

EVENTS PORTAL

Create event -

My profile

Home » PRACE Training Events » PRACE Training Centre Events » [ONLINE] MD simulations with a focus...

Switch to display view

[ONLINE] MD simulations with a clone focus on NAMD @ SNIC 7 Apr - 8





Created by Birgitte Maria Elisabeth Brydsö (bbrydsoe@cs.umu.se)

Survey

Results for "Please provide your feedback!"

7 people responded to this survey

Settings

Timetable

Protection

▼ I Organization

Materials

Contributions

Participant Roles

Programme

Reminders

Roles Setup

Sessions

Surveys

- Workflows
- Reports
- Customization
- Advanced options

1. Overall, how would you rate this training event?

Answered:

A. 10 - excellent: 1 (14.29%)

B. 9: 2 (28.57%)

C. 8: 2 (28.57%)

D. 7: 1 (14.29%)

E. 6: 1 (14.29%)

F. 5: 0 (0.00%)

G. 4: 0 (0.00%)

H. 3: 0 (0.00%)

I. 2: 0 (0.00%)

J. 1: 0 (0.00%)

K. 0 - bad: 0 (0.00%)

2. Training event content and feedback to lecturers (e.g. topic, materials, exercises, structure): – What did you like best?

Ans were

Day 2 was overall great

Online form

For me, the most useful component of the course was the worked examples of setting up a NAMD simulation from scratch.

important topic was really needed, basic simulation

- Where should we improve?

Answered: 4

I think an overview of some namd tools is also benifitial

More basic exercises. Also, please reconsider the process to get accounts in SUPR when outside Swedish academia! Postal mail is too slow - I sent in my signature more than 10 days before the course and it wasn't early enough

I wish there was an extra session to cover the technical aspects of running simulations - setting up the force field, bond topography, etc.

even more on setting up basic simulation in differint situation

3. Which future training topics would you like to be provided by PRACE or the training host? red: 2

As mentined in this course there are online tools that one can use but all of them have limitations. For example there is charmm-gui (online) it is well documented and there are video tutorial about it. But I could not use it for my large system. I like to now how cgenff works and run it without limitation on the cluster.

More MD courses for other software

4. Training event organisation (e.g. announcement, registration, venue, catering): — What did you like

Ans were best: Where should we improve:

This might be very personal point of view. I like more but shorter session which give me more time to read about the topic and play around the inputs.

Info mail was good. Q/A page worked well

online - good super reg - bad

Good online, good to have the info page, less good to provide own computer

5. Would you recommend this training event to others?

Answered:

A. yes: 7 (100.00%)

B. no: 0 (0.00%)



6. Subject of course for me was

Answered: 7

A. important: 7 (100.00%)

B. minor: 0 (0.00%)

0

C. not relevant: 0 (0.00%)

3. Hot relevant. 0 (0.0070)

7. I was inspired to new ways of thinking

Answered: 7

A. yes: 6 (85.71%)

B. partially: 1 (14.29%)

0 B

C. no: 0 (0.00%)

8. Length of course was

Answered: 7

A. adequate: 4 (57.14%)

B. too short: 3 (42.86%)

C. too long: 0 (0.00%)

9. Depth of content was

Answered: 7

A. adequate: 7 (100.00%)

B. too superficial: 0 (0.00%)

0

C. too profound: 0 (0.00%)

10. The pace of teaching was

Answered: 7

A. adequate: 5 (71.43%)

C. too fast: 2 (28.57%)

B. too slow: 0 (0.00%)



11. Teaching aids used (e.g. slides) were well prepared

Answered:

7

A. agree completely: 4 (57.14%)

B. agree: 2 (28.57%)

0 C

C. no strong feelings: 1 (14.29%)

D. disagree: 0 (0.00%)

E. disagree completely: 0 (0.00%)

12. Hands-on exercises and demonstrations were


Answered:

7

A. adequate: 4 (57.14%)

B. too few: 3 (42.86%)

C. too many: 0 (0.00%)





Powered by Indico v2.3.2 Help | Contact | Legal notice