

# PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF SPECTRAL LINES

VINAY KASHYAP  
 CfA/CXC/CHASC

## ABSTRACT

A description of the mathematical model to estimate the counts in a *Chandra* gratings observation around the O VIII the and O VII triplet.

*Keywords:* spectroscopy, X-rays, methods – statistical

## 1. DATA

The data are lists of events collected over a duration  $\mathcal{T}$  in a *source* region and in a separate *background* region which has a geometric area  $\frac{1}{r}$  of the source region. The events are marked by their observed wavelength,  $w$ ,

$$Y_S = \{w_s, s = 1..N_S\} \quad (1)$$

$$Y_B = \{w_b, b = 1..N_B\} \quad (2)$$

There are  $\approx 100$  separate observations of Capella at high spectral resolution with *Chandra*, in various detector/grating combinations (ACIS-S+HETGS, ACIS-S+LETGS, HRC-S+LETGS). Each of these combinations provides their own quirks. ACIS-S+HETGS has the best resolution, and two arms (HEG and MEG), but has very low effective area over the wavelength region of interest. HRC-S+LETGS has much higher effective area, but also has much higher particle background. ACIS-S+LETGS has intermediate effective area, but has only two observations.

The wavelength region of interest, and some prominent O lines in that region, are shown in Table 1. The lines are located at intrinsic wavelengths  $\omega_l, l = 1..7$ , which could be altered when a new atomic emissivity replicate curve  $\epsilon_l^{(Z)}$  is constructed.

**Table 1.** Spectral lines of interest

component	wavelength $\omega$ [Å]	species	transiton
0	18.5-22.5	continuum	Bremss, RRC, DR
1	18.9671	O VIII	$^2P_{3/2} \rightarrow ^2S_{1/2}$ (resonance)
2	18.9726	O VIII	$^2P_{1/2} \rightarrow ^2S_{1/2}$ (resonance)
3	21.6020	O VII	$^1P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ (w) (1s.2p to 1s2 resonance)
4	21.8040	O VII	$^3P_2 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ (x) (intercombination)
5	21.8070	O VII	$^3P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ (y) (intercombination)
6	22.1010	O VII	$^3S_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ (z) (forbidden)
7	18.6270	O VII	$^1P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ (1s.3p to 1s2 resonance)

## 2. MODEL

The Differential Emission Measure (DEM) is usually written

$$g(n_e, T) = n_e^2(T) \frac{dV(T)}{d\ln T}, \quad (3)$$

where  $n_e$  is the electron number density and  $T$  is the temperature of the plasma. The DEM is often written as a sole function of  $T$  (see §4).

The flux from the  $l^{th}$  component is the product of the emissivity  $\epsilon$  and the DEM,

$$f_l^{(Z)}(\omega) = \epsilon_l^{(Z)}(\omega; n_e, T) g(n_e, T), \quad (4)$$

where  $(Z)$  represents the  $(Z)^{th}$  sample from the atomic replicates sample. When seen through an instrument with effective area  $A_{eff}(\omega)$  and line response function  $R(\omega \rightarrow w)$ ,<sup>1</sup> for a duration of  $\mathcal{T}$ , and integrated over  $T$ , the estimated counts at observed wavelength  $w$ ,

$$c^{(Z)}(w, n_e) = \mathcal{T} \int d\ln T \sum_l \int d\omega f_l^{(Z)}(\omega; n_e, T) A_{eff}(\omega) R(\omega \rightarrow w). \quad (6)$$

The indices  $l = 0..7$ , represent the components that are included, with 0 representing the continuum, and the others representing the lines listed in Table 1. The continuum component  $\epsilon_0$  is assumed to be independent of  $n_e$ , is identical for all  $(Z)$ , and is supplied as a 2-D table on a grid of  $(\omega, T)$ , while the line emissivities are delta-functions at the wavelengths  $\omega_l^{(Z)}$ , close to (but not necessarily identical) to those listed in Table 1.

$$\epsilon_0^{(Z)}(\omega; n_e, T) \equiv \mathcal{E}_0(\omega, T) \quad (7)$$

$$\epsilon_{l>0}^{(Z)}(\omega; n_e, T) \equiv \delta(\omega - \omega_l^{(Z)}) \cdot \mathcal{E}_l^{(Z)}(n_e, T) \quad (8)$$

### 3. PROBABILITY MODEL

If  $\theta = \{\theta_S, \theta_B\}$  are the model parameters for the DEM (see §4) and the background respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|Y_S, Y_B) &\propto p(Y_S, Y_B|\theta_S, \theta_B) p(\theta) \\ &\propto p(Y_S|\theta_S, \theta_B) p(\theta_S) p(\theta_B|Y_B) p(\theta_B). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For the background, a useful approximation is to use the  $A_{eff}(\omega)$  to define the shape, and use the normalization as the sole parameter. Representing this as  $A(\omega)$ , the estimated background intensity,

$$b(w) = norm_B \cdot \int d\omega R(\omega \rightarrow w) [A(\omega)]. \quad (10)$$

For HRC-S+LETGS, the dependence on  $A(\omega)$  is negligible, and a flat  $b(w) = norm_B$  is sufficient.

For the likelihood,

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y_S|\theta_S, \theta_B) &= \prod_{s=1}^S \text{Poisson}(1|c(w_s; \theta_S) + b(w_s)/r) \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^S (c(w_s; \theta_S) + b(w_s)/r) e^{-(c(w_s; \theta_S) + b(w_s)/r)} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the atomic replicates index  $(Z)$  has been dropped for legibility from  $c$ .

### 4. DEM PARAMETERIZATION

There are effectively 7 data points (6 lines and the continuum), so we are limited to estimating at most 6 parameters. Since choosing one of  $(Z)$  is a prime driver of this exercise, that implies the DEM must be parameterized by at most 5 parameters. Some possibilities are listed below.

— logNormal :  $norm, \ln T_0, \sigma_{\ln T}$

$$g(n_e, T) \equiv N(\ln T; \ln T_0, \sigma_{\ln T}) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{(\ln T - \ln T_0)^2}{2\sigma_{\ln T}^2} \right] \quad (12)$$

— gamma :  $norm, \alpha, \beta$

$$g(n_e, T) \equiv \gamma(\ln T; \alpha, \beta) \propto \ln T^\alpha e^{-\beta \ln T} \quad (13)$$

<sup>1</sup> The line response function for the HRC-S+LETGS can be approximated as a  $\beta$ -profile,

$$R(\omega \rightarrow w) \equiv \frac{1}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{\omega - w}{0.05}\right)^2\right]^{2.5}} \quad (5)$$

for a bin width of  $\delta w = 0.0067\text{\AA}$ .

— scaled gamma :  $norm, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$

$$x = T^\gamma$$

$$g(n_e, T) \equiv \gamma(x; \alpha, \beta) \propto x^\alpha e^{-\beta x} \quad (14)$$

$$(15)$$

— Guennou models : Gaussian, tophat, AR ( $T^\alpha$ ,  $T < T_{\text{MAX}}$ , power law + half a Gaussian for  $T > T_{\text{MAX}}$ )

## 5. UNITS

The counts  $c$  are in counts per detector bin, and the widths of the detector bins are set within the  $R(\omega \rightarrow w)$ .

The DEM (as in Equation 3 has units of  $[cm^{-3} \ln K^{-1}]$ . DEMs are often also reported in units of  $[cm^{-3} K^{-1}]$ , by replacing the  $d \ln T$  by  $dT$ . In the solar case, the column emission measure is of interest rather than the volume emission measure, with units of  $[cm^{-5} \dots]$ .

The emissivities  $\mathcal{E}$  are usually in  $[ergs \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}]$  (in  $[ph \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}]$  in AtomDB). Therefore, the continuum fluxes  $f_0$  are in  $[ergs \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ bin}^{-1}]$  and the line fluxes  $f_{l>0}$  are in  $[ergs \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]$ .