

Final Grade Reflection

Hannah Pawig

As of now, I have an understanding of all learning targets from week 1 until now; I've only submitted late work during week 4, but every other assignment I've submitted on time. In Preview Activity 7, I demonstrated my ability to read in data of several formats (WD-1). In Lab 3's final task to find Justin Bieber in the hiphop data set, I showed my ability to select the most essential columns from the data set (WD-2), filter a data frame for different data types (WD-3), as well as my ability to write robust programs which are resistant to changes in inputs (R-3). For example, instead of filtering the data set by a *bieber* score equal to the specific max value in the data set (5 in this case), I instead used slice max to find the person that had the highest *bieber* score and also satisfied the other Bieber requirements; my code will always find the person who had the max *bieber* score (of the 17 to 23 year old white males who come from a town of 10,000 to 60,000) no matter the max score for that variable. Lab 3 questions 4 and 5 are examples of WD-4. In Challenge 4, I showed that I understand WD-5 (while creating my data set), DVS-1, and that I am able to find summaries of variables across multiple groups such as California metro regions (DVS-5). Lab 4 question 5 exemplifies WD-6, DVS-2, DVS-3, and DVS-4. Generally, Lab 4 also is professional looking document (R-1) with tidy and mostly concise code (R-2 & PE-1), where pipelines are as efficient as possible without separate pipelines for mutating joins or other things. Lab 4 is also where I showed evidence of understanding PE-3, where I only created new data sets that I know I would need in the future for graphs or joins with other data sets. Lab 4 question 7 is where I used some modern tools, such as `pivot_longer()` and `mutate()` to help modify my data in order to visualize then analyze it.

I've displayed a commitment to continued learning by always trying to find ways to revise my original thinking every time I am given the chance, and finding alternative solutions that we may not have seen in class but doing my own research to give other methods a try on assignments. In my first attempt to revise a few questions from Lab 4 (found in lab 4 pre-revision.html), I did end up changing my methods to find the correct answers for questions 4 and 5; for 6, I found the differences in avocado prices by type using the `match()` function, which is beyond the scope of this course, so I earned a G on that problem. I believe this to be one instance where I extended my learning because I found an alternative way to calculate differences between rows. In my second revision of Lab 4, which I am submitting for this portfolio, I was able to find a way to use `pivot_wider()` for question to find differences in

price between avocado types within each of the 4 California regions. In each of my revisions, my attached reflections were not a simple comment about me changing my code; I explained and intentionally reflected on my old and new thought processes (an example can be found in supporting artifacts).

In my group, I feel as though we collaborate in class often and are usually on the lookout for each other's progress. We don't explicitly assign roles to each other, but each of us have done responsibilities from all 4 different roles throughout the quarter. Outside of class, each of us communicate in our group text chat in which we have many conversations about any and all of the course assignments, which is essential to our group's collaboration because we have different schedules. I came to Tuesday lectures with all preview activities completed (except when I was out sick for a couple weeks and couldn't attend in person meetings, but I still did the preview activities on time). I continuously have put the effort into helping my group mates and collaborating with them on assignments, in and out of the classroom.

I have responded to peer feedback through my code projects after the given constructive criticism, like how I made sure to name all arguments so that it would be helpful when others read my code like Harshini had suggested (see `peer_feedback.qmd`). Every peer review I have given, I have put in all my effort to praise their code and nicely critique in a meaningful way; an example of my peer reviewing can be found in the `giving_peer_feedback` Quarto doc.

My contribution to the classroom community is working with classmates outside of my assigned group who ask for help or are willing to work together on an assignment, always treating them in a respectful manner and intently listening to them when they speak to me - as we all should already be doing. I truly believe that I am on my path to achieving all of the goals I set for myself in the beginning of the course, especially because I feel as though I have learned many new methods in Rstudio that are beyond my base knowledge of the use of Rstudio and R. Another way I have contributed a little to the classroom community is by asking general questions about assignments in our discord server a few times, instead of immediately sending a private message to Dr. T. After compiling some of my work thus far in the quarter, I believe that I've earned an A- so far in this course.