

# **ASE Project 2**


Lamin & Ibrahim 10.12.2024

### Task 1



#### Decision Tree Performance.

```
Cross-validated Precision: [0.2962963 0.125 0.2 0.34482759 0.26923077]
Cross-validated Recall: [0.0952381 0.0952381 0.07142857 0.0952381 0.08333333]
Accuracy score: 0.73125
FailingMethod: HIT01_8
Precision: 0.18
Recall: 0.05
FailingMethod: HIT02_24
Precision: 0.60
Recall: 0.07
Length of holdout set: 320
Holdout Precision: 0.31
Holdout Recall: 0.06
```



### Specification 1



#### **Data Quality**

- Validation of integrity by checking for outliers and duplicates.
- Possible Over-fitting due to data leakage.
- Could be improved with multiple column combination

#### **Demographic change adaptation**

- Static models struggle with evolving user demographics or bug types
   Solutions:
- Periodically retrain classifiers with updated data.
- Utilize active learning to identify and integrate new patterns.
- Monitor performance metrics across demographic subgroups.

## **Specification 2**



#### **Explanation Quality Estimate**

• It is important to measure the clarity, relevance and correctness of the LLM-Generated explanation (consolation content)

#### Clarity, correctness could be cross checked with:

- Human reviews incorporated.
- Usage of standard metric evaluation ie. BLUE & ROUGE-L to ensure quality
- Collection of feedback for continuous improvement

## **Prompting**



#### **Self-Made Prompt**

- Basic instructions were provided, as outlined in the project slides.
- Additional prompting was required to achieve a result.

#### **LLM-Generated Prompt**

- Included specific commands to eliminate redundant information.
- Required less additional prompting compared to the first approach.

#### **LLM-Generated Prompt w/ Roleplay**

- The LLM assumed the role of a Software Engineer/Data Scientist working in a company.
- The effort required was similar to the second approach.

## **LLM Prompting Approach 1**



₹		FailingMethod	Cleaned Explanation	TTR	Answer.size
	0	HIT01_8	the variable should be defined as 'unsigned in	0.374593	614
	1	HIT02_24	g is an integer, which is acceptable when crea	0.375224	557
	2	HIT03_6	This is definitely where the problem is, but I	0.360515	1165
	3	HIT04_7	There would be an issue cause the function add	0.350877	1254
	4	HIT05_35	I do not know enough about arrays in JAVA to k	0.365721	916
	5	HIT06_51	The comparison between (long)x == x could caus	0.397756	802
	6	HIT07_33	I do not believe there is any issue with how a	0.346756	894
	7	HIT08_54	both the variable ch3 and ch4 defined correctl	0.389503	724

## Metrics / Failing Method



<b></b>		FailingMethod	ROGUE1	ROGUE2	ROGUEL	ROGUELsum	BLEU	
	0	HIT01_8	0.197467	0.188434	0.115622	0.115622	0.000187	al.
	1	HIT02_24	0.299141	0.283718	0.163802	0.163802	0.010667	
	2	HIT03_6	0.223827	0.213549	0.112195	0.112195	0.000646	
	3	HIT04_7	0.143587	0.135971	0.078544	0.078544	0.000005	9
	4	HIT05_35	0.301356	0.287069	0.150678	0.150678	0.009524	