Fall2016 HomeWork1

Hema Venkata Krishna Giri Narra

September 21, 2016

Density Estimation 1

MLE of Beta Distribution

Given that the i.i.d random variables are of Beta distribution with $\beta = 1$ we

have:
Beta
$$(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}1 - x^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}$$

Since $\beta = 1$:

Since
$$\beta = 1$$

Beta
$$(\alpha, \beta) = x^{\alpha - 1} \alpha$$

The log likelihood function for MLE of α for this PDF is: $l(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log(x_i^{\alpha-1}\alpha)$ Taking the derivative of l w.r.t α and setting it to zero

$$l(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log(x_i^{\alpha - 1} \alpha)$$

$$\frac{dl(\alpha)}{d\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\log(x_i) + \frac{1}{\alpha}) = 0$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{-N}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log(x_i)}$$

MLE of Normal Distribution

$$N(\theta, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp^{\frac{-(x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta}}$$

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log\sqrt{2\pi\theta} - \frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta}\right)$$

 $N(\theta,\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp^{\frac{-(x_i-\theta)^2}{2\theta}}$ The log likelihood function for MLE of θ for this PDF is: $l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log\sqrt{2\pi\theta} - \frac{(x_i-\theta)^2}{2\theta}\right)$ Taking the derivative of l w.r.t θ and setting it to zero $\frac{dl(\theta)}{d\theta} = 0$

$$\rightarrow N\theta^2 + N\theta - \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 = 0$$

$$\to \hat{\theta} = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2)}$$

To maximize $l(\theta), \frac{d^2l}{d\theta} < 0$

$$\begin{split} & \rightarrow \theta < -\frac{1}{2} \\ & \rightarrow \hat{\theta} = -\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} x_i{}^2)} \end{split}$$

1.3 Kernel Density Estimation

By linearity of Expectation: $E[\hat{f}(x)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(\frac{1}{h}K(\frac{x-X_i}{h}))$

Since X_i are independent and identically distributed according f(x)

Since
$$X_i$$
 are independent and identically distributed according $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x})$
$$\sum_{i=1}^n E(\frac{1}{h}K(\frac{x-X_i}{h})) = nE(\frac{1}{h}K(\frac{x-X}{h}))$$

$$E[\hat{f}(x)]$$

$$= E(\frac{1}{h}K(\frac{x-X}{h}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{h}\int K(\frac{x-X}{h})f(t)dt$$

$$= \int K(z)f(x-hz)dz$$
 By Taylor's theorem at \mathbf{x} :
$$= \int dzK(z)(f(x)+f'(x)(-hz)) + \frac{f''(x)}{2!}(-zh)^2 + \frac{f'''(x)}{3!}(-zh)^3..$$
 Applying properties of kernel function $\int zK(z)dz = 0$, $\int K(z)dz = 1$
$$= f(x) + \frac{f''(x)}{2!}\int dzK(z)(-zh)^2 + O(h)^3..$$

$$\to Bias(\hat{f}(x)) = E(\hat{f}(x)-f(x)) = \frac{f''(x)}{2!}h^2\int z^2K(z)dz + O(h)^3$$
 If $h \to 0$ then $Bias \to 0$

$\mathbf{2}$ Naive Bayes Parameters estimation

2.1

$$\begin{split} P(Y=1/X) &= \frac{P(\frac{X}{Y=1})P(Y=1)}{P(\frac{X}{Y=1})P(Y=1) + P(\frac{X}{Y=0})P(Y=0)} \\ &\to \frac{1}{1 + \frac{P(\frac{X}{Y=0})P(Y=0)}{P(\frac{X}{Y=1})P(Y=1)}} \\ &\to \frac{1}{1+F} \\ \text{On Taking log and simplifying the term F} \end{split}$$

$$\log T = \log \frac{1-\pi}{\pi} + \sum_{j=1}^{D} \frac{u_{j_1}^2 - u_{j_0}^2}{2\sigma_j^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{D} x_j \frac{u_{j_0} - u_{j_1}}{\sigma_j^2}$$

Taking exp on both sides and then substituting F in the expression of P(Y =1/X) above

$$\rightarrow w_0 = -\left(\log \frac{1-\pi}{\pi} + \sum_{j=1}^{D} \frac{u_{j_1}^2 - u_{j_0}^2}{2\sigma_j^2}\right)$$

Not sure if **w** is expected in vector form. In this case $\mathbf{w}^T = \big[\frac{u_{10} - u_{11}}{\sigma_1^2} \frac{u_{20} - u_{21}}{\sigma_2^2} \frac{u_{D0} - u_{D1}}{\sigma_D^2}\big]$

$$\mathbf{w}^T = \left[\frac{u_{10} - u_{11}}{\sigma_1^2} \frac{u_{20} - u_{21}}{\sigma_2^2} \dots \frac{u_{D0} - u_{D1}}{\sigma_D^2} \right]$$

2.2b

To Maximize likelihood for the parameters take partial derivative of log likelihood w.r.t each and equate to 0.

$$\hat{u_{jk}} = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} x_j$$

$$\hat{\sigma_{jk}} = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{j=1}^{D} (x_j - u_{jk})^2$$

kNN 3

3.1 \mathbf{a}

Mean of inputs is (12.769, 12.307)

Std. Deviation is (20.717, 25.93)

Normalized coordinates for (20,7) are (0.349, -0.204)

L2 metric, K = 1:

Computer Science, distance is 0.457

L2 metric , K = 5:

5 nearest points in order are Computer Science, Electrical Engineering, Computer Science, Economics, Economics

Tie and computer science is closest \rightarrow Computer Science

L1 metric , K = 1:

Electrical Engineering, distance is 0.6272

L1 metric , K = 5:

5 nearest points in order are Electrical Engineering, Computer Science, Computer Science, Economics, Economics

Tie and closest data point belongs to Computer science \rightarrow Computer science

For K=5 both metrics give the same major

For K=1 both metrics give different majors

L1 metric gives different majors when K=1, K=5

L2 metric gives the same major in both K=1,5

3.2 b

Unconditional density using total probability theorem

The contributation of the stry using
$$p(x) = \sum_{c} p(\frac{x}{Y=c}) P(Y=c)$$

$$= \sum_{c} \frac{K_c}{N_c V} \frac{N_c}{N}$$

$$= \frac{K_c}{V N}$$

$$= \frac{K}{V N}$$
Posterior probability by Bay

Posterior probability by Bayes rule:
$$p(\frac{Y=c}{x}) = \frac{p(\frac{x}{Y=c})p(Y=c)}{p(x)}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{K_c}{NV}}{\frac{K}{VN}}$$
$$= \frac{K_c}{K}$$

4 Decision Tree

4.1 a

Conditional Entropy of accident rate (Y) when weather(X) is chosen as first predictor variable to split:

With Traffic chosen as predictor variable to split first:

Information Gain with Traffic is more. Hence, we choose Traffic as the first predictor variable.

4.2 b

The trees T_1, T_2 will have identical structure i,e, same pattern of predictor variables and leaf nodes.

Since probabilities are used while calculating entropies, normalization should not change the tree structure.

4.3 c

$$\begin{split} p_k &<= \frac{2^{p_k}}{2} \text{ for } p_k \text{ in } [0,1] \\ &\rightarrow \log p_k <= p_k - 1 \\ &\rightarrow 1 - p_k + \log p_k <= 0 \\ &\rightarrow p_k (1 - p_k + \log p_k) <= 0 \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^K 1 - p_k + \log p_k <= 0 \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^K p_k (1 - p_k) <= \sum_{k=1}^K p_k (\log p_k) \\ &\rightarrow GiniIndex <= Cross - entropy \end{split}$$

5 Programming

5.1 Data Inspection

There are 11 attributes.

Not all of them are meaningful for classification.

The first attribute ID number is not meaningful attribute for classification. The last attribute (class) is not a feature attribute

k	L1 Training Accuracy	L2 Training Accuracy	L1 Testing Accuracy	L2 Testing Accuracy
1	75.00	71.43	66.67	66.67
3	72.96	71.43	72.22	66.67
5	70.92	70.92	66.67	66.67
7	69.90	69.39	72.22	66.67

Table 1: kNN model

Training Accuracy	Testing Accuracy
45.92	33.33

Table 2: Naive Bayes model

There are 7 classes.

The classes do not follow uniform distribution. Class 2 has the highest occurrence followed by class 1 in the training set. Class 4 has no occurrence.

5.2 Performance Comparison

kNN results are shown in Table 1

Naive bayes results are shown in Table 2

The classification results from the kNN Classifier are better than the results from Naive Bayes Classifier.

This may be because the conditional independence assumption used for the Naive bayes model is not accurate with the given features. There may be dependency among the features.