Fall2016 HomeWork2

Hema Venkata Krishna Giri Narra

October 3, 2016

1 Logistic Regression

1.1 Negative log likelihood for binary model

$$L(w) = -\log(\prod_{i=1}^{n} P(\frac{Y = y_i}{X = x_i}))$$

$$\to -(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(\sigma(b + w^T x_n)^{y_n} [1 - \sigma(b + w^T x_n)^{1 - y_n}))$$

$$\to -(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_n \log(\sigma(b + w^T x_n) + (1 - y_n) \log[1 - \sigma(b + w^T x_n)])$$

1.2 Gradient Descent and update

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_n [1 - \sigma(w^T x_n)] x_n - (1 - y_n) \sigma(w^T x_n) x_n\right)$$

$$\to \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sigma(w^T x_n) - y_n) x_n$$
Updating w

$$w^{(t+1)} \leftarrow w^{(t)} - \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sigma(w^T x_n) - y_n) x_n$$

This solution will converge to a global minimum because the Hessian matrix, H, (matrix of second order derivatives) is positive definite.

So the solution is convex and has a global minimum.

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_n x_n^T \sigma(w^T x_n) (1 - \sigma(w^T x_n))$$

Since $\sigma \epsilon(0, 1), x_n x_n^T$ is positive, H is positive

1.3 Negative log likelihood for multi-class classification

$$\begin{split} L(w_1,..,w_K) &= -\sum_n \log(P(\frac{y_n}{x_n})) \\ \text{Let } y_{nk} &= 1 \text{ if } y_n = k \text{ else } 0 \\ &\to L(w_1,..,w_K) = -\sum_n \sum_k y_{nk} \log(P(\frac{C_k}{x_n})) \end{split}$$

Replacing $P(\frac{C_k}{x_n})$ given posterior probability:

$$\rightarrow \sum_{n} \sum_{k} y_{nk} (\log(1 + \sum_{t=1}^{K-1} exp(w_t^T x_n)) - (w_k^T x_n))$$

1.4 Gradient descent and update

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial L(w_1,...,w_K)}{\partial w_i} = \sum_n \sum_k y_{nk} \frac{exp(w_i^T x_n)}{1 + \sum_{t=1}^{K-1} exp(w_t^T x_n)} - \sum_n y_{ni} x_n \\ &\rightarrow \sum_n \sum_k y_{nk} P(\frac{Y = y_i}{X = x_n}) - \sum_n y_{ni} x_n \\ &\text{Update rule is:} \\ &w_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow w_i^{(t)} - \eta(\sum_n \sum_k y_{nk} P(\frac{Y = y_i}{X = x_n}) - \sum_n y_{ni} x_n) \end{split}$$

2 Gaussian discriminant

2.1MLE

The log likelihood function is:

$$\rightarrow \sum_{n} \log p(x_n, y_n)$$

For simplified notation, let class y_n be either 1 or 0 instead of 2 and 1. p_0, p_1 be

$$P(y=0), P(y=1) \to \sum_{n} (y_i \log p_1 + y_i \log N(u_2, \sigma_2^2) + (1-y_i) \log p_0 + (1-y_i) \log N(u_1, \sigma_1^2))$$

To estimate p_1 , Also $p_0 = 1 - p_1$.

Terms in above expression having
$$p_1$$

The terms are $\sum_{n} (y_i \log p_1 + (1 - y_i) \log 1 - p_1)$
Taking derivative of terms and setting to Zero: $p_1 = \frac{N_1}{N}$ where N_1 number of samples with $y_i = 1$

$$p_1 = \frac{N_1}{N}$$
 where N_1 number of samples with $y_i = 1$

Same procedure for p_0 . $p_0 = \frac{N_0}{N}$

To estimate u_1 (exact same procedure for u_2)

Taking derivative over terms with u_1 and setting to zero

$$u_1 = \frac{\sum_{y_i=1}^{X_i} x_i}{N_1}$$
Similar form for u_2

$$\sum_{x_i=0}^{X_i} x_i$$

$$u_2 = \frac{y_i=0}{N_0}$$
To estimate σ_i (see

To estimate σ_1 (exact same procedure for $sigma_2$)

Taking derivative over terms with σ_1 and setting to zero we can get σ_1

Posterior probability follows a Logistic function

$$\begin{split} P(\frac{y=1}{x}) &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{P(x/y = c_2)P(y = c_2)}{P(x-y = c_1)P(y = c_1)}} \\ \text{Let } p_1, p_2 \text{ be } P(y = c_1), P(y = c_2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+\frac{N(u_2,\Sigma)p_2}{N(u_1,\Sigma)p_1}} \\ \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+E} \\ \text{After substituting Multivariate gaussian distribution forms in } E, \ \log E \ \text{is:} \\ \rightarrow \log \frac{p_2}{p_1} - \frac{1}{2} x^T \Sigma^{-1} x + u_2^T \Sigma^{-1} x - \frac{1}{2} u_2^T \Sigma^{-1} u_2 + \frac{1}{2} x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - u_1^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \frac{1}{2} u_1^T \Sigma^{-1} u_1 \\ \rightarrow -(u_1-u_2)^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \frac{1}{2} u_1^T \Sigma^{-1} u_1 - \frac{1}{2} u_2^T \Sigma^{-1} u_2 + \log \frac{p_2}{p_1} \\ \rightarrow -\theta^T x + const \end{array}$$

3 Programming Assignment

3.1 Linear Regression and Ridge Regression

The performance of Linear and Ridge regressions is almost similar.

3.1.1 Linear Regression

MSE for training set: 20.95 MSE for test set: 28.38

3.1.2 Ridge Regression

 $\lambda = 0.01$

MSE for training set: 20.95 MSE for test set: 28.38

 $\lambda = 0.1$

MSE for training set: 20.95 MSE for test set: 28.39

 $\lambda = 1.0$

MSE for training set: 20.95 MSE for test set: 28.49

3.1.3 Cross-Validation

The best λ is obtained by uniformly searching in the [0.0001, 10] in 2000 steps and observing the Average MSE over Cross-Validation sets. To reduce run time, in the submitted program this is set to 10 steps.

It is observed in every program run that λ value of 10 provides the lowest average MSE.

On the training set the MSE for $\lambda = 10$ is 29.64

3.2 Feature Selection

The results for Residue based feature selections is identical to Brute force based feature selection. These two approaches provide lower MSE than the feature selection based on 4 highest correlated features.

3.2.1 Highest 4 correlated features in absolute values

The features with highest absolute correlation in order are: LSTAT, RM, PTRA-

TIO, INDUS

MSE for training set: 26.41 MSE for test set: 31.64

3.2.2 Residue based recursive feature selestion

The features with highest absolute correlation in order are: LSTAT, RM, PTRA-

TIO, CHAS

MSE for training set: 25.11 MSE for test set: 34.67

3.2.3 Brute force

The features with highest absolute correlation in order are: CHAS, RM, PTRA-

TIO, LSTAT

MSE for training set: 25.11 MSE for test set : 34.67

3.3 Polynomial Feature Selection

MSE for training set: 5.06 MSE for test set: 23.79

MSE's for both the training and test set reduced.