I} A_i\right)^c = \bigcup_{A_i \in I} A_i^c$$

of which every set in the right-hand side is open. Thus, by Theorem 2.20, their union is open so the arbitrary intersection is closed.

**Definition 2.29.** Let  $E \subseteq X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called an **accumulation point of** E (a.k.a. **cluster or limit point**) if for all r > 0, B(x, r) contains a point of E other than x. That is

$$B(x,r) \cap (E \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset \qquad \forall r > 0$$

The points in E that are not accumulation points of E are called **isolated point**.

**Theorem 2.30.** The following are awesome facts:

- 1. If x is an accumulation point of E then every ball around x contains infinitely many points of E.
- 2. If x is an accumulation point then any open set containing x has infinitely many points in E.
- 3. A finite set has no accumulation points.

*Proof.* From MATH 247.

**Theorem 2.31.** A set E is closed if and only if it contains all its accumulation points<sup>1</sup>.

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Assume E is closed. Let  $x \notin E$ ; we claim that x is not an accumulation point of E. Then  $x \in E^c$ , which is open since E was closed. Thus, we can obtain a ball  $B(x,r) \subseteq E^c$  and B(x,r) does not contain any points in E. Hence x is not an accumulation point.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose E contains all its accumulation point; we want to show that  $E^c$ . The strategy is to take  $x \in E^c$  and show it is an interior point of  $E^c$ . Since we know that x is not an accumulation point of E, there exists an r > 0 such that  $B(x,r) \cap E = \emptyset$  and thus  $B(x,r) \subset E^c$  and x is an interior point of  $E^c$ . Since x was arbitrary, every point in  $E^c$  is an interior point so  $E^c$  is open.

**Definition 2.32.** We denote the **closure** of E as  $clE = \overline{E} = E \cup \{accumulation points of <math>E\}$ . Note that E is closed if and only if  $E = \overline{E}$ .

**Definition 2.33.** We say that  $E \subseteq X$  is **dense in** X if  $\overline{E} = X$ .

**Theorem 2.34.** The following are closed facts of life:

- 1.  $\overline{E}$  is closed
- 2.  $\overline{E} = \cap_{B \supset E} B$  for all closed B.

Proof. We start at the beginning. Let  $x \in (\overline{E})^c$ . Then  $x \notin E$  and it is not an accumulation point of E. Hence there exists r > 0 such that  $B(x,r) \cap E = \emptyset$ . Furthermore, we claim that  $B(x,r) \cap \overline{E} = \emptyset$ . Suppose, for contradiction,  $z \in B(x,r) \cap \overline{E}$ , then z is an accumulation point. Then, every open set containing z contains points in E. So B(x,r) must contain points of E, as it is open and contains z, which is a contradiction. Thus  $B(x,r) \cap \overline{E} = \emptyset$ . Thus  $B(x,r) \subseteq (\overline{E})^c$ , making any arbitrary x an interior point of  $(\overline{E})^c$ , which is open.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recall that MATH 247 Assignment which had a billion multi-part questions; lest we forget.